3006

3690

INTRODUCTION

IN THE COUNTY COURT IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COOK, ILLINOIS

In the Matter of:

Petitioner, Louise Johnson Marro

Sex F. Age 42

Address 561 008

161 N. Central, Chicago

County Court Case No.

Versus

Respondent, Kenneth Johnson

Sex M. Age 43

Address

Box 574, Fairhope, Alabama

A PETITION FOR SUPPORT UNDER THE ILLINOIS UNIFORM SUPPORT LAW AND SUCH SIMILAR AND RECIPROCAL LAW IN FORCE IN THE STATE OF

Alabama

INDEX

to Proceeding.

If support order is made, payments may be transmitted through:

Clerk of the County Court,
Cook County, Illinois.
Address Department of Public Welfare,
69 W. Washington, Room 320, Chicago 2, Ill.

IN THE COUNTY COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

In the Matter of:

Louise Johnson Marro Petitioner

Vs.

Kenneth Johnson Respondent

No.....

A Complaint for Support Under the Illinois Uniform Support Law.

PETITION

To the Honorable, the Judges of the said Court:

The complaint of Louise Johnson Marro respectfully represents:

1. That she was the lawful wife of Kenneth Johnson, the Respondent, having been united in lawful marriage to said Respondent on May 14, 1938 19 38, at Ephrain, Wisconsin and that she now resides at 161 N. Central, Chicago Cook County, Illinois

2. That the Petitioner is the mother of, and the Respondent the father of, the following named minor dependent child..... born to this lawful marriage:

1. Craig Born 10/23/ 19 43
2. ..... Born ..... 19.....
3. ..... Born ..... 19.....
4. ..... Born ..... 19.....
5. ..... Born ..... 19.....
6. ..... Born ..... 19.....

3. That Louise Johnson Marro and the said minor dependent child..... (is) (are) in need of, and X (is) (are) entitled to fair and reasonable support and maintenance from the X Respondent under the provisions of the Illinois Uniform Support Law, Chap. 68, Sec. 50, of 1951 Ill. Rev. Stat. approved by the General Assembly of Illinois the 25th day of July, A. D., 1949, a true, correct and authentic copy thereof being hereto attached and made part hereof.

4. That the Respondent, on and about the ..... day of February 19 44, and subsequent thereto, refused, failed and neglected to provide and contribute fair and reasonable support and maintenance to and for the ..... and the minor dependent child..... named in paragraph 2 of this complaint in accordance with his means and earning capacity.

5. That upon information received, and after investigation, Petitioner is advised, and therefore believes and avers, that the Respondent is now residing in and domiciled at Fairhope, Box 574 in the State of Alabama and which State has enacted into law an Act substantially similar and reciprocal to the Illinois Uniform Support Law.

6. That under the provisions of the Illinois Uniform Support Law, and a similar and reciprocal Law now in force in the State of Alabama, the Respondent owes a duty of support to the dependent..... herein named.

7. That the Petitioner is informed, and therefore believes that the Respondent is gainfully employed in said State of Alabama and therefore should contribute and provide a fair and reasonable amount of support and maintenance for the dependent..... herein named, in accordance with his means and earning capacity.

WHEREFORE, your Petitioner now elects to proceed under the provisions of the Illinois Uniform Support Law, and under such similar and reciprocal law in force in the State of Alabama and prays for an order of support for ..... and the dependent minor child....., to be directed against the Respondent, Kenneth Johnson as shall be deemed fair and reasonable, and for such other and further relief as the law now provides under the foregoing conditions and circumstances.

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner

STATE OF ILLINOIS }
COUNTY OF COOK } ss.

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Louise Johnson Marro, who being first duly sworn according to law deposes and says, that she is the Petitioner in the above and foregoing Complaint; that she has read said Complaint and is familiar with the contents thereof, and that the same is true of her own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on information and investigation, and as to those and such matters she believes them to be true.

My Commission Expires: January 19, 19 57

Sworn to and subscribed
before me this 30th
day of December A.D.,
19 55 Eileen Howe

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner

IN THE COUNTY COURT IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COOK, ILLINOIS

In the Matter of:

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner

Vs.

Kenneth Johnson
Respondent

No.

PAUPER'S AFFIDAVIT

The undersigned, Louise Johnson Marro, being duly sworn according to law deposes and says, that she is making the foregoing application and complaint for support, and by said Complaint is prosecuting this proceeding for support of ..... and ..... minor dependent child ..... in the County Court in and for the County of Cook, Illinois, and in the proper Court of the State of Alabama, as a poor person.

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner

STATE OF ILLINOIS
COUNTY OF COOK } ss.

On this 30th day of December A. D., 1955, personally appeared Louise Johnson Marro, known to me as the Petitioner, and states that she executed the foregoing Pauper's Affidavit for the purpose therein stated and which is true.

Eileen Neve

(Seal)

My Commission Expires: January 19, 1957

CAPITOL BUILDING
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

EDWARD J. BARRETT
SECRETARY OF STATE

HOUSE BILL #869, 66th GENERAL ASSEMBLY

An Act authorizing and prescribing the procedure for civil proceedings to compel the support of dependent wives, children and poor relatives within and without the state.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly:

Section 1. Shore title; purpose.) This act may be cited and referred to as the Uniform Support of Dependents Law.

The purpose of this uniform act is to secure support in civil proceedings for dependent wives, children and poor relatives from persons legally responsible for their support.

Section 2. Definitions.) As used in this act, unless the context shall require otherwise, the following terms shall have the means ascribed to them by this section:

(a) "State" shall mean and include any state, territory or possession of the United States and the District of Columbia.

(b) "Court" shall mean and include a family court, domestic relations court, children's court, municipal court and any other court by whatever name known, in any state having reciprocal laws or laws substantially similar to this act upon which jurisdiction has been conferred to determine the liability of persons for the support of dependents within and without such state.

(c) "Child" includes a step child, foster child or legally adopted child and means a child actually or apparently under seventeen years of age, and a child over seventeen years of age who is unable to maintain himself and is likely to become a public charge.

(d) "Dependent" shall mean and include a wife, child, mother, father, grandparent or grandchild who is in need of and entitled to support from a person who is declared to be legally liable for such support by the laws of the state or states wherein the petitioner and the respondent reside.

(e) "Petitioner" shall mean and include each dependent person for whom support is sought in a proceeding instituted pursuant to this act.

(f) "Respondent" shall mean and include each person against whom a proceeding is instituted pursuant to this act.

(g) "Petitioner's Representative" shall mean and include a corporation counsel, county attorney, state's attorney, commonwealth attorney and any other public officer, by whatever title his public office may be known, charged by law with the duty of instituting, maintaining or prosecuting a proceeding under this act or under the laws of the state or states wherein the petitioner and the respondent reside.

