

(3949)

**DIVORCE DECREE**

Printed by Moore Ptg. Co.

**The State of Alabama, Baldwin County**

**CIRCUIT COURT, IN EQUITY**

-----  
~~Robert W. Albertson~~-----, Complainant

vs.

-----  
~~Fred A. Albertson~~-----, Respondent

This cause coming on to be heard was submitted upon Bill of Complaint, Decree Pro Confesso on ~~Publication~~----- and Testimony as noted by the Register, and upon consideration thereof, the Court is of the opinion that the Complainant is entitled to the relief prayed for in said bill.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the bonds of matrimony heretofore existing between the Complainant and Defendant be, and the same are hereby dissolved, and that the said

~~Robert W. Albertson~~----- is forever divorced from the said ~~Fred A. Albertson~~----- for and on account of

1. ~~Habitual Intemperance~~

2. ~~Faulty Abandonment~~

~~It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that Complainant shall have the custody and control of the minor children, Margurite and Myrtle.~~

~~It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that Respondent shall pay to the Complainant the sum of seventy-five (\$75.00) dollars each month hereafter, as and for maintenance and support for said minor children.~~

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that neither party to this suit shall again marry except to each other until sixty days after the rendition of this decree, and that if appeal is taken within sixty days, neither party shall again marry except to each other during the pendency of said appeal.

It is further ordered that the Complainant and Respondent be, and they are hereby permitted to again contract marriage upon payment of the cost of this suit.

It is further ordered that -----  
the ~~Complainant~~----- pay the cost herein to be taxed, for which executed may issue.

This ~~26th~~----- day of ~~October~~----- 19 ~~50~~-----

~~WALTER J. WASHINGTON, JR.~~

Judge Circuit Court, In Equity.

I, ~~Alice J. Rusk~~-----, Register of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of the original decree, rendered by the Judge of the Circuit Court in the above stated cause, which said decree is on file and enrolled in my office.

Witness my hand and seal this the ~~8th~~----- day of ~~January~~-----, 19 ~~57~~-----

~~Alice J. Rusk~~  
Register of Circuit Court, In Equity.

DIVORCE DECREE

1912

1912

No. \_\_\_\_\_ Page \_\_\_\_\_

THE STATE OF ALABAMA  
BALDWIN COUNTY

In Circuit Court, In Equity

Complainant

vs.

Respondent

**DIVORCE DECREE**

1912

1912

1912

1912

*for file*

STATE OF ALABAMA  
CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY  
IN EQUITY

NORMA W. LANE

Petitioner

vs.

Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_

FRED A. ALBERTSON

Respondent

The undersigned Judge of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, in Equity, hereby certifies:

1. That on 8th January, 1957, a petition was verified by the above named petitioner and duly filed in this Court in a proceeding against the above named respondent commenced under the provisions of the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act (Act No. 879, approved 12 September, 1951), to compel the support of the dependent(s) named in that petition.

2. That the above named respondent is believed to be residing or domiciled in Wilmington, Los Angeles County, California,  
(1036 McFarland Avenue)  
and that the Superior Court of Los Angeles County,  
Los Angeles, California  
may obtain jurisdiction of the respondent or his property.

3. That the undersigned, a Judge of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, has examined the petitioner under oath and she has reaffirmed the allegations contained in the petition; and that according to the testimony of the petitioner the needs of the dependent(s) named in the petition for support from the respondent are the sum of \$ 75.00 per (~~xxx~~) (month).

4. That in the opinion of the undersigned Judge of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, the respondent should be compelled to answer such petition and be dealt with according to law.

Wherefore, it is hereby Ordered that this certificate together with certified copies of the petition be transmitted to the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, P.O. Box 151 .  
Los Angeles 53, California,

*Hubert M. Hall*

Hubert M. Hall  
Circuit Judge

Done this 8<sup>th</sup> day of January, 1957.

254 LIBERTY ST  
FAHOPE  
PH. WA 88264

STATE OF ALABAMA  
CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY  
IN EQUITY

NORMA W. LANE

(Petitioner)

Vs.

FRED A. ALBERTSON

(Respondent)

The petition of Norma W. Lane respectfully shows:

1. That she is the <sup>divorced</sup> wife of Fred A. Albertson,

the respondent; that petitioner was duly married to said respondent on or about the 16th day of November, 1936 at

Lucedale, Mississippi, and now resides at

Fairhope (Baldwin County) Alabama.

2. That petitioner is the mother and said respondent is the father of the following named dependent(s):

Margurite Albertson, born 26 August, 1938

Myrtle Albertson, born 17 January, 1941

\_\_\_\_\_, born \_\_\_\_\_, 19 .

\_\_\_\_\_, born \_\_\_\_\_, 19 .

\_\_\_\_\_, born \_\_\_\_\_, 19 .

\_\_\_\_\_, born \_\_\_\_\_, 19 .

3. That petitioner and said child(ren) (~~xx~~) (are) entitled to support from the respondent under the provisions of the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act of this State (Act No. 879, 1951, approved 12 September, 1951) copy of which is attached and made a part hereof.

4. That respondent, on or about the 1st day of March, 1951, and subsequent thereto, refused and neglected to provide fair and reasonable support for petitioner and other dependent(s) according to his means and earning capacity, and as provided by terms of divorce decree, copy of which is attached hereto.

5. That, upon information and belief, respondent now is residing or domiciled at Wilmington, Los Angeles County, California, 1036 McFarland Ave., is earning \$880.00 per (~~xxx~~) (month), and is within the jurisdiction of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Calif., which state has enacted a law substantially similar to the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of

Support Act of this State.

Wherefore, the petitioner prays for such an order or support, directed to said respondent, as shall be deemed to be fair and reasonable, and for such other further relief as the law provides.

*Norma W. Lane*

NORMA W. LANE  
Petitioner.

Personally appeared before me on this 8th day of January, 1957, Norma W. Lane, to me personally known and made oath that she has read the above petition and knows the contents thereof, and that the same are true of her own knowledge except as to matters stated on information and belief, and as to these matters she believes them to be true.

*Alice J. Duck*

Alice J. DUCK  
Clerk of Court.

27 February 1957

Hon Richard E. Pachtman  
Deputy District Attorney  
206 South Spring Street  
Los Angeles 12, California

Re: Norma W. Lane vs.  
Fred A. Albertson  
Your RESL 9620  
Our 3949

Dear Sir:

Reference your letter of February 11, 1957, to Mrs Alice J. Duck, Clerk of this court, relative to the inability of your marshall to secure service on above named respondent.

This is to advise that it is not possible for us to proceed in this matter with a criminal proceeding and get the defendant back to this state as such a proceeding would be a misdemeanor and extradition would not be granted. Moreover, a contempt citation would not get him returned either.

It is requested that you again ask your marshall to try to find out the name of the ship Mr Albertson is on, and when it will next dock, and be waiting at the dock when it pulls in and arrest him at that time. For us back here it is just an impossibility to do this ourselves. Of course, we understand that this may take a considerable period of time, but since the Court costs have been paid it would be greatly appreciated if you would lend every effort possible to bring him into your court.

Very truly yours,

*K.C.*  
Kenneth Cooper  
Circuit Solicitor

cc:  
Mrs Nora W. Lane  
254 Liberty St.,  
Fairhope, Alabama

William B. McKesson

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

# COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY

FAILURE TO PROVIDE DIVISION

525 WILCOX BUILDING  
206 SOUTH SPRING STREET  
LOS ANGELES 12, CALIFORNIA

February 11, 1957

GEORGE W. KEMP  
CHIEF DEPUTY

C. H. EBBETS  
CHIEF, BUREAU OF  
INVESTIGATION

Alice J. Duck  
Clerk of Court,  
Circuit Court of Baldwin County,  
Bay Minette, Alabama

Re: Norma W. Lane v.  
Fred A. Albertson  
No. RESL 9620

Dear Madam:

An attempt was made to serve the defendant in the above-captioned Reciprocal case at the address given in the complaint.

