

STATE OF ALABAMA, EX REL	)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
KENNETH COOPER, SOLICITOR,	)	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,
COMPLAINANT,	)	IN EQUITY.
Vs.	)	
PERRY BROWNING, JOHN W.	)	
WILLIAMS, WILLIAM H. CORE,	JR.,)	to the second of
AND HOLLIS HAIE,	)	
RESPONDENTS.	)	

TO THE HONORABLE TELFAIR J. MASHBURN, JR., OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, IN EQUITY, SITTING.

Comes the State of Alabama, on relation of KENNETH COOPER, Solicitor for the 28th Judicial Circuit of the State of Alabama, and respectfully shows and informs the court of the following facts:

- (1) That your complainant, KENNETH COOPER, is the Circuit Solicitor for Baldwin County, State of Alabama, and is authorized by law to bring this bill.
- (2) That the respondents, PERRY BROWNING, JOHN W. WILLIAMS, WILLIAM H. CORE, JR., AND HOLLIS HALE, are all residents of Baldwin County, Alabama, and are over the age of twenty-one years.
- (3) That on, to wit, July 21, 1949, the said PERRY BROWNING, JOHN W. WILLIAMS, WILLIAM H. CORE, JR., AND HOLLIS HALE, DID keep set up, own, operate or conduct, or permit to be set up, operated or conducted several gambling devices as defined by the State of Alabama. That said gambling devices were:

One dice table, complete with cloth.

Four pair of dice

one-half bushel of poker chips.

That said devices or apparatus were machines, mechanical devices, contrivances or appliances, or inventions intended for the purpose of winning money by hazard or chance, and were being operated or could be operated as games of chance.

(4) That the possession, ownership or use of said apparatus or devices was illegal and contrary to law, and as such is contraband property and forfeited to the State of Alabama.

- (5) That said above described gambling apparatus or devices when seized July 21, 1949, and removed by TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff of Baldwin County, Alabama, were in the possession of the said PERRY BROWNING, JOHN W. WILLIAMS, WILLIAM H. CORE, JR., AND HOLLIS HALE, and your complainant is informed and on such information believes and states that the said machines, devices or apparatus are the property of PERRY BROWNING, JOHN W. WILLIAMS, WILLIAM H. CORE, JR., AND HOLLIS HALE.
- (6) Your complainant charges and avers that the above described devices or apparatus: are gambling devices within the meaning of the Codefof Alabama, 1940, Title 14, Section 283.

The premises considered, complainant prays that the said PERRY BROWNING, JOHN W. WILLIAMS, WILLIAM H. CORE, JR., AND HOLLIS HALE BE Made party respondents to this Bill of Complaint, that summons issue requiring him to plead, answer or demur to this bill of complaint under the rules of this Honorable Court, and within the time prescribed by law; and that on the final hearing of this cause that the court will make and enter a decree declaring that the above nemed articles are gambling devices, that same be forfeited to the State of Alabama, and destroyed.

And the Complainant prays for such other, further and additional relief, decrees and orders as may be necessary to accomplish such condemnation and destruction of said gambling devices as illegal gambling devices under the laws of the State of Alabama.

Solicitor

28th Judicial Circuit of Alabama

STATE OF ALABAMA, EX REL	Ŏ	
KENNETH COOPER, SOLICITOR,	Ø	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
COMPLAINANT,	Ĭ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS.	Ď	IN EQUITY.
PERRY BROWNING, ET AL.	Ö	NO. 2358
RES PONDENTS	<u> </u>	No. on

Now come the Respondents, separately and severally and demur to the Complainants bill of complaint heretofore filed in this cause and to each paragraph thereof, separately and severally, and say:

l.

That there is no equity in the bill.

2.

That the allegation contained in paragraph three of the original bill of complaint, that the items therein described are gambling devices, is but the conclusion of the pleader

3.

That the allegation contained in paragraph four of the bill of complaint, that the items described in the bill of complaint are contraband property and forfeited to the State of Alabama, is but the conclusion of the pleader.

4.

That the said complaint does not recite that the Sheriff making seizure reported the same to the Circuit or County Solicitor, or any prosecuting officer within the county, within the time required by law.

5. not

That the complaint does/allege that a full and complete report was made by the Sheriff after the seizure to the Circuit or County Solicitor, or any prosecuting officer within the county, within the time required by law, giving a full description of the property seized, the number of the divice, if any, the place and firm of manufacture, as required by law.

The allegations of the complaint affirmatively show that the Circuit Solicitor of Baldwin County, Alabama, has not complied with the terms and conditions of the statute under which this proceeding is brought.

7.

The allegations of this complaint do not authorize the relief prayed for.

Solicitor for the Respondent.