(h) "Summons" shall mean and include a subpoena, warrant, citation, order or other notice, by whatever name known, provided for by the laws of the state or states wherein the petitioner and the respondent reside as the means for requiring the appearance and attendance in court of the respondent in a proceeding instituted pursuant to this act.

(i) "Initiating state" shall mean the state of domicile or residence of the petitioner.

(j) "Responding state" shall mean the state wherein the respondent resides or is domiciled or found.

Section 3. Persons Legally Liable for Support of Dependents.) For the purposes of this Act:

(a) A husband is liable for the support of his wife and any child or children under seventeen years of age and any other dependent, and if possessed of sufficient means or able to earn such means may be required to pay for their support a fair and reasonable sum according to his means, as may be determined by the court having jurisdiction of the husband in a proceeding instituted under this Act. A woman who was or is held out as his wife by a man by virtue of a common law marriage recognized as valid by the laws of both the initiating state and the responding state shall be deemed the legitimate wife of such man.

(b) A mother is liable for the support of her child or children under seventeen years of age whenever the father of such child or children is dead, cannot be found or is incapable of supporting such child or children, and if she is possessed of sufficient means or able to earn such means, she may be required to pay for the support of such child or children a fair and reasonable sum according to her means, as may be determined by the court having jurisdiction of the mother in a proceeding instituted under this Act.

(c) The parents are severally liable for the support of a child seventeen years of age or older whenever such child is unable to maintain himself and is likely to become a public charge; they are severally liable for the support of a child or children born to them if at any time prior or subsequent to the birth of such child they have entered into a civil or religious marriage ceremony, regardless of the validity of the marriage; they are severally liable for the support of a child or children born to them if they have held or are holding themselves out as husband and wife by virtue of a common law marriage recognized as valid by the laws of both the initiating state and the responding state.

(d) Notwithstanding the fact that the respondent has obtained a final decree of divorce or separation from his wife or a decree dissolving his marriage, the father is liable for the support of any dependent child of the marriage.

Section 4. Jurisdiction and powers of court.) For the purposes of this act:

(a) The court in this State shall have jurisdiction regardless of the state of last residence or domicile of the petitioner or the respondent, whether the respondent has ever been a resident of the initiating state and whether the petitioner has ever been a resident of the responding state, if the other state involved, whether initiating or responding, has laws substantially similar or reciprocal to this Act.

(b) The court of the responding state shall have the power to order the respondent to pay sums sufficient to provide necessary food, shelter, clothing, care, medical or hospital expenses, expenses of confinement, expenses of education of a child, funeral expenses and such other reasonable and proper expenses of the petitioner as justice requires, having due regard to the circumstances of the respective parties.

(c) The courts of both the initiating state and the responding state shall have the power to order testimony to be taken in either or both of such states by deposition or written interrogatories, and to limit the nature of and the extent to which the right so to take testimony shall be exercised, provided that the respondent is given a full and fair opportunity to answer the allegations of the petitioner.

Section 5. Cases in which proceedings are maintainable.) A proceeding to compel support of a dependent may be maintained under this act in any of the following cases:

(a) Where the petitioner and the respondent are residents of or are domiciled or are found in this state.

(b) Where the petitioner resides in this State and the respondent is a resident of, is domiciled or is found in another State having substantially similar or reciprocal laws; or where the respondent is a resident of, is domiciled or is found in this State and the petitioner resides in another State having substantially similar or reciprocal laws.

(c) Where the respondent is not and never was a resident of or domiciled in the initiating state and the petitioner resides or is domiciled in such state and the respondent is believed to be a resident of or domiciled in this State, if the initiating state has substantially similar or reciprocal laws.

(d) Where the respondent was or is a resident of or domiciled in the initiating state and has departed or departs from such state leaving therein a dependent in need of and entitled to support under this Act and is believed to be a resident of or domiciled in this State if the initiating state has substantially similar or reciprocal laws.

Section 6. Procedure.)

(a) A proceeding under this act shall be commenced by a petitioner by filing a verified petition in the court in the county of the state wherein he resides or is domiciled, showing the name, age, residence and circumstances of the petitioner, alleging that he is in need of and is entitled to support from the respondent, giving his name, age, residence and circumstances, and praying that the respondent be compelled to furnish such support.

(b) If the respondent be a resident of or domiciled in such state and the court has or can acquire jurisdiction of the person of the respondent under existing laws in effect in such state, such laws shall govern and control the procedure to be followed in such proceeding.

(c) If the respondent be not a resident of or domiciled in or cannot be found in such state, a judge of such court may, and if this State is the initiating state shall certify that a verified petition has been filed in his court in a proceeding against the respondent under this act to compel the support of the petitioner, that a summons, duly issued out of his court for service upon the respondent has been returned with an affidavit to the effect that the respondent cannot with due diligence be located or served with such process in the initiating state, that the respondent is believed to be residing or domiciled in the responding state and that, in his opinion, the respondent should be compelled to answer such petition and should be dealt with according to law, and he may and if this state is the initiating state shall transmit such certificate and exemplified copies of such petition and summons to the appropriate court in the responding state.

If the initiating state has laws substantially similar to or reciprocal with this Act, any judge of a court in the county of this State in which the respondent resides or is domiciled or is found, upon presentation to him of a certificate and exemplified copies of a petition and summons from a court in such initiating state, shall fix a time and place for a hearing on such petition and shall issue a summons out of his court, directed to the respondent, duly requiring him to appear at such time and place.

It shall not be necessary for the petitioner or the petitioner's witnesses to appear personally at such hearing, but the petitioner's representative of this State shall appear on behalf of and represent the petitioner at all stages of the proceeding. If at such hearing the respondent controverts the petition and enters a verified denial of any of the material allegations thereof, the judge presiding at such hearing shall stay the proceedings and transmit to the judge of the court in the initiating state a transcript of the clerk's minutes showing the denials entered by the respondent.

Upon receipt by the judge of the court in the initiating state of such transcript, such court may take such proof including the testimony of the petitioner and the petitioner's witnesses and such other evidence as the court may deem proper, and after due deliberations, the court may make its recommendation, based on all of such proof and evidence, and transmit to the court in this state an exemplified transcript of such proof and evidence and of its proceedings and recommendation in connection therewith. Upon the receipt of such transcript, the court in this State shall resume its hearing in the proceeding and shall give the respondent a reasonable opportunity to appear and reply. Upon the resumption of such hearing, the respondent shall have the right to examine or cross-examine the petitioner and the petitioner's witnesses by means of depositions or written interrogatories, and the petitioner shall have the right to examine or cross-examine

the respondent and the respondent's witnesses by means of depositions or written interrogatories.

