R. F. ROHE and DORIS	* IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
ROHE,	*
Plaintiffs	* BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS .	**
C. R. PENNINGTON, d/b/a TEXACO SERVICE STATION SPANISH FORT, ALABAMA	* AT LAW
Defendant	* CASE NUMBER: 7892

Come Plaintiffs by C. LeNoir Thompson their attorney of record and move the dismissal of the said case with cost5 on the Defendant.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

FILED

APR 26 1971

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT

R. F. ROHE and DORIS	X	
ROHE, Plaintiffs,	χ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	X	
vs.	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
	χ	
C D DENINTINGTION 4/5/5	ν χ	AT LAW
C. R. PENNINGTON, d/b/a TEXACO SERVICE STATION, SPANISH FORT, ALABAMA,	χ	
Defendant.	X	CASE NUMBER: 7892
Jeremant.	χ	

DEMURRER

Comes the Defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the Amended Complaint filed in said cause and to each and every count thereof, separately and severally, and assigns the following separate and several grounds, viz:

- 1. That said Complaint does not state a cause of action.
- 2. That Count 1 of said Complaint seeks to set out the quo modo of the negligence of the Defendant but the allegations of such Count fails to state sufficient facts to constitute negligence under the laws of the State of Alabama.
 - 3. That said Complaint is vague and indefinite.
- 4. That said Complaint does not allege any duty owing by the Defendant to the Plaintiffs.
- 5. That Count 1 of said Complaint fails to allege any consideration passing from the Plaintiffs to the Defendant for the repair work alleged to have been done by the Defendant.
- 6. That Count 1 of said Complaint fails to allege wherein the work done by the Defendant failed.
- 7. That Count 1 of said Complaint fails to allege when the automobile caught fire.
- 8. That Count 1 of said Complaint fails to allege what repair or tune up of the automobile was at 301 made by the Defendant.

- That Count 1 of said Complaint fails to allege what caused the automobile to catch fire.
 - 10. That Count 2 of said Complaint vague and indefinite.
- That Count 2 of said Complaint does not allege where-11. in the work done by the Defendant was negligent.
- That Count 2 of said Complaint fails to allege that the Defendant was employed to render any services on the automobile

Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing placing has been served upon counsel from porties to this proceeding, by Line the same to each by First Class

Line the same to each by First C

FEB 1 9 1970

ALUE J. DUEK CLERK REGISTER

VOL

R. F. ROHE and DORIS ROHE,

Plaintiffs,

Vs.,

C. R. PENNINGTON, d/b/a TEXACO SERVICE STATION, SPANISH FORT, ALABAMA

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

CASE NUMBER:

7892

AMENDED COMPLAINT:

-1-

Plaintiffs claim of the defendant Seven Hundred

(\$700.00) Dollars, damages for a breach of warranty in the
repair and tune up of a 1960 Oldsmobile 96 by the said
defendant to the said plaintiffs on to-wit, August 20, 1957,
being that said defendant warranted his repair to be done
in a skilled and workmanlike manner and that the said

work was necessary for the satisfactory operation of the automobile
and more specifically that said defendant stated, "he-would stand behind anything he had done", when in fact the work
done by the defendant failed, causing the said automobile
to catch fire, damaging the wiring and motor and the said
automobile was rendered useless, through the proximate cause
of the failure by the defendant to render skillful and
workmanlike work on said automobile, property of the plaintiffs,
all to the damage of said plaintiffs. Hence, this suit.

-2-

Plaintiffs claim of the defendant (\$700.00) Seven

Hundred Dollars damages due to the negligent work done by

the said defendant to the automobile of said plaintiffs in

that said negligence of said defendant was the proximate

cause of the said automobile catching fire and said automobile

was, thereby, rendered useless, all to the damages of the

said plaintiffs. Hence, this suit.

FILE

E8 1 1 1970

VOL Att 67 PACE 300 laint less.

ALIGE J. DUEN CLERK REGISTER

I hereby certify that I have this day mailed a copy of the foregoing Amended Complaint to the Office of Chason, Stone and Chason, Attorneys at Law, at their Bay Minette, address by depositing a copy of the same in the United States mail property addressed and postage prepaid.

Done this 10 day of February, 1970.

For a Body Q_i which is the second B_i , which is Q_i with B_i in B_i and B_j B_j

and the first of the

where the conjugation ω is the state of ω and ω and ω

عارين والمناب والمناز والمناز والمناز والمناز والمناز والمن والمن والمناز والم

garan kanggan digilakan palah bija kanang garan kanan kanan bija at kanan garan kanggan kanan kanan ga

Boline Control of the kan kan salah kembanya dan kembanya dalah dan kecamban salah bandan berapa dan perbanah salah dan perbanah sal الله الله المن المنظمة الله المن المنظم في المنظمة المنظمة المنظمة المنظمة المنظمة المنظمة المنظمة المنظمة الم المنظمة المنظمة المنظمة الله المنظمة ا

to Angelia, galak mga generalgan gangangan galak mga dan mga bigisa gikang

12.

The state of the s

A control of the contro

and the second of the second o

STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded to summon C. R. Pennington, d/b/a Texaco Service Station, Spanish Fort, Alabama, to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court, to be held for said County at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the complaint of R. F. Rohe and Doris Rohe.

WITNESS my hand this 6th day of 10c., 1967.

aliele Duck

R. F. ROHE and

DