

3808

25 October 1956

Hon Joseph Pietrafese  
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney  
Court of Common Pleas  
Cleveland, Ohio

Re: Mary H. Bishop vs.  
Leon M. Bishop,  
Case No. 688894

Dear Mr Pietrafese:

Reference your letter of October 20, 1956,  
concerning above styled cause, which I received last  
night.

As you are probably aware already, I have  
forwarded to you Mrs Bishop's personal check for the  
filing fees, and consequently it will be impossible  
to send a certified check or money order. However,  
I feel sure that this second check of hers will be  
good. And hope that it will be deposited soon, and  
if it is not good please advise me and I will then  
have her wire the money by Western Union.

Your cooperation and assistance in this matter  
will be greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,



Kenneth Cooper  
Circuit Solicitor

cc:  
Mrs Mary H. Bishop  
Rt # 1, Box 235  
Fairhope, Ala.



# COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

CLEVELAND, OHIO

JOSEPH S. PIETRAFESE  
ASSISTANT PROSECUTING ATTORNEY  
AND DIRECTOR

CHERRY 1-2700

STAFF

ALEXANDER P. CORBETT  
ELAINE P. MALBIN  
FLORENCE ADAMS  
GEORGINE E. KYASNICKA  
JOHN P. GUARNERA

DEPARTMENT OF UNIFORM SUPPORT  
NEW COURT HOUSE  
ROOM 344

October 20, 1956

Mr. Kenneth Cooper  
Circuit Solicitor  
Office of Circuit Solicitor  
Bay Minette, Alabama

Re: Bishop, Mary H. vs. Leon M.  
Case No: 688894

Dear Mr. Cooper:

This is to advise that our Clerk's Office has reported that your Petitioner's personal check for \$16.00 to cover filing fees in the above styled matter has been returned marked "insufficient funds".

Please contact your Petitioner and have her send a certified bank check or money order made payable to Leonard F. Fuerst, Clerk of Courts, Cleveland, Ohio, in the amount of \$16.00. Send this check to this office and we will transmit it to our Clerk of Courts. Our Clerk will dismiss this action if the fees are not paid as requested.

Trusting the above meets with your approval and that we have been of assistance to you, I remain,

Very truly yours,

JOSEPH PIETRAFESE  
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney

JP:gek



KENNETH COOPER  
CIRCUIT SOLICITOR

STATE OF ALABAMA  
OFFICE OF CIRCUIT SOLICITOR  
28TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

23 October 1956

Hon Joseph Pietrafese  
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney  
New Courthouse, Room 344  
Cleveland, Ohio

Re: Mary H. Bishop  
vs.  
Leon M. Bishop

Dear Mr Pietrafese:

Reference our correspondence previously on the  
above styled cause.

I have this date received a note from the  
Petitioner, Mary H. Bishop inclosing a check for \$16.00  
which apparently is to replace a check sent earlier,  
possibly in August or September, for the same amount,  
to cover the cost in said cause. Presumably the first  
check was held an unusual long period of time, and when  
it was deposited there must have been insufficient funds  
in her banking account. This check, I am sure, will  
cover the costs. In her note to me Mrs Bishop stated  
that the money was to be sent to Mr Leonard Fuest, the  
Court Clerk. Would you, sir, please deliver this check  
to him.

Your cooperation in this matter is greatly  
appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Kenneth Cooper  
Solicitor

cc:  
Mrs Marh H. Bishop  
Rt # 1, Box 235  
Fairhope, Ala.

STATE OF ALABAMA  
 CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY  
 IN EQUITY

MARY H. BISHOP Vs. LEON M. BISHOP  
 (Petitioner) (Respondent)

The petition of MARY H. BISHOP respectfully shows:

1. That she is the wife of LEON M. BISHOP, the respondent; that petitioner was duly married to said respondent on or about the 7th day of July, 1942 at Pascagoula, Mississippi, and now resides at Fairhope, Alabama.

2. That petitioner is the mother and said respondent is the father of the following named dependent(s):

Elizabeth Pauline Bishop, born 4 September, 1951.  
Janice Kay " 19 May, 1953  
 \_\_\_\_\_, born \_\_\_\_\_, 19 \_\_\_\_ .  
 \_\_\_\_\_, born \_\_\_\_\_, 19 \_\_\_\_ .  
 \_\_\_\_\_, born \_\_\_\_\_, 19 \_\_\_\_ .  
 \_\_\_\_\_, born \_\_\_\_\_, 19 \_\_\_\_ .

3. That petitioner and said child(ren) (~~ix~~) (are) entitled to support from the respondent under the provisions of the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act of this State (Act No. 879, 1951, approved 12 September, 1951) copy of which is attached and made a part hereof.

4. That respondent, on or about the 31st day of December, 1954, and subsequent thereto, refused and neglected to provide fair and reasonable support for petitioner and other dependent(s) according to his means and earning capacity.

5. That, upon information and belief, respondent now is residing or domiciled at 1625 E. 117th Street, Cleveland, Ohio, is earning \$ 90.00 per (week) (~~month~~) and is within the jurisdiction of the Court of Common Pleas, of Cleveland, Ohio, which state has enacted a law substantially similar to the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of

Support Act of this State.

Wherefore, the petitioner prays for such an order or support, directed to said respondent, as shall be deemed to be fair and reasonable, and for such other further relief as the law provides.

Mary H. Bishop  
MARY H. BISHOP Rt #1, Box 235  
Petitioner. Fairhope, Ala.

Personally appeared before me on this 9th day of June, 1956, Mary H. Bishop, to me personally known and made oath that she has read the above petition and knows the contents thereof, and that the same are true of her own knowledge except as to matters stated on information and belief, and as to these matters she believes them to be true.

Alice J. Duck  
Alice J. Duck  
Clerk of Court.

STATE OF ALABAMA  
CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY  
IN EQUITY

MARY H. BISHOP

Petitioner

vs.

Docket No. 3808

LEON M. BISHOP

Respondent

The undersigned Judge of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, in Equity, hereby certifies:

1. That on 9 June, 1956, a petition was verified by the above named petitioner and duly filed in this Court in a proceeding against the above named respondent commenced under the provisions of the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act (Act No. 879, approved 12 September, 1951), to compel the support of the dependent(s) named in that petition.

2. That the above named respondent is believed to be residing or domiciled in 1625 E. 117th Street, Cleveland, Ohio, and that the \_\_\_\_\_ Court of Common Pleas of Cleveland, Ohio, may obtain jurisdiction of the respondent or his property.

3. That the undersigned, a Judge of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, has examined the petitioner under oath and she has reaffirmed the allegations contained in the petition; and that according to the testimony of the petitioner the needs of the dependent(s) named in the petition for support from the respondent are the sum of \$ 25<sup>00</sup> per (week) (~~month~~).

4. That in the opinion of the undersigned Judge of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, the respondent should be compelled to answer such petition and be dealt with according to law.

Wherefore, it is hereby Ordered that this certificate together with certified copies of the petition be transmitted to the \_\_\_\_\_ Court of Common Pleas, Cleveland, Ohio.

*Hubert M. Hall*

Hubert M. Hall  
Circuit Judge

Done this 9th day of June, 1956.

MARY H. BISHOP

Complainant

Vs.

LEON M. BISHOP

Respondent

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

CASE NUMBER 3808

D E C R E E

It appearing to the Court that the above cause is inactive, upon consideration, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the Court that said cause be and hereby is transferred to the inactive docket of this Court, and it is further ORDERED that the costs incurred in this cause be taxed against the State of Alabama pursuant to Section 119 (b), Title 34, 1955 Cumulative Pocket Parts, Code of Alabama.

July 13, 1967

Julius W. Madore  
JUDGE

STATE OF OHIO }  
CUYAHOGA COUNTY } ss.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

No. 688894

MARY H. BISHOP  
PETITIONER

vs.

LEON M. BISHOP  
RESPONDENT

JOURNAL ENTRY

This cause came on to be heard this 25th day of October, 1956, ~~1955~~, upon the Petition filed in accordance with the provisions of the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act from the State of Alabama, County of Baldwin, No. \_\_\_\_\_; the Respondent having been duly served with Civil Process according to Law and appearing in Court upon the evidence, and on consideration thereof, the Court finds that there is a duty of Support owing by the Respondent to his ~~wife and~~ minor children, namely: Elizabeth Pauline bn. 9-4-51; and  
Janice Kay bn. 5-19-53

now living at

Fairhope County of Baldwin,  
Alabama

It is therefore Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that the Respondent, namely: \_\_\_\_\_

LEON M. BISHOP, pay the sum of Eighteen dollars (\$ 18.00 )  
per week

plus one per-cent statutory poundage, for the support of his ~~wife and~~ minor children through the Clerk of this Court, Room 254, Cuyahoga County Court House, Lakeside and Ontario, Cleveland, Ohio, until further order of this Court. The first payment to commence November 3, 1956, ~~1955~~. The Clerk of this Court shall transmit such support payments to the Alice J. Duck, Register and  
Clerk of the Circuit Court, Bay Minette, Alabama

Dated: OCT 30 1956

ATTEST:- A TRUE COPY:  
LEONARD F. FUERST  
CLERK OF COURTS

By A. M. Harrington  
DEPUTY CLERK

/s/ Samuel H. Silbert  
JUDGE, COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
SAMUEL H. SILBERT, JUDGE



KENNETH COOPER  
CIRCUIT SOLICITOR

STATE OF ALABAMA  
OFFICE OF CIRCUIT SOLICITOR  
28TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

14 September 1956

Mr Joseph Pietrafese  
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney  
Department of Uniform Support  
New Courthouse  
Cleveland, Ohio

Re, Mary H. Bishop, Petitioner,  
vs.  
Leon M. Bishop, Respondent

Dear Mr Pietrafese:

Reference your letter of September 11, 1956, concerning above styled cause from this Court.

Obviously you have the facts in the above styled cause confused with some case from Birmingham, Alabama. The address of the Respondent Bishop in the case from this Court was given as:

1625 E. 117th Street,

whereas in your letter you speak of an address at 7308 Cedar Avenue. Moreover, the Bishop case was not even filed in this Court until 9 June, 1956, whereas you speak of writing Mr Boyd in Birmingham on January 6, 1956.

Would you please again go into the records of the Bishop case and further advise me? Your cooperation in this matter will be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

Kenneth Cooper  
Circuit Solicitor

ARREARAGE COMPLAINT

DATE 18 Aug 1958

TO: Hon Joseph Pietrafese CIRCUIT COURT URESA NO. 3808

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Case No. 688894  
Court of Common Pleas  
Cleveland, Ohio

BISHOP, Mary H. Vs.  
Petitioner

BISHOP, LEON M.  
Respondent

Court Order was for \$ <u>18.00</u>	...	Per week	<u>X</u>
		<del>XXXXXXXXXX</del>	
Last payment <u>20 June 1958</u>		<del>XXXXXX</del>	<u>\$19.70</u>
Total arrearage <u>\$910.00</u>			

We received a complaint from petitioner that payments have not been received, and our records indicate respondent is delinquent as indicated. Request necessary action to have respondent pay arrearage and to make regular payments. Please advise of action taken.

COMMENTS: Your cooperation in this matter will be greatly appreciated. Petitioner is in need of help very much.

CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY  
 BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA  
 BY: Kenneth Cooper  
 Circuit Solicitor  
 28 Judicial Circui'

15 October 1956

Hon Joseph Pietrafese  
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney  
New Courthouse, Room 344  
Cleveland, Ohio

Re: Bishop, Mary H.  
Vs.  
Bishop, Leon M.

Dear Mr Peitrafese:

Reference my letter of 21 September, 1956,  
concerning above styled cause.

Information has been received this date that  
above named respondent, Leon M. Bishop, is now residing  
at:

3229 East 93rd Street  
Cleveland.

It is hoped that this information will be  
of assistance to you.

Very truly yours,

  
Kenneth Cooper  
Circuit Solicitor



KENNETH COOPER  
CIRCUIT SOLICITOR

STATE OF ALABAMA  
OFFICE OF CIRCUIT SOLICITOR  
28TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

6 November 1956

Mrs Marh H. Bishop  
Fairhope, Alabama

Dear Mrs Bishop:

This is to advise that the Court of Common Pleas of Cuyahoga County, Ohio, has found that your former husband, Leon M. Bishop, owes a duty of support for your two minor children, Elizabeth Pauline and Janice Kay, and has ordered him to pay the sum of \$18.00 per week for their support. The money will be sent to Mrs Alice J. Duck, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, who will remit it to you as it is received.

Very truly yours,

*K. C.*  
Kenneth Cooper  
Circuit Solicitor

STATE OF OHIO }  
CUYAHOGA COUNTY } ss.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
No. 68894

MARY H. BISHOP  
PETITIONER

vs.

608E

LEON M. BISHOP  
RESPONDENT

JOURNAL ENTRY

This cause came on to be heard this ~~25th~~ day of ~~October, 1956~~, ~~1955~~, upon the Petition filed in accordance with the provisions of the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act from the State of ~~Alabama~~, County of ~~Baldwin~~, No. \_\_\_\_\_; the Respondent having been duly served with Civil Process according to Law and appearing in Court upon the evidence, and on consideration thereof, the Court finds that there is a duty of Support owing by the Respondent to his ~~wife and~~ minor children, namely: ~~Elizabeth Pauline bn. 9-4-51; and~~  
~~Janice Kay bn. 5-19-53~~

\_\_\_\_\_ now living at  
~~Fairhope~~ County of ~~Baldwin~~,  
~~Alabama~~

It is therefore Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that the Respondent, namely: \_\_\_\_\_

~~LEON M. BISHOP~~, pay the sum of ~~Eighteen dollars~~ (\$ ~~18.00~~ )  
per ~~week~~

plus one per-cent statutory poundage, for the support of his ~~wife and~~ minor children through the Clerk of this Court, Room 254, Cuyahoga County Court House, Lakeside and Ontario, Cleveland, Ohio, until further order of this Court. The first payment to commence ~~November 3, 1956~~, ~~1955~~. The Clerk of this Court shall transmit such support payments to the ~~Alice J. Duck, Register and~~  
~~Clerk of the Circuit Court, Bay Ninette, Alabama~~

Dated:

~~/s/ Samuel H. Silbert~~  
JUDGE, COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
SAMUEL H. SILBERT, JUDGE