If a respondent, duly summoned by a court in this state, wilfully fails without good cause to appear as directed in the summons, he shall be punished in the same manner and to the same extent as is provided by law for the punishment of a defendant or witness who wilfully disobeys a summons or subpoena duly issued out of such court in any other action or proceeding cognizable by said court.

If, on the return of the summons, the respondent appears at the time and place specified therein and fails to answer the petition or admits the allegations thereof, or, if, after a hearing has been held by the court in this state in accordance with the provisions of this section, such court has found and determined that the prayer of the petitioner, or any part thereof, is supported by the evidence adduced in the proceeding, and that the petitioner is in need of and entitled to support from the respondent, the court shall make and enter an order directing the respondent to furnish support to the petitioner and to pay therefor such sum as the court shall determine, having due regard to the parties' means and circumstances. An exemplified copy of such order shall be transmitted by the court to the court in the initiating state and such copy shall be filed with and made a part of the records of such court in such proceeding. The court shall place the respondent on probation on such terms and conditions as the court may deem proper or necessary to assure faithful compliance by the respondent with such order. The court shall also have power to require the respondent to furnish recognizance in the form of a cash deposit or surety bond in such amount as the court may deem proper and just to assure the payment of the amount required to be paid by the respondent for the support of the petitioner.

The court making such order shall have the power to direct the respondent to make the payments required thereby at specified intervals to the probation department or bureau of the court and to report personally to such department or bureau at such times as may be deemed necessary and the respondent shall at all times be under the supervision of such department or bureau.

A respondent who wilfully fails to comply with or who violates the terms or conditions of the support order or of his probation shall be punished by the court in the same manner and to the same extent as is provided by law for a contempt of such court or a violation of probation ordered by such court in any other suit or proceeding cognizable by such court.

Upon the receipt of a payment made by the respondent pursuant to the order of the court of this State in any proceeding under this act, the probation department or bureau of such court shall forthwith transmit the same to the court of the initiating state. The probation department or bureau of the court in this State shall, not later than thirty days following the end of each fiscal year, furnish to the court of the initiating state an itemized statement under oath of all payments made by the respondent during such fiscal year for the support of the petitioner in such proceeding. The court of the initiating state may receive and accept all payments made by the respondent to the probation department or bureau of the court of this state and transmitted by the latter on behalf of the respondent. Upon receipt of any such payment, and under such rules as the court of the initiating state may prescribe, the court, or its probation department or bureau, as the court may direct, shall deliver such payment to the dependent person entitled thereto, take a proper receipt and acquittance therefor, and keep a permanent record thereof.

Section 7. Duty of petitioners' representatives.) It shall be the duty of all petitioners' representatives of this state to appear in this state on behalf of and represent the petitioner in every proceeding pursuant to this act, at the time the petition is filed and at all stages of the proceeding thereafter, and to obtain and present such evidence or proof as may be required by the court in the initiating state or the responding state.

Section 8. Construction of act.) This act shall be construed to furnish an additional or alternative civil remedy and shall in no way affect or impair any other remedy, civil or criminal, provided in any other statute and available to the petitioner in relation to the same subject matter.

Section 9. Uniformity of interpretation.) This act shall be so interpreted and construed as to effectuate its general purpose to make uniform the law of the states which enact it.

Section 10. Separability.) If any part of this act or the application thereof to any person or circumstances is adjudged invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, such judgment shall not affect or impair the validity of the remainder of such act or the application thereof to other persons and circumstances.

Approved July 25, 1949

ADLAI E. STEVENSON,
Governor

The foregoing is a true and correct copy of Act of the General Assembly.

EDWARD J. BARRETT,
Secretary of State

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
COUNTY OF C O O K) ss.

Louise Johnson Marro Petitioner Vs. Kenneth Johnson Respondent	)	No_____

T E S T I M O N Y

Louise Johnson Marro, the Petitioner herein, being duly sworn, on oath testifies as follows:

Q. What is your full name and age?

A. Louise Johnson Marro, 42 years.

Q. Where are you now living?

A. 161 N. Central, Chicago.

Q. When and where were you married to the Respondent?

A. May 14, 1938 in Ephraim, Wisconsin.

Q. When and where were you divorced?

A. March 9, 1944 in Bay Minette, Ala.

Q. What are the names and ages of the children?

A. Craig b. 10/23/43.

Q. Are they living with you at the present time?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you and the children in good health?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you know where your husband is now living?

A. Fairhope, Alabama, Box 574.

Q. Do you know if and where your husband is now employed?

A. Brookley Field Air Base

Q. What are his earnings, if you know?

A. I don't know.

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner

The petitioner requests that an order in the amount of $ ~~$75.00 per month~~ be entered for the support of her child.

Taken and sworn to before me
this 30th day of December
A.D., 1955.

Eileen Howe

Robert J. Sears
Judge, County Court of Cook County

My Commission Expires: January 19, 1957

IN THE COUNTY COURT IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COOK, ILLINOIS

In the Matter of:

Louise Johnson Marpo
Petitioner

Vs.

Kenneth Johnson
Respondent

No.

CERTIFICATION
BY THE COURT

The undersigned, a Judge of the County Court in and for the County of Cook, hereby certified:

1. That on the.....day of....., 19....., the Petitioner appeared before this Court and verified in open Court her complaint, and which complaint was duly filed in this Court in a proceeding against the above named Respondent, and was commenced under the provisions of the Illinois Uniform Support Law, Chap. 68, Sec. 50, approved the 25th day of July, A. D., 1949, an authentic copy thereof being attached to said complaint, to compel the support of the dependents named therein.

2. That it has been established that the Respondent cannot be summoned, served with legal process nor apprehended within the State of Illinois for reason he is not within this jurisdiction.

3. That the above named Respondent is now believed, upon reliable information, to be residing and domiciled at.....Fairhope, Alabama, Box 574.....
in the State of.....Alabama.....

4. That the Petitioner has been examined before, and in the presence of, the undersigned, a Judge of the said Court, and under oath she has reaffirmed the allegations contained in the Complaint; and that an obligation and duty of support is due and owing the dependent..... named in said Complaint from the Respondent.

5. That in the opinion of the undersigned JUDGE the Respondent should be compelled to answer said Complaint and be dealt with according to law.

WHEREFORE, It is now ORDERED and DIRECTED that certified copies of this Order and Certification, together with exemplified copies of the Complaint, the Testimony of Petitioner, and any Attachments and Exhibits to said Complaint and Testimony, be forthwith transmitted to the Clerk of the proper Court of...Baldwin..... County, at.....Fairhope..... in the State of...Alabama....., for filing and procedure there against the Respondent according to the provisions of a similar and reciprocal Law enacted in that State.

Robert J. Sears
.....
JUDGE of the County Court in and for the County of Cook, Illinois.

ATTEST:

Clerk of County Court
(Seal)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

STATE OF ILLINOIS }
COUNTY OF COOK } ss.

I, ..., County Judge of Cook County, and a presiding Judge of the County Court of Cook County, in the State of Illinois (said Court being a Court of Record), DO HEREBY CERTIFY that....Edward J. Barrett....., Esq., whose name is subscribed to the foregoing Certificate of Attestation, now is and was at the time of signing and sealing the same, the....Clerk of the County Court.....of Cook County, aforesaid, and keeper of the records and seal thereof, duly elected and qualified to office, and that full faith and credit are, and of right ought to be, given to all his official acts as such, in all courts of record and elsewhere, and that his said attestation is in due form of law and by the proper officer.

Given under my hand and seal, at my chambers in Chicago, Illinois, this.....
day of....., A. D. 19.....

(SEAL)

Robert J. Sears
County Judge of Cook County, Illinois

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF JUDGES' SIGNATURE

STATE OF ILLINOIS }
COUNTY OF COOK } ss.

I, ...Edward J. Barrett....., Clerk of the County Court of Cook County, in the State of Illinois (said Court being a Court of Record), do hereby certify that the **Hon**....., whose name is subscribed to the annexed and foregoing certificate, was, at the time of the signing thereof, a County Judge of said Cook County, and a presiding Judge of said County Court of Cook County, duly elected, commissioned and qualified, and that his said signature is genuine.

In Witness Whereof, I have signed my name and affixed the seal of said County Court, at my office, in the City of Chicago, in said Cook County, this
.....day of.....JAN 3 1956....., 19.....

(SEAL)

Edward J. Barrett
County Clerk and Clerk of the County Court
of Cook County, Illinois

SEAL

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Baldwin Times

THE STATE OF ALABAMA,

BALDWIN COUNTY

Equity ~~CIRCUIT~~ COURT, BALDWIN COUNTY

No. 3990

Jan. TERM, 19 56

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You Are Hereby Commanded to Summon KENNETH JOHNSON, Fairhope, Ala. Box 574.

to appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against KENNETH JOHNSON, Fairhope, Ala. Box 574., Defendant

by LOUISE JOHNSON MARRO, Plaintiff

Witness my hand this 9 day of Jan. 19 56

Alice J. Duck, Clerk

No. ..... Page .....

THE STATE OF ALABAMA
BALDWIN COUNTY

CIRCUIT COURT

LOUISE JOHNSON MARRO

Plaintiffs

vs.

KENNETH JOHNSON

Defendants

SUMMONS and COMPLAINT

Filed Jan. 9, 19 56

Alice J. Duck, Clerk

Plaintiff's Attorney

Defendant's Attorney

Defendant lives at

RECEIVED IN OFFICE

_____, 19.....

_____, Sheriff

I have executed this summons

this _____, 19.....

by leaving a copy with

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

..... Sheriff

..... Deputy Sheriff

STATE OF ALABAMA)
)
BALDWIN COUNTY)

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION FOR RECIPROCAL ENFORCEMENT OF SUPPORT OF DEPENDENTS.	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
LOUISE JOHNSON MARRO, Petitioner,	IN EQUITY.
Vs.	CASE No. 3690
KENNETH JOHNSON, Respondent.	

This cause coming on to be heard was submitted upon a certified petition filed by Louis Johnson Marro, filed in this Court under the provisions of Reciprocal Legislation as set forth in Code of Alabama, 1940, Title 34, Sections 105 to 122, inclusive; a copy of said petition was duly served upon the Respondent, Kenneth Johnson, on the 23rd day of January, 1956, and the evidence in the cause heard on this date.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that Kenneth Johnson, the Respondent, pay to the Complainant, Louise Johnson Marro, for the support of their child, Craig Johnson, the sum of TWENTY ($20.00) DOLLARS per month, payable monthly directly to the Petitioner, Louise Johnson Marro.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Respondent, Kenneth Johnson, pay all costs of this Court inconnection with this cause.

Dated this 15th day of February, 1956.

Hubert M. Hall
Hubert M. Hall
Judge of Circuit Court

FILED
MAR 2 1956
ALICE L. BUCK, Register

DCS 792 5M 1-49 (FORM 17)

OFFICE OF
LAW DEPARTMENT
OF
COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
ROOM 507, COUNTY BUILDING

CHICAGO, ILL.

January 6, 1956

County Attorney
Baldwin County
Bay Minnette, Alabama

RE: Louise Johnson Marro
vs
Kenneth Johnson
Our File No. 3006

Dear Sir:

Enclosed find three copies of all the necessary papers in the above entitled cause for action under the Uniform Support of Dependents Law, together with a pauper's affidavit and a copy of our Illinois Act.

Please acknowledge receipt of these papers and keep us informed of your progress.

Very truly yours,

JOHN GUTKNECHT
STATE'S ATTORNEY

By: Martin F. Brodkin
Assistant State's Attorney

Enc. 3
MFB:kg

3006

3690

INTRODUCTION

IN THE COUNTY COURT IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COOK, ILLINOIS

In the Matter of:

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner,

Sex Age
F. 42

566 008

Address
161 N. Central, Chicago

County Court
Case No.

Versus

Kenneth Johnson
Respondent.

Sex Age
M. 43

Address
Box 574, Fairhope, Alabama

A PETITION FOR SUPPORT UNDER THE ILLINOIS UNIFORM SUPPORT LAW AND SUCH SIMILAR AND RECIPROCAL LAW IN FORCE IN THE STATE OF

Alabama

INDEX

to Proceeding.

If support order is made, payments may be transmitted through:

Clerk of the County Court,
Cook County, Illinois.
Address Department of Public Welfare,
69 W. Washington, Room 320, Chicago 2, Ill.

BOOK 019 PAGE 238

IN THE COUNTY COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

In the Matter of:

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner

Vs.

Kenneth Johnson
Respondent

No.....

A Complaint for Support Under the Illinois Uniform Support Law.

PETITION

To the Honorable, the Judges of the said Court:

The complaint of Louise Johnson Marro respectfully represents:

1. That she was the lawful wife of Kenneth Johnson, the Respondent, having been united in lawful marriage to said Respondent on May 14, 1938 19 38, at Ephrain, Wisconsin and that she now resides at 161 N. Central, Chicago Cook County, Illinois

2. That the Petitioner is the mother of, and the Respondent the father of, the following named minor dependent child..... born to this lawful marriage:

1. Craig Born 10/23/ 1943
2. ..... Born ..... 19.....
3. ..... Born ..... 19.....
4. ..... Born ..... 19.....
5. ..... Born ..... 19.....
6. ..... Born ..... 19.....

3. That Louise Johnson Marro and the said minor dependent child..... (is) (are) in need of, and (is) (are) entitled to fair and reasonable support and maintenance from the Respondent under the provisions of the Illinois Uniform Support Law, Chap. 68, Sec. 50, of 1951 Ill. Rev. Stat. approved by the General Assembly of Illinois the 25th day of July, A. D., 1949, a true, correct and authentic copy thereof being hereto attached and made part hereof.

4. That the Respondent, on and about the ..... day of February 1944, and subsequent thereto, refused, failed and neglected to provide and contribute fair and reasonable support and maintenance to and for the ..... and the minor dependent child..... named in paragraph 2 of this complaint in accordance with his means and earning capacity.

5. That upon information received, and after investigation, Petitioner is advised, and therefore believes and avers, that the Respondent is now residing in and domiciled at Fairhope, Box 574 in the State of Alabama and which State has enacted into law an Act substantially similar and reciprocal to the Illinois Uniform Support Law.

6. That under the provisions of the Illinois Uniform Support Law, and a similar and reciprocal Law now in force in the State of Alabama, the Respondent owes a duty of support to the dependent herein named.

7. That the Petitioner is informed, and therefore believes that the Respondent is gainfully employed in said State of Alabama and therefore should contribute and provide a fair and reasonable amount of support and maintenance for the dependent herein named, in accordance with his means and earning capacity.

WHEREFORE, your Petitioner now elects to proceed under the provisions of the Illinois Uniform Support Law, and under such similar and reciprocal law in force in the State of Alabama and prays for an order of support for and the dependent minor child, to be directed against the Respondent, Kenneth Johnson as shall be deemed fair and reasonable, and for such other and further relief as the law now provides under the foregoing conditions and circumstances.

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner

STATE OF ILLINOIS
COUNTY OF COOK } ss.

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Louise Johnson Marro, who being first duly sworn according to law deposes and says, that she is the Petitioner in the above and foregoing Complaint; that she has read said Complaint and is familiar with the contents thereof, and that the same is true of her own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on information and investigation, and as to those and such matters she believes them to be true.

My Commission Expires: January 19, 1957

Sworn to and subscribed
before me this 30th
day of December A.D.,
1955 Eulein Howe

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner

IN THE COUNTY COURT IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COOK, ILLINOIS

In the Matter of:

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner

Vs.

Kenneth Johnson
Respondent

No.

PAUPER'S AFFIDAVIT

The undersigned, Louise Johnson Marro, being duly sworn according to law deposes and says, that she is making the foregoing application and complaint for support, and by said Complaint is prosecuting this proceeding for support of ..... and ..... minor dependent child ..... in the County Court in and for the County of Cook, Illinois, and in the proper Court of the State of Alabama, as a poor person.

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner

STATE OF ILLINOIS
COUNTY OF COOK } ss.

On this 30th day of December A. D., 19 55, personally appeared Louise Johnson Marro, known to me as the Petitioner, and states that she executed the foregoing Pauper's Affidavit for the purpose therein stated and which is true.

Eileen Hawe

(Seal)

My Commission Expires: January 19, 19 57

CAPITOL BUILDING
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

EDWARD J. BARRETT
SECRETARY OF STATE

HOUSE BILL #869, 66th GENERAL ASSEMBLY

An Act authorizing and prescribing the procedure for civil proceedings to compel the support of dependent wives, children and poor relatives within and without the state.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly:

Section 1. Shore title; purpose.) This act may be cited and referred to as the Uniform Support of Dependents Law.

The purpose of this uniform act is to secure support in civil proceedings for dependent wives, children and poor relatives from persons legally responsible for their support.

Section 2. Definitions.) As used in this act, unless the context shall require otherwise, the following terms shall have the means ascribed to them by this section:

(a) "State" shall mean and include any state, territory or possession of the United States and the District of Columbia.

(b) "Court" shall mean and include a family court, domestic relations court, children's court, municipal court and any other court by whatever name known, in any state having reciprocal laws or laws substantially similar to this act upon which jurisdiction has been conferred to determine the liability of persons for the support of dependents within and without such state.

(c) "Child" includes a step child, foster child or legally adopted child and means a child actually or apparently under seventeen years of age, and a child over seventeen years of age who is unable to maintain himself and is likely to become a public charge.

(d) "Dependent" shall mean and include a wife, child, mother, father, grandparent or grandchild who is in need of and entitled to support from a person who is declared to be legally liable for such support by the laws of the state or states wherein the petitioner and the respondent reside.

(e) "Petitioner" shall mean and include each dependent person for whom support is sought in a proceeding instituted pursuant to this act.

(f) "Respondent" shall mean and include each person against whom a proceeding is instituted pursuant to this act.

(g) "Petitioner's Representative" shall mean and include a corporation counsel, county attorney, state's attorney, commonwealth attorney and any other public officer, by whatever title his public office may be known, charged by law with the duty of instituting, maintaining or prosecuting a proceeding under this act or under the laws of the state or states wherein the petitioner and the respondent reside.

(h) "Summons" shall mean and include a subpoena, warrant, citation, order or other notice, by whatever name known, provided for by the laws of the state or states wherein the petitioner and the respondent reside as the means for requiring the appearance and attendance in court of the respondent in a proceeding instituted pursuant to this act.

(i) "Initiating state" shall mean the state of domicile or residence of the petitioner.

(j) "Responding state" shall mean the state wherein the respondent resides or is domiciled or found.

Section 3. Persons Legally Liable for Support of Dependents.) For the purposes of this Act:

(a) A husband is liable for the support of his wife and any child or children under seventeen years of age and any other dependent, and if possessed of sufficient means or able to earn such means may be required to pay for their support a fair and reasonable sum according to his means, as may be determined by the court having jurisdiction of the husband in a proceeding instituted under this Act. A woman who was or is held out as his wife by a man by virtue of a common law marriage recognized as valid by the laws of both the initiating state and the responding state shall be deemed the legitimate wife of such man.

(b) A mother is liable for the support of her child or children under seventeen years of age whenever the father of such child or children is dead, cannot be found or is incapable of supporting such child or children, and if she is possessed of sufficient means or able to earn such means, she may be required to pay for the support of such child or children a fair and reasonable sum according to her means, as may be determined by the court having jurisdiction of the mother in a proceeding instituted under this Act.

(c) The parents are severally liable for the support of a child seventeen years of age or older whenever such child is unable to maintain himself and is likely to become a public charge; they are severally liable for the support of a child or children born to them if at any time prior or subsequent to the birth of such child they have entered into a civil or religious marriage ceremony, regardless of the validity of the marriage; they are severally liable for the support of a child or children born to them if they have held or are holding themselves out as husband and wife by virtue of a common law marriage recognized as valid by the laws of both the initiating state and the responding state.

(d) Notwithstanding the fact that the respondent has obtained a final decree of divorce or separation from his wife or a decree dissolving his marriage, the father is liable for the support of any dependent child of the marriage.