Our Marshal advises us that he was unable to serve the defendant due to the fact that he is a seaman and at the present time is at sea. His return date is unknown. The person giving the information advised that when the defendant is home, he is only there for an hour or two and then is gone again.

Possibly the only way to proceed in this matter will be by the filing of a Criminal proceedings.

Yours truly,

WILLIAM B. McKESSON  
District Attorney

By

  
RICHARD E. PACHTMAN  
Deputy District Attorney

REP:d



KENNETH COOPER  
CIRCUIT SOLICITOR

STATE OF ALABAMA  
OFFICE OF CIRCUIT SOLICITOR  
28TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

4 September 1957

Mr Harold J. Ostly  
County Clerk and Clerk of Superior Court  
Los Angeles County  
700 Hall of Records  
Los Angeles 12, California

Re: LANE, Norma W. vs.  
ALBERTSON, Fred A  
Your RESL 9620  
Our 3949

Dear Sir:

Reference above styled cause filed by the complainant on 8 January, 1957 in this Court, and forwarded to your court for action.

The Clerk of this Court is holding a receipt from your office, dated Jan 16th, 1957, Tracer No. 166488, in the amount of \$16.50, to pay the court costs there in this proceeding.

I have this date received a request from the complainant, Mrs Lane, requesting that this proceeding be dismissed if it is at all possible. She states that the respondent has contacted her and the matter has been settled between themselves.

It is therefore requested that the above styled cause now pending in your court be dismissed, and if possible the court costs previously filed be returned to this Court. For your information, I was informed on February 11, 1957, or thereabouts, that the marshall there was unable to secure service on this respondent. And having heard nothing from your court in this matter I presume no service was ever secured. Such information was received from Hon Richard E. Pachtman.

Your cooperation in this cause is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

*Kenneth Cooper*  
Kenneth Cooper  
Solicitor

cc:  
Hon Richard E. Pachtman  
Deputy District Attorney  
206 South Spring Street  
Los Angeles 12, Calif.



WILLIAM B. MCKESSON  
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY

FAILURE TO PROVIDE DIVISION  
525 WILCOX BUILDING  
206 SOUTH SPRING STREET  
LOS ANGELES 12, CALIFORNIA

September 17, 1957

Mr. Kenneth Cooper,  
Circuit Solicitor  
28th Judicial Circuit,  
Bay Minette, Alabama

Re: Norma W. Lane v.  
Fred A. Albertson  
No. RESL 9620  
Your No. 3949

Dear Mr. Cooper:

In reply to your letter of September 4, 1957, please be advised that we have today checked with the office of the Los Angeles County Clerk.

Under the rules as established by their office, once an action is filed in the County of Los Angeles, the filing fee of \$16.50 may not be returned. We regret that we are unable to assist you further.

The above case will be scheduled for dismissal, as per your request.

Very truly yours,

WILLIAM B. MCKESSON  
District Attorney

By *William J. Jennings*  
WILLIAM J. JENNINGS  
Deputy District Attorney

WJJ:d

NORA W. LANE

COMPLAINANT

VS

FRED A. ALBERTSON

RESPONDENT

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

IN EQUITY CASE NO. 3949

DECREE

It appearing to the Court that the above cause is inactive, upon consideration, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the Court that said cause be and hereby is transferred to the inactive docket of this Court, and

It further appearing to the Court that the execution for costs against the Defendant was returned by the Sheriff of this County, "No Property Found", it is therefore, ORDERED and DECREED by the Court that the costs be and hereby are now taxed against the State of Alabama pursuant of Section 119, (b), Title 34, 1955 Cumulative Pocket Parts, Code of Alabama.

This 7<sup>th</sup> day of May 1957.

Walter M. Hall  
Judge Circuit Court, In Equity.

FILED

ALICE I. DUCK, CLERK  
REGISTER