		AMENDED	COMPLAINT				
JESSIE BURKE		Q	IN	THE	CIRCUIT	COURT	OF
Plaintiff			זמפו		COUNTY	AT. A R	AMA
VS.	······	Q	10 F1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	COORLE	,	
HANNIS GIVENS and CLARENCE GIVENS Defendants	n an an an <mark>an an 19</mark> 75. Tagairtí an Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna An Anna an Anna Anna	ğ	AT	LAW			
	a fa she ar an Ang Ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a		CAS	SE NO	807	1	

Comes now the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and amends Count Two of his Complaint to read as follows:

COUNT TWO

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant, Hannis Givens, FIVE THOUSAND (\$5,000.00) DOLLARS, as damages, for this: That heretofore on, to-wit, May 26, 1967, the Defendant was engaged in a timber cutting operation on the Barrick Tract of land near the Theodore ammunition dump in Mobile County, Alabama, and on said date the Plaintiff was in the service or employment of the Defendant in the following capacity, to-wit, assisting in timber cutting and loading and while engaged in the discharge of his duties as such employee, a log fell upon or struck the Plaintiff, ankle and he was rendered unable to work and earn money breaking his r and was permanently injured. He was put to much expense for medicine and medical services for the treatment of his injuries for to-wit, his ankle. The Plaintiff avers that at the time and place complained of, he was injured as the direct and proximate consequence and result of the negligence of Clarence Givens, who was in the service or employment of the Defendant, Hannis Givens. That Clarence Givens had superintendance intrusted to him at said time and place. The Plaintiff avers that while Clarence Givens was in the exercise of such superintendance, he negligently Skiller swung a load of logs around with a k so as to cause one of the logs to strike the Plaintiff on his right ankle and as a direct and proximate conankle was broken. The Plaintiff further avers sequence thereof, his Fight that at said time and place, Clarence Givens negligently allowed the Plaintiff to stand and remain in a place of danger without warning him of such

WILTERS. BRANTLEY

& NESBIT

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

63 PAGE 51

danger.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have on this 23 day of (1976) 1976 served a copy of the foregoing pleading on counsel for EX: parties to this proceeding by mailing the same by United States Mail, properly addressed, and first class postage prepaid.

WILTERS, BRANTLEY & NESBIT

JUN 23 1969 ALGE J. DUCK CLERK REGIST

We the giving find in favor of the defendant.

Deeci M. Bryan Foreman

a da angan ang kanang kanan Kanang kanang

n garanan Marina

city and thirty with the second of the rest base with the second states and the second states are st are states are

New York and Anna Structure and Structure of States (spin structure and Steven Structure and States) and structure and structure and structure of States and States (spin structure and structure and structure) and structure and stru

JESSIE BURKE,	X	
Plaintiff,	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs.	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
HANNIS GIVENS and CLARENCE GIVENS,	X	AT LAW NO. 8071
Defendants.	X	
	X	

PLEAS

Comes now the Defendant, Hannis Givens, in the above styled cause, by his attorneys, and for answer to "COUNT TWO" of the Complaint as amended says as follows:

1. Not guilty.

2. The injuries of the Plaintiff, if any, were caused by the negligence of a fellow servant of the Plaintiff.

3. The Defendant Clarence Givens did not have superintendence intrusted to him over the Plaintiff at the time and place complained of.

4. The Plaintiff was himself guilty of negligence at the time and place complained of which caused the injuries complained of.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff should not recover.

CHASON, STONE & CHASON

By:

Filed . Duck Clerk

VOL 63 PAGE 514

CHASON, STONE & CHASON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW P. O. BOX 120 BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA 36507

JOHN CHASON Norborne C. Stone, Jr. John Earle Chason

May 15, 1969

TELEPHONE 937-2191

Mrs. Alice J. Duck, Clerk Circuit Court of Baldwin County Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Re: Burke vs. Givens Case No. 8071

Would you please withdraw our appearance as attorneys for the Defendants in the above case.