Section 4. Jurisdiction and powers of court.) For the purposes of this act:

(a) The court in this State shall have jurisdiction regardless of the state of last residence or domicile of the petitioner or the respondent, whether the respondent has ever been a resident of the initiating state and whether the petitioner has ever been a resident of the responding state, if the other state involved, whether initiating or responding, has laws substantially similar or reciprocal to this Act.

(b) The court of the responding state shall have the power to order the respondent to pay sums sufficient to provide necessary food, shelter, clothing, care, medical or hospital expenses, expenses of confinement, expenses of education of a child, funeral expenses and such other reasonable and proper expenses of the petitioner as justice requires, having due regard to the circumstances of the respective parties.

(c) The courts of both the initiating state and the responding state shall have the power to order testimony to be taken in either or both of such states by deposition or written interrogatories, and to limit the nature of and the extent to which the right so to take testimony shall be exercised, provided that the respondent is given a full and fair opportunity to answer the allegations of the petitioner.

Section 5. Cases in which proceedings are maintainable.) A proceeding to compel support of a dependent may be maintained under this act in any of the following cases:

(a) Where the petitioner and the respondent are residents of or are domiciled or are found in this state.

(b) Where the petitioner resides in this State and the respondent is a resident of, is domiciled or is found in another State having substantially similar or reciprocal laws; or where the respondent is a resident of, is domiciled or is found in this State and the petitioner resides in another State having substantially similar or reciprocal laws.

(c) Where the respondent is not and never was a resident of or domiciled in the initiating state and the petitioner resides or is domiciled in such state and the respondent is believed to be a resident of or domiciled in this State, if the initiating state has substantially similar or reciprocal laws.

(d) Where the respondent was or is a resident of or domiciled in the initiating state and has departed or departs from such state leaving therein a dependent in need of and entitled to support under this Act and is believed to be a resident of or domiciled in this State if the initiating state has substantially similar or reciprocal laws.

Section 6. Procedure.)

(a) A proceeding under this act shall be commenced by a petitioner by filing a verified petition in the court in the county of the state wherein he resides or is domiciled, showing the name, age, residence and circumstances of the petitioner, alleging that he is in need of and is entitled to support from the respondent, giving his name, age, residence and circumstances, and praying that the respondent be compelled to furnish such support.

(b) If the respondent be a resident of or domiciled in such state and the court has or can acquire jurisdiction of the person of the respondent under existing laws in effect in such state, such laws shall govern and control the procedure to be followed in such proceeding.

(c) If the respondent be not a resident of or domiciled in or cannot be found in such state, a judge of such court may, and if this State is the initiating state shall certify that a verified petition has been filed in his court in a proceeding against the respondent under this act to compel the support of the petitioner, that a summons, duly issued out of his court for service upon the respondent has been returned with an affidavit to the effect that the respondent cannot with due diligence be located or served with such process in the initiating state, that the respondent is believed to be residing or domiciled in the responding state and that, in his opinion, the respondent should be compelled to answer such petition and should be dealt with according to law, and he may and if this state is the initiating state shall transmit such certificate and exemplified copies of such petition and summons to the appropriate court in the responding state.

If the initiating state has laws substantially similar to or reciprocal with this Act, any judge of a court in the county of this State in which the respondent resides or is domiciled or is found, upon presentation to him of a certificate and exemplified copies of a petition and summons from a court in such initiating state, shall fix a time and place for a hearing on such petition and shall issue a summons out of his court, directed to the respondent, duly requiring him to appear at such time and place.

It shall not be necessary for the petitioner or the petitioner's witnesses to appear personally at such hearing, but the petitioner's representative of this State shall appear on behalf of and represent the petitioner at all stages of the proceeding. If at such hearing the respondent controverts the petition and enters a verified denial of any of the material allegations thereof, the judge presiding at such hearing shall stay the proceedings and transmit to the judge of the court in the initiating state a transcript of the clerk's minutes showing the denials entered by the respondent.

Upon receipt by the judge of the court in the initiating state of such transcript, such court may take such proof including the testimony of the petitioner and the petitioner's witnesses and such other evidence as the court may deem proper, and after due deliberations, the court may make its recommendation, based on all of such proof and evidence, and transmit to the court in this state an exemplified transcript of such proof and evidence and of its proceedings and recommendation in connection therewith. Upon the receipt of such transcript, the court in this State shall resume its hearing in the proceeding and shall give the respondent a reasonable opportunity to appear and reply. Upon the resumption of such hearing, the respondent shall have the right to examine or cross-examine the petitioner and the petitioner's witnesses by means of depositions or written interrogatories, and the petitioner shall have the right to examine or cross-examine

the respondent and the respondent's witnesses by means of depositions or written interrogatories.

If a respondent, duly summoned by a court in this state, wilfully fails without good cause to appear as directed in the summons, he shall be punished in the same manner and to the same extent as is provided by law for the punishment of a defendant or witness who wilfully disobeys a summons or subpoena duly issued out of such court in any other action or proceeding cognizable by said court.

If, on the return of the summons, the respondent appears at the time and place specified therein and fails to answer the petition or admits the allegations thereof, or, if, after a hearing has been held by the court in this state in accordance with the provisions of this section, such court has found and determined that the prayer of the petitioner, or any part thereof, is supported by the evidence adduced in the proceeding, and that the petitioner is in need of and entitled to support from the respondent, the court shall make and enter an order directing the respondent to furnish support to the petitioner and to pay therefor such sum as the court shall determine, having due regard to the parties' means and circumstances. An exemplified copy of such order shall be transmitted by the court to the court in the initiating state and such copy shall be filed with and made a part of the records of such court in such proceeding. The court shall place the respondent on probation on such terms and conditions as the court may deem proper or necessary to assure faithful compliance by the respondent with such order. The court shall also have power to require the respondent to furnish recognizance in the form of a cash deposit or surety bond in such amount as the court may deem proper and just to assure the payment of the amount required to be paid by the respondent for the support of the petitioner.

The court making such order shall have the power to direct the respondent to make the payments required thereby at specified intervals to the probation department or bureau of the court and to report personally to such department or bureau at such times as may be deemed necessary and the respondent shall at all times be under the supervision of such department or bureau.

A respondent who wilfully fails to comply with or who violates the terms or conditions of the support order or of his probation shall be punished by the court in the same manner and to the same extent as is provided by law for a contempt of such court or a violation of probation ordered by such court in any other suit or proceeding cognizable by such court.

Upon the receipt of a payment made by the respondent pursuant to the order of the court of this State in any proceeding under this act, the probation department or bureau of such court shall forthwith transmit the same to the court of the initiating state. The probation department or bureau of the court in this State shall, not later than thirty days following the end of each fiscal year, furnish to the court of the initiating state an itemized statement under oath of all payments made by the respondent during such fiscal year for the support of the petitioner in such proceeding. The court of the initiating state may receive and accept all payments made by the respondent to the probation department or bureau of the court of this state and transmitted by the latter on behalf of the respondent. Upon receipt of any such payment, and under such rules as the court of the initiating state may prescribe, the court, or its probation department or bureau, as the court may direct, shall deliver such payment to the dependent person entitled thereto, take a proper receipt and acquittance therefor, and keep a permanent record thereof.

Section 7. Duty of petitioners' representatives.) It shall be the duty of all petitioners' representatives of this state to appear in this state on behalf of and represent the petitioner in every proceeding pursuant to this act, at the time the petition is filed and at all stages of the proceeding thereafter, and to obtain and present such evidence or proof as may be required by the court in the initiating state or the responding state.

Section 8. Construction of act.) This act shall be construed to furnish an additional or alternative civil remedy and shall in no way affect or impair any other remedy, civil or criminal, provided in any other statute and available to the petitioner in relation to the same subject matter.

Section 9. Uniformity of interpretation.) This act shall be so interpreted and construed as to effectuate its general purpose to make uniform the law of the states which enact it.

Section 10. Separability.) If any part of this act or the application thereof to any person or circumstances is adjudged invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, such judgment shall not affect or impair the validity of the remainder of such act or the application thereof to other persons and circumstances.

Approved July 25, 1949

ADLAI E. STEVENSON,
Governor

The foregoing is a true and correct copy of Act of the General Assembly.

EDWARD J. BARRETT,
Secretary of State

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
COUNTY OF C O O K) ss.

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner
Vs.
Kenneth Johnson
Respondent

No_____

T E S T I M O N Y

Louise Johnson Marro, the Petitioner herein, being duly sworn, on oath testifies as follows:

Q. What is your full name and age?

A. Louise Johnson Marro, 42 years.

Q. Where are you now living?

A. 161 N. Central, Chicago.

Q. When and where were you married to the Respondent?

A. May 14, 1938 in Ephraim, Wisconsin.

Q. When and where were you divorced?

A. March 9, 1944 in Bay Minette, Ala.

Q. What are the names and ages of the children?

A. Craig b. 10/23/43.

Q. Are they living with you at the present time?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you and the children in good health?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you know where your husband is now living?

A. Fairhope, Alabama, Box 574.

Q. Do you know if and where your husband is now employed?

A. Brookley Field Air Base

Q. What are his earnings, if you know?

A. I don't know.

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner

The petitioner requests that an order in the amount of $ $75.00 per month be entered for the support of her child.

Taken and sworn to before me
this 30th day of December
A.D., 19 55.

Eugene Howe
Robert J. Sears
Judge, County Court of Cook County

My Commission Expires: January 19, 19 57

IN THE COUNTY COURT IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COOK, ILLINOIS

In the Matter of:

Louise Johnson Marro
Petitioner

Vs.

Kenneth Johnson
Respondent

No.

CERTIFICATION
BY THE COURT

The undersigned, a Judge of the County Court in and for the County of Cook, hereby certified:

1. That on the 3rd day of January, 1956, the Petitioner appeared before this Court and verified in open Court her complaint, and which complaint was duly filed in this Court in a proceeding against the above named Respondent, and was commenced under the provisions of the Illinois Uniform Support Law, Chap. 68, Sec. 50, approved the 25th day of July, A. D., 1949, an authentic copy thereof being attached to said complaint, to compel the support of the dependents named therein.

2. That it has been established that the Respondent cannot be summoned, served with legal process nor apprehended within the State of Illinois for reason he is not within this jurisdiction.

3. That the above named Respondent is now believed, upon reliable information, to be residing and domiciled at Fairhope, Alabama, Box 574 in the State of Alabama

4. That the Petitioner has been examined before, and in the presence of, the undersigned, a Judge of the said Court, and under oath she has reaffirmed the allegations contained in the Complaint; and that an obligation and duty of support is due and owing the dependent..... named in said Complaint from the Respondent.

5. That in the opinion of the undersigned JUDGE the Respondent should be compelled to answer said Complaint and be dealt with according to law.

WHEREFORE, It is now ORDERED and DIRECTED that certified copies of this Order and Certification, together with exemplified copies of the Complaint, the Testimony of Petitioner, and any Attachments and Exhibits to said Complaint and Testimony, be forthwith transmitted to the Clerk of the proper Court of Baldwin County, at Fairhope in the State of Alabama, for filing and procedure there against the Respondent according to the provisions of a similar and reciprocal Law enacted in that State.

Robert J. Sears
JUDGE of the County Court in and for the County of Cook, Illinois.

ATTEST:

Clerk of County Court
(Seal)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

STATE OF ILLINOIS }
COUNTY OF COOK } ss.

I, Robert J. Sears, County Judge of Cook County, and a presiding Judge of the County Court of Cook County, in the State of Illinois (said Court being a Court of Record), DO HEREBY CERTIFY that Edward J. Barrett, Esq., whose name is subscribed to the foregoing Certificate of Attestation, now is and was at the time of signing and sealing the same, the Clerk of the County Court of Cook County, aforesaid, and keeper of the records and seal thereof, duly elected and qualified to office, and that full faith and credit are, and of right ought to be, given to all his official acts as such, in all courts of record and elsewhere, and that his said attestation is in due form of law and by the proper officer.

Given under my hand and seal, at my chambers in Chicago, Illinois, this 3rd day of January, A. D. 19 56

(SEAL)

Robert J. Sears
County Judge of Cook County, Illinois

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF JUDGES' SIGNATURE

STATE OF ILLINOIS }
COUNTY OF COOK } ss.

I, Edward J. Barrett, Clerk of the County Court of Cook County, in the State of Illinois (said Court being a Court of Record), do hereby certify that the Hon. Robert J. Sears, whose name is subscribed to the annexed and foregoing certificate, was, at the time of the signing thereof, a County Judge of said Cook County, and a presiding Judge of said County Court of Cook County, duly elected, commissioned and qualified, and that his said signature is genuine.

In Witness Whereof, I have signed my name and affixed the seal of said County Court, at my office, in the City of Chicago, in said Cook County, this ..... day of JAN 3 1956, 19.....

(SEAL)

Edward J. Barrett
County Clerk and Clerk of the County Court
of Cook County, Illinois

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Baldwin Times

THE STATE OF ALABAMA,

BALDWIN COUNTY

EQUITY
X CIRCUIT COURT, BALDWIN COUNTY

No. 3690

Jan. TERM, 19 56

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You Are Hereby Commanded to Summon KENNETH JOHNSON, Fairhope, Ala. Box 574.

to appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against

KENNETH JOHNSON, Fairhope, Ala. Box 574., Defendant

by

LOUISE JOHNSON MARRO, Plaintiff

Witness my hand this 9 day of Jan. 19 56

Alice K. Drake, Clerk

Fairhope 2 Pier St.

No. 3690 Page 114

THE STATE OF ALABAMA
BALDWIN COUNTY

CIRCUIT COURT

LOUISE JOHNSON MARRO

Plaintiffs

vs.

KENNETH JOHNSON

Defendants

SUMMONS and COMPLAINT

Filed Jan. 9, 1956

Alice J. Duck, Clerk

Plaintiff's Attorney

Defendant's Attorney

Defendant lives at

RECEIVED IN OFFICE

Jan. 9, 1956

Taylor Wilkins, Sheriff

I have executed this summons

this Jan 23, 1956

by leaving a copy with

Kenneth Johnson

Sheriff claims 70 miles at

Ten Cents per mile Total $7.00

TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff

BY Childress

DEPUTY SHERIFF

Taylor Wilkins Sheriff

Charlie Childress Deputy Sheriff

Fairhope

STATE OF ALABAMA)
)
BALDWIN COUNTY)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
In Equity
Case No. 3690

LOUISE JOHNSON MARRO
Complainant,
Vs.
KENNETH JOHNSON
Respondent.

This day came Kenneth Cooper, Solicitor, and filed his petition praying that Kenneth Johnson be required to appear and show cause, if any he have, why he should not be puninshed as for a contempt in regard to the nature of things set out in the petition; and upon consideration of the said petition, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the said Kenneth Johnson appear before the Court at 9:00 AM, on the 6th th day of November, 1958, in the court room of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, at Bay Minette, Alabama, and show cause, if any he have, why he should not be punished for a contempt.

Let a copy of said petition and of this rule to show cause be served forthwith, personally upon the said Kenneth Johnson by the Sheriff of Baldwin County, Alabama.

Done this 24 th day of Oct, 1958.

Hubert M. Hall

Hubert M. Hall
Circuit Judge

STATE OF ALABAMA)
)
BALDWIN COUNTY)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
Equity Division
Case No. 3690

LOUISE JOHNSON MARRO
Complainant
Vs.
KENNETH JOHNSON
Respondent.

TO THE HONORABLE HUBERT M. HALL, JUDGE OF CIRCUIT COURT, BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA, IN EQUITY SITTING:

Now comes the Complainant, represented by Kenneth Cooper, Solicitor for the 28th Judicial Circuit, State of Alabama, acting under the provisions of Article 4, Title 34, Sections 105 to 123, inclusive, Code of Alabama, 1940, as amended, and respectfully shows to the Court that by order of this Court made and entered on 15th day of February, 1956, the Respondent was ordered to pay to the Complainant the sum of $ 20.00 each month for the support of his one dependent child~~xxxx~~ to-wit, Craig Johnson

AS PROVIDED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act (Act No. 879. General Acts of Alabama, 1951, and Act No. 823, General Acts of Alabama, 1953); that said Respondent has wilfully failed to pay the said sum; that the Complainant is entitled to the above said sums under the provisions of the said Acts.

Wherefore, the Complainant respectfully prays that the Court make and enter an order requiring the Respondent to show cause if any he has why he should not be adjudged guilty of contempt of this Court for failure to obey the order of this Court requiring him to pay to the Complainant the sum of $ 20.00 each month.

Dated this 24 th day of Oct, 1958.

Kenneth Cooper
Kenneth Cooper, Solicitor

Fairhope

No. 3690

Louise Johnson Ward

vs.

Kenneth Johnson

FILED
OCT 24 1958
ALICE J. DUCK, CLERK
REGISTER

celved 24 day of Oct 1958
d on 24 day of Oct 1958
served a copy of the within Petition
Kenneth Johnson

/ service on

TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff
By [illegible] D. S.

at Fairhope

Sheriff claims 20 miles at
Ten Cents per mile Total $ 2.00
TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff
BY [illegible]
DEPUTY SHERIFF

STATE OF ALABAMA)
)
BALDWIN COUNTY)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
In Equity
Case No. 3960

LOUISE MARRO

Complainant,

Vs.

KENNETH JOHNSON

Respondent.

This day came Kenneth Cooper, Solicitor for Petitioner, and filed his petition praying that Kenneth Johnson be required to appear and show cause, if any he have, why he should not be puninshed as for a contempt in regard to the nature of things set out in the petition; and upon consideration of the said petition, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the said Kenneth Johnson appear before the Court at 9:00 AM, on the ~~3rd~~ 7th day of ~~October~~ NOV, 195 7, in the court room of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, at Bay Minette, Alabama, and show cause, if any he have, why he should not be punished for a contempt.

Let a copy of said petition and of this rule to show cause be served forthwith, personally upon the said Kenneth Johnson by the Sheriff of Baldwin County, Alabama.

Done this 6 th day of September, 1957.

Hubert M Hall

Hubert M. Hall
Circuit Judge

STATE OF ALABAMA)
)
BALDWIN COUNTY)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
Equity Division
Case No. 3960

LOUISE MARRO
Complainant
Vs.
KENNETH JOHNSON
Respondent.

TO THE HONORABLE HUBERT M. HALL, JUDGE OF CIRCUIT COURT, BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA, IN EQUITY SITTING:

Now comes the Complainant, represented by Kenneth Cooper, Solicitor for the 28th Judicial Circuit, State of Alabama, acting under the provisions of Article 4, Title 34, Sections 105 to 123, inclusive, Code of Alabama, 1940, as amended, and respectfully shows to the Court that by order of this Court made and entered on February 15, 1956, the Respondent was ordered to pay to the Complainant the sum of $20.00 each week for the support of his one dependent children, to-wit, Craig Johnson

AS PROVIDED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act (Act No. 879. General Acts of Alabama, 1951, and Act No. 823, General Acts of Alabama, 1953); that said Respondent has wilfully failed to pay the said sum; that the Complainant is entitled to the above said sums under the provisions of the said Acts.

Wherefore, the Complainant respectfully prays that the Court make and enter an order requiring the Respondent to show cause if any he has why he should not be adjudged guilty of contempt of this Court for failure to obey the order of this Court requiring him to pay to the Complainant the sum of $20.00 each week.

Dated this 6 th day of September, 1957.

Kenneth Cooper, Solicitor

eived 25 day of Oct 1957
on 28 day of Oct 1957
rved a copy of the within Petition
Kenneth Johnson

service on

TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff
By Edligh Steadham, S.

Fairhope, Ala

Sheriff claims 70 miles at
Ten Cents per mile Total $ 7.00
TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff
BY Steadham
DEPUTY SHERIFF

Fairhope 3690
~~3960~~

Louise Marrs
vs
Kenneth Johnson

3690

Filed 9-6-57
Alice J. Henick
Register