MARY BELL HARPER,

PLAINTIFF,) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

-vs
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

PHYLLIS S. NESBIT, as Administratrix of the Estate of
STEPHEN D. RADA, deceased,) CASE NO.

DEFENDANT.)

COUNT ONE

Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of FIFTY THOUSAND (\$50,000.00) DOLLARS, damages, for that heretofore and on, to-wit, March 30, 1969, the Defendant's intestate negligently operated a motor vehicle on U. S. Highway 90 at a point, to-wit, nine tenths miles west of Taylor Still Road, at which point the said U. S. Highway 90 is a public road in Baldwin County, Alabama, so as to cause the same to collide with a motor vehicle operated by Plaintiff on U. S. Highway 90, and as a direct and proximate result of the negligence of the Defendant's intestate as aforesaid, Plaintiff suffered the following injuries and damages: She was made sick, sore and lame; she was caused to suffer severe mental and physical pain and anguish, still so suffers and will so suffer in the future; she was permanently injured; she suffered lacerations and bruises over her head, face and body; her back and legs were injured; she was caused to lose time from her employment and her ability to work and earn money in the future has been permanently impaired.

CUNNINGHAM, BOUNDS & BYRD ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

RICHARD BOUNDS

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury,

RICHARD BOUNDS

JUL 23 1969

ALUSE OF BUSINESS CLERK REGISTER

Trial Attorney: Richard Bounds

Address of Defendant:

Phyllis S. Nesbit Attorney at Law Robertsdale, Alabama

JUL 22 1969

ALIE J. DEEK REGISTER

e e e	ALABAMA	A P. M. J. Commission of the C	Circuit Court, Baldwin County
Baldwin	County		No8812
And the second s			TERM, 19
	:	TO ANY	SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:
ou Are Hereby Con	nmanded to Sum	mon Phyl	lis S. Nesbit, as Administratrix of the
***************************************		Esta	te of Stephen D. Rada, deceased

appear and plea	d, answer or de	•	thirty days from the service boxes - I
led in the Circuit Co	urt of Baldwin C	mur, within t	thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against. Phyllis S. Nesb
led in the Circuit Co	urt of Baldwin C	mur, within t	thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint
ed in the Circuit Cons	urt of Baldwin C	mur, within to	thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint of Alabama at Bay Minette, against. Phyllis S. Nesbohen D. Rada, deceased. Defendant.
led in the Circuit Cons. s. Administratri ,. Mary Bell Ha	urt of Baldwin C x of the Esta	mur, within to	thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against. Phyllis S. Nesb

E/ 9-22-69

62 PAGE 859

[VOL

No8812 Page	
STATE OF ALABAMA	Defendant lives at
Baldwin County	oeeelven
CIRCUIT COURT	Received In Office
MARY BELL HARPEK	19 19
	Sheriff
Plaintiffs	I have executed this summons this 22 - July 1967
vs.	by leaving a copy with
of the Estate of Stephendants D. Rada, deceased	ix Phyllis S. Nesset, as add
SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT	W. Kada Deceasia
	w carre aucuser
Filed July 22, 19.69	According to the second
Alice J. Duck Clerk	
	Sheriff claims 50 miles at
	Ten Cents per mile Total \$_5
	DECUTY SUBSPECE
Cunningham, Bounds & Byrd Plaintiff's Attorney	Taylor Wilken Sheriff
Defendant's Attorney	H If Scores Deputy Sheriff
in the state of th	Sempley R.T.
	11

MARY BELL HARPER,	Х	
Plaintiff,	χ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	χ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs.	χ	
	χ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
PHYLLIS S. NESBIT, as	χ	NO 0010
Administratrix of the Estate of STEPHEN D.	χ	AT LAW NO: 8812
RADA, Deceased,	χ	
Defendant.	χ	

DEMURRER

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the Complaint filed in said cause and assigns the following separate and several grounds, viz:

- That said Complaint does not state a cause of action.
- 2. That said Complaint does not allege any duty owing by the Defendant's intestate to the Plaintiff.
- That the place where the accident occurred is not sufficiently set out.
 - That said Complaint claims speculative damages.
- That said Complaint does not allege in what manner the Plaintiff was permanently injured.
- That said Complaint does not allege that the Plaintiff was gainfully employed at the time of her injury.
- That said Complaint does not allege in what manner the ability of the Plaintiff to work and earn money in the future has been permanently impaired.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsel for all parties to this proceeding, by mailing the same to each by First Class United States Mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid on this day CHASON, STONE & CHASON

Defendant

62 PAGE 861