## JOHN V. DUCK Attorney at Law

## P. O. DRAWER Y - FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA

MESSAGE	REPLY
το Mrs. Alice J. Duck	DATE
Bay Minette, Ala.	0196
DATE June 17, 1968	
Re: Romac Company vs. Michael W. Brackins	
and Paul Lightley:	
Dear MrsDuck:  Enclosed please find Bill of Complaint to	
be filed together with copy of same and	
Summons to be served.	
Sincerely,	
Me Company	
SIGNED	SIGNED

THIS COPY FOR PERSON ADDRESSED

FORM AVAILABLE FROM GRAYARC CO., INC.

862 THIRD AVE., B'KLYN 32, N. Y.

JOHN V. DUCK ATTORNEY AT LAW P. O. BOX Y FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA 36532

June 16, 1969

Mrs. Alice J. Duck P. O. Box 239 Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Re: Romac Company, et al

Vs: Michael W. Brackins, et al

Enclosed you will find an amended Bill of Complaint changing the name of one of the defendants from "Paul Lightley" to "Paul Lightsey".

This was a typographical error, and I am sure it is the reason why Paul Lightsey was not served at the last known address given to you.

Would you please reissue this suit and forward the same to the Sheriff, along with a copy of my letter stating that Paul Lightsey is residing at the Mobile address that I gave you, and that Michael W. Brackins is still residing in Foley. Both of these have been verified.

Sincerely

JOHN V DUCK

JVD/ts

encl.

STATE OF ALABAMA  Baldwin County	Circuit Court, I	Baldwin County
		TERM, 19
TO A	ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE	OF ALABAMA:
You Are Hereby Commanded to Summon	MICHAEL W. BRACKINS	and PAUL LIGHTLEY
	:	
	<u> </u>	
to appear and plead, answer or demur, v	within thirty days from the serv	rice hereof, to the complaint
filed in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County,	State of Alabama, at Bay Minett	e, against
MICHAEL W. BRACKINS and	PAUL LIGHTLEY	
ROMAC COMPANY, a Co-Part		

	Page	Defendant lives at Michael W. Brackins - Foley, Al Paul Lightley, Rt. 1, Box 137 Foley, Al
CIRCUI	T COURT	Received In Office
OMAC COMPANY,	a Co-Partnershi	19
onsisting of nd=LAWRENCE=B	ROBERT VAN IDERST MCINTOSH Plaintiffs	I have executed this summons
	vs.	this
ICHAEL W. BRA IGHTLEY	CKINS and PAUL  Defendants	
SUMMONS A	ND COMPLAINT	
Filed	19	
•	Clerk	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
JOHN V	. DUCK	
Plaintiff's Attorney	Sheriff	
	Defendant's Attorney	Deputy Sheriff

ice J. Duck lox 239 rette, Alabama

's. Duck:

Re: Romac Company, et al

Vs: Michael W. Brackins, et al

ed you will find an amended Bill of Complaint ig the name of one of the defendants from ightley" to "Paul Lightsey".

as a typographical error, and I am sure it is as a sure it is as a sure it is a son why Paul Lightsey was not served at the nown address given to you.

ou please reissue this suit and forward the the Sheriff, along with a copy of my letter that Paul Lightsey is residing at the Mobile that I gave you, and that Michael W. Brackins I residing in Foley. Both of these have been ed.

DUCK

Bay Minette, Ala.  To the Sheriff of Mobile County,  I enclose herewith AC for Paul Light  Mobile Ala.	Jene 3 1969 Nobile Alabama tsey - 7/3 Glenwood
Please serve and return as early as possible.	
Saylos	Sheriff, Baldwin County, Alabama
(If not found in your county, please advise promptly giving information	ation as to present location if possible)
VOL 65 PAGE 79	

ROMAC COMPANY, a Co-Partnership, consisting of ROBERT VAN IDERSTINE and LAWRENCE B. McINTOSH, plaintiffs, )

Plaintiffs, )

WICHAEL W. BRACKINS and PAUL LIGHTLEY, jointly and individually, pefendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW

## COUNT ONE

Plaintiff claims of the Defents the sum of FIVE HUNDRED (\$500.00) DOLLARS as damages, for that heretofore on, to-wit: the 9th day of March, 1968 Plaintiff's motor vehicle was being operated along Greeno Road at a point thereon 400 feet from its intersection with Fairhope, Alabama, which was then and there a public highway in Baldwin County, Alabama, and at the said time and place, the Defendant, MICHAEL W. BRACKINS, as the agent, servant or employee of the Defendant, PAUL LIGHTLEY, and while acting within the line and scope of his authority, so negligently operated his said motor vehicle as to allow it to run into, upon or against the Plaintiff's said motor vehicle, and as a proximate consequence thereof, the Plaintiff's motor vehicle was damaged in that the running board was smashed; the right rear fender was smashed, bent and otherwise torn; one hubcap was damaged and had to be replaced; that the right front fender was bent, smashed and otherwise torn and had to be repaired; that the right side of the Plaintiff's motor vehicle was bent, smashed and otherwise torn and had to be repaired, all as a proximate consequence of the Defendant's negligence, hence this suit.

A NORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

JUN 1 9 1968

ALCE J. THE CLERK REGISTER