Defendant 5

THE STATE OF ALABAMA Baldwin County	A, No. 2352	2 Circuit Court	, In Equity.
State of alabama Ex			
	Vs.		Complainant
Terry Browning, John 4	Williams Win	lliam H Core	Defendant S
Motion is hereby made for a Decree Pro Con	desso against	ry Brown	ing.
Et als	and the second	The second mean and the second	Defendant 5

in the above stated cause, on the ground that more than thirty days have elapsed since service of summons upon said Defendant 5.; and that said summons was duly served according to law, and that said Defendant 5 ha y & failed to dentur, plead to or answer the Bill of Complaint in this cause to this date.

Solicitor.

## RECORDED

III OO II BEEN,
No 2358 Page
THE STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY
Circuit Court, In Equity
State of alabama Extel
Kerneth Cooper.
Johan Vs.
Terry Drowning, John W.
Williams, William The Gre,
and Hollis Hale
Motion for Decree Pro Confesso on Personal Service
Filed 2- 5- 19.5-7
acical rench
Register.
Recorded inRecord
VolPage
Register.

# The State of Alabama, Baldwin County.

No. 2358 .... CIRCUIT COURT IN EQUITY.

STATE OF ALABAMA		Marie Barrellone American State Barrellone	
OTATS OF ALABAWA			Complainant
	vs.		F
PERRY BROWNING ET ALS	***	100 Aug 100 Aug 100 Aug	Defendant
In this cause it appears to the 39	gister		Detendanti
that a summons requiring the Desertion	O-11370		
that a summons requiring the DefendantP	ERRY_BROWNI	NG_ET_ALS	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***************************************
	arian sarias ministra en estra artera seguentajamente laborato se la mentralia	ligi fast tradisma. Net skolist van krein frei der skoline stopsisk van piskelinde filmelijke an piskel transisker t	NEEDS TO SENSO THE CONTRACT OF THE SENSO
•••••	**** *******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
******************************	•••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*********
	•••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*****
	******		
***************************************			
	*** *******		••••••
***************************************	• • • • • • • • • • • •		
to appear and domain whealt		4	
to appear and demur, plead to or answer the I	Bill of Compla	int in this cause	Within thirty days
after the service of said Summons upon	HEM		•
was served upon THEM by the Sheriff of	· Mobile		
30th annua Sout	i parawi	Cou:	nty, Alabama, on the
30th day of Sept	19	49	of tending have a server of the server of th
<i></i>		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
And the said Defendant having failed to		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
And the said Defendant - having failed to d	temur, plead t	o or answer the sa	aid Bill of Complaint
to this date, it is now, therefore, on motion of.		Solicitor	
	*********		•••••
ordered and decreed that the said Bill of Compl			
taken as confessed against the cold	D 7		by is in all things
taken as confessed against the said ************************************	rerry brown	ing. John W. W	illiams
William H. Core and Hollis Hale			
*************************	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*******	•••
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	**********	********	******
	*********	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
•••••	*****	_	•
***********	·		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
***************************************			
••••••••••••••••	******		
**************************************		,^4 <i>~~</i>	# ************************************
	<b></b>	D^\$	andont of
This5thday of		e.	endant_aioresaid.
This5thday ofJuly	7	19_50	,
	Ulla.	1. Decc	10 000



Гhе	State	of A	laba	ıma,
CIRC	CUIT C	OURT,	IN EQ	UITY
	STATE	OF ALAB	AMA	
TO T	GRRY BRC	vs.	et als	
	yatti me			
DE	CREE P	PRO GOI DNAL SI		
Issued.				19
			Re	gister.
Meore Pri	inting Compa	ny, Bay Mine	tte, Als.	

STATE OF ALABAMA, EX REL
KENNETH COOPER, SOLICITOR,
Complainant,
VS.
PERRY BROWNING, JOHN W.
WILLIAMS, WILLIAM H. COKE, JR.
AND HOLLIS HALE,
Respondent,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA IN EQUITY. NO. 2358

### TO HON. TAYLOR WILKINS, SHERIFF OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded in accordance with that certain decree of this Court madS and mendered on the 7th day of July, 1950, by Hon. Telfair J. Mashburn, Jr., Judge of said Court, to destroy in the presence of the Megister of said Court, the following named articles:

One dice table, complete with cloth, Four pair of dice, and One-half bushel of poker chips,

The above named property is to be destroyed within twenty(20) days from the date of said decree.

You are further directed to report to this court your compliance with said decree of Condemnation.

WITNESS my hand this 8th day of July, 1950.

Register of Circuit Court, In Equity.

STATE OF ALABAMA, EX REL	)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
KENNETH COOPER, SOLICITOR,	)	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,
COMPLAINANT,	)	IN EQUITY
Vs.	)	
PERRY BROWNING, JOHN W. WILLIAM	MS,)	
WILLIAM H. CORE, JR., AND	)	
HOLLIS HAIE,	)	
RESPONDENTS.	)	and the second s

#### DECREE

This cause coming on to be heard, was submitted for final decree on the Bill of Complaint and Decree Pro Confesso on personal service against the Respondents Perry Browning, John W. Williams, William H. Core, Jr., and Hollis Hale, and the Court having considered the same is of the opinion that the Complainant is entitled to the relief prayed for, and that said machines or devices, namely:

One dice table, complete with cloth,

Four pair of dice, and

One-half bushel of poker chips,

which machines or devices were seized from the possession of the Respondents Perry Browning, John W. Williams, William H. Core, Jr., and Hollis Hale, on the 21st day of July, 1949, by Taylor Wilkins, Sheriff of Baldwin County, Alabama, which machines or devices are the subject of this suit, were and are gambling devices as defined by the laws of the State of Alabama and as such are contraband property and forfeited to the State of Alabama, and are subject to be condemned and destroyed as such.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the devices hereinably described be and the same are hereby declared to be gambling devices and are hereby adjudged and declared to be contraband property and are condemned and forfeited to the State of Alabama, as a gambling devices prohibited by law.

It is further ordered, directed and decreed by the Court that the Sheriff of Baldwin County, "labama, in the presence of the Register of this Court destroy the gambling devices hereinabave described, within twenty days of the date of this decree unless an appeal is taken from this decree as provided by law.

It is further ordered and decreed by this Court that the cost of this suit be taxed against the Respondents, for which let execution issue. If, however, such costs are not collected by such execution, then the Register shall tax and collect the cost from Baldwin Connty, Alabama, to be paid as in criminal cases where the State fails.

Tone, ordered and decreed, this The day of July, 1950.

Adge, Circuit Court of

Baldwin County, Alabama

STATE OF ALABAMA, EX REL	)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
KENNETH COOPER, SOLICITOR,	)	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,
COMPLAINANT,	)	IN EQUITY
Vs.	)	
PERRY BROWNING, JOHN W. WILLIAM	3,)	
WILLIAM H. CORE, JR., AND	)	
HOLLIS HALE,	)	
RESPONDENTS.	)	t rather place the repeat entered in the control of the property of the Control of the Marie of the Control of

#### DECREE

This cause coming on to be heard, was submitted for final decree on the Bill of Complaint and Decree Pro Confesso on personal service against the Respondents Perry Browning, John W. Williams, William H. Core, Pr., and Hollis Hale, and the Court having considered the same is of the opinion that the Complainant is entitled to the relief prayed for, and that said machines or devices, namely:

One dice table, complete with cloth,

Four pair of dice, and

One-half bushel of poker chips,

which machines or devices were seized from the possession of the Respondents Perry Browning, John W. Williams, William H. Core, Jr., and Hollis Hale, on the 21st day of July, 1949, by Taylor Wilkins, Sheriff of Baldwin County, Alabama, which machines or devices are the subject of this suit, were and are gambling devices as defined by the laws of the State of Alabama and as such are contraband property and forfeited to the State of Alabama, and are subject to be condemned and destroyed as such.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the devices hereinably described be and the same are hereby declared to be gambling devices and are hereby adjudged and declared to be contraband property and are condemned and forfeited to the State of Alabama, as # gambling devices prohibited by law.

It is further ordered, directed and decreed by the Court that the Sheriff of Baldwin County, Alabama, in the presence of the Register of this Court destroy the gambling devices hereinabove described, within twenty days of the date of this decree unless an appeal is taken from this decree as provided by law.

It is further ordered and decreed by this Court that the cost of this suit be taxed against the Respondents, for which let execution issue. If, however, such costs are not collected by such execution, then the Register shall tax and collect the cost from Baldwin County, Flabama, to be paid as in criminal cases where the State fails.

Fone, ordered and decreed, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of July, 1950.

Judge, Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama

STATE OF ALABAMA
VS.

PERRY BROWNING, ET ALS

Respondents.

Suggest to generally a per

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.
IN EQUITY. NO. 2358.

#### DECREE OVERRULING DEMURRER

This cause coming on to be heard is submitted on Respondents' Demurrer to Complainant's Bill of Complaint, and the same being considered and understood by the Court, the Court is of the opinion that said Demurrer is not well taken and should be overruled. IT IS THEREFORE

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Respondents'
Demurrer to Complainant's Bill of Complaint be, and the
same is hereby overruled.

Done this 9th day of June, 1950.

Julair A. Mallibure, fr.