Thanking you for your attention to this request, we are

Sincerely,

CHASON, STONE & CHASON By :

NCS:jb



MAY 18 1969

ALCE J. BURK CLERK REGISTER

CHASON, STONE & CHASON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW P. O. BOX 120 BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA 36507

JOHN CHASON Norborne C. Stone, Jr. John Earle Chason

June 2, 1969

TELEPHONE 937-2191

Mrs. Alice J. Duck, Clerk Circuit Court of Baldwin County Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Burke vs. Givens Re:

Would you please re-enter the appearance of this firm as attorneys for Defendants in the above styled cause?

With best regards, we are

Yours very truly,

CHASON, STONE & CHASON Bv:

NCS:pjb cc: Honorable Phyllis Nesbit Attorney at Law Robertsdale, Alabama

JESSIE BURKE	Ĩ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Plaintiff	Ŷ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS.	l I	AT LAW
HANNIS GIVENS AND CLARENCE GIVENS	l I	NC
Defendants	X	
	1.	

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of FIVE THOUSAND (\$5,000.00) DOLLARS as damages, for this: That heretofore on, towit, May 26, 1967, the Plaintiff was working for the Defendant, Hannis Givens, cutting timber in Mobile County, Alabama, on the Barrick Tract of land near the Theodore ammunition dump, a place where the Plaintiff had a right to be. The Defendant, Clarence Givens as agent, servant or employee of the Defendant, Hannis Givens, while acting within the line and scope of his employment, so negligently, operated a timber-jack as to negligently cause or allow the load it was carrying to run into, over or against the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff avers that as a direct and proximate consequence of said negligence, he was injured as follows: His ankle was broken and he was caused much pain and suffering and caused him to spend great sums of money for the treatment of said injury and was caused to lose a great sum of money because he was unable to work. The Plaintiff avers that he was permanently injured. The Plaintiff avers that all of the aforesaid damages were proximately caused by the negligence of the Defendant, Clarence Givens, the agent, servant or employee of the Defendant, Hannis Givens and within the scope of his authority.

2.

VOL

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant, Hannis Givens, FIVE THOUSAND (\$5,000.00) DOLLARS, as damages, for this: That heretofore on, to-wit, May 26, 1967, the Defendant was engaged in a timber cutting operation on the Barrick Tract of land near the Theodore ammunition dump in Mobile County, Alabama, and on said date the Plaintiff was in the service or employment of the Defendant in the following capacity, to-wit, assisting in timber cutting and loading and while engaged in the discharge of his duties as such employee,

63 PADE 507

a log fell upon or struck the Plaintiff, breaking his right ankle and he was rendered unable to work and earn money and was permanently injured. He was put to much expense for medicine and medical services for the treatment of his injuries for to-wit, his right ankle. The Plaintiff avers that his injuries, suffering and loss of time was the proximate consequence and result of the negligence of Clarence Givens who was in the service or employment of the Defendant, Hannis Givens, and he had superintendence intrusted to him while in the exercise of such superintendence and said megligence consisted of this, viz: Clarence Givens negligently swung a load of logs around with a timberjack so as to cause or allow one of the logs to strike the Plaintiff on his right ankle. The Plaintiff further avers that Clarence Givens negligently allowed the Plaintiff to stand and remain in a place of danger without warning him of such danger.

WILTERS, BRANTLEY & NESBIT the Plaintiff

The Plaintiff demands a jury trial.



MAR 2 7 1968

ALICE J. DUCK CLERK REGISTER

63 MARE 508

& VOL

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT MOORE PRINTING COMPANY - BAY MINETTE, ALA. Circuit Court, Baldwin County STATE OF ALABAMA No..... Baldwin County TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA: You Are Hereby Commanded to Summon Hannis Givens and Clarence Givens to appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against..... Hannis Givens and Clarence Givens Defendant S. by ________Burke Plaintiff..... day of 7/10240-1968 Witness my hand this..... 54:4-3-68 GJ PARFO **VOI**

No. 8071 Page.... Defendant lives at STATE OF ALABAMA Rural Route, Robertsdale, Alabama Baldwin County Received In Office CIRCUIT COURT MAR 2.7.1968...... 19 Jessie Burke SHIDLING STRAINS, Sheriff L-have executed this summons Plaintiffs ril ? vs. this Hannis Givens and by leaving a copy with Clarence Givens Defendants Maint SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT 19..... Filed TAYLOR WILKINS, SHERIFF OF BALDWIN Clerk COUNTY, ALABAMA, CLAIM \$1.50 EACH MAR 2 7 1968 2 PROCESS(ES) AND FOR SERVING . TRAVEL EXPENSE ON EACH OF CLERK ALICE J. DUCK CLERK REGISTER PROCESS(ES) OR A TOTAL OF \$ Wilters, Brantley & Nesbit BY: los hill Plaintiff's Attorney con Sheriff Deputy Sheriff Defendant's Attorney religat

JURY LIST - JUNE TERM - JUNE 8th, 1970 Arnette, Roy, Carpenter, Perdido Beaty, Raymond, Laborer, Fairhope 4. Bryans, C. H., Jr., Farmer, Bay Minette 5. Bryars, Miss Dollie M., Newport, Bay Minette 6. Calloway, John, Fisherman, Gulf Shores P Z. Chastang, James, Paper Mill, Bay Minette P3 8. Day, Bernice, Stockton 9. Demko, Lula D., Operator, Perdido 10. Fackler, Charles, Mech., Loxley 11. Fackler, Paul W., Mechanic, Loxley 10. 11 p /\$ Eniel, W. E., Retired, Bay Minette 13.) Gibson, John D., Mechanic, Robertsdale 14 Gilbert, Annie Ree, Housewife, Bay Minette PI 15. Hasting, Evelyn, Housewile, 16. Heidelberg, Joe., Jr., Farmer, Robertsdale 18. Hudson, Ethel, Maid, Bay Minette 19. Larson, Arthur, Farmer, Rosinton manus _ 10 20-Lazzari, John, Earmer, Belforest 21. Long, Grady, Jr., L & N R.R., Berdidoence 22. Malone, T. E., Merchant, Fairhope 23 McNeil, Vadin, Farmer, Gateswood 24. Mikkelson, Roy, Farmer, Summerdale 25.) Myrick, T. C., Fairhope 26 Oswell, Charles, Timber & Oil, Spanish Fort-Daphne / 27) Rider, Charles, Woodsman, Bay Minette 28. Sawyer, Mr. Lenora, Fairhope 29. Smith, Columbis, Retired, Stockton 5 30. Stevenson, Leona, Maid, Bay Minette 31. Strickland, Marvin, Laborer, Bay Minette PS 32 Swor, Mrs. Gertrude, Real Estate, Fairhope D -33 Therrell, E. L. Doris, Housewife, Daphne Di-- 35 Ward, John, International Paper, Spanish Fort -36 Weeks; Harry J., Nurseryman, Foley 37. Whitten, Lewis, S., Electrician, Fairhope 38. Windham, Carl T., Paper Co., Robertsdale 39. Woolf, Burnette K., Stockton 40. Wrenn, Walter P., Retired, Bay Minette 41.) Wrenn, Mrs. Wynone, Housewife, Bay Minette 42. Keifer, Mrs. Marion, Housewife, Lillian

C. XXXXX XXXX XXX D XXXXX XXXXX XX/

JESSIE BURKE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HANNIS GIVENS and CLARENCE GIVENS,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW NO. 8071

DEMURRER:

'Χ

χ

X

X

Υ

χ

Come now the Defendants in the above styled cause, by their attorneys, and demur to the Bill of Complaint filed against them and to each count thereof separately and severally and assign the following separate and several grounds in support thereof:

1. The Complaint fails to state a cause of action.

2. Count One of the Complaint fails to state a cause of action.

3. Count Two of the Complaint fails to state a cause of action.

4. The Plaintiff fails to allege a cause of action against both of the Defendants.

5. The Complaint fails to allege any negligence on the part of the Defendant Hannis Givens.

6. The Complaint fails to allege any negligence on the part of the Defendant Clarence Givens.

7. The Complaint fails to allege any negligence on the part of either of the Defendants.

8. The Complaint fails to allege a duty owing from the Defendants, or either of them, to the Plaintiff and breach of that duty proximately resulting in injuries and damages to the Plain-tiff.

9. The allegations of the Complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain.

63 PADE 510

VOL

10. The allegations of Count One are vague, indefinite and uncertain.

11. The allegations of Count Two are vague, indefinite and uncertain.

12. Count One of the Complaint fails to allege that the Defendant Clarence Givens was, at the time and place complained of, the agent, servant or employee of the Defendant Hannis Givens acting within the lines and scope of his employment as such.

13. Count Two of the Complaint fails to allege that the Defendant Clarence Givens was, at the time and place complained of, the agent, servant or employee of the Defendant Hannis Givens acting within the lines and scope of his employment as such.

14. The allegations of Count One of the Complaint are conclusions of the pleader.

15. The allegations of Count Two of the Complaint are conclusions of the pleader.

16. The allegations of the Complaint are conclusions of the pleader and no facts are alleged in the Complaint or either count thereof to support such conclusions.

17. It affirmatively appears from the allegations of the Complaint that the injuries allegedly suffered by the Plaintiff were the result of the negligence of a fellow servant.

18. It affirmatively appears from the allegations of Count One of the Complaint that the injuries allegedly suffered by the Plaintiff were the result of the negligence of a fellow servant.

19. It affirmatively appears from the allegations of Count Two of the Complaint that the injuries allegedly suffered by the Plaintiff were the result of the negligence of a fellow servant of the Plaintiff.

VOL 63 PAGE 511

20. No facts are alleged in the Complaint which, if true,

would impose any liability upon the Defendant Hannis Givens as an employer of the Plaintiff.

21. No facts are alleged in Count One of the Complaint which, if true, would impose any liability upon the Defendant Hannis Givens as an employer of the Plaintiff.

22. No facts are alleged in Count Two of the Complaint which, if true, would impose any liability upon the Defendant Hannis Givens as an employer of the Plaintiff.

23. It affirmatively appears from the allegations of Count One of the Complaint that the Plaintiff is not entitled to recover against the Defendant Hannis Givens.

24. It affirmatively appears from the allegations of Count Two of the Complaint that the Plaintiff is not entitled to recover against the Defendant Hannis Givens.

25. The allegations of Count Two of the Complaint fail to allege any duty owing by the Defendant, or either of them, to the Plaintiff to warn him of any danger and a breach of such duty proximately resulting in the injuries complained of.

26. The allegations of Count Two of the Complaint fail to allege any facts which, if true, would impose any liability on the Defendant Hannis Givens under and by virtue of the Employers Liability Act of the State of Alabama.

VOL

Respectfully submitted, CHASON, STONE & CHASON

By: Attorneys for Defendants

The Defendants demand a

trial of this cause by a

APR 8

CLERK REGISTER hjury. CHASON, STONE & CHASON ₿y: Attorneys for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsel for all parties to this proceeding, by mailing the same to each by First Class United States Mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid on this <u>aday</u>

of Hor 19 63 me 512

. . .

·

hang

DEWURRER: .adanbanta. CLARENCE GIVENS, HANNIS GIVENS and •SA 'JJTJUTETA **1ESSIE BOKKE'** 1603

8961 8 99A

WILL OF DICK SEGISTER

NO2AH & CHASON, STONE & CHASON ATTORNEYS AT LAW P. O. Box 120 AMABAJA, ALABAMA AMABAJA, ALABAMA

8071

Aury

* *

*

JESSIE BURKE,

Plaintiff, vs.

HANNIS GIVENS and CLARENCE GIVENS,

Defendants.

DEMURRER:

* * * * * * * *

FILED

APR 8 1968

ALICE J. DUCK CLERK REGISTER

CHASON, STONE & CHASON Attorneys At Law P. O. Box 120 BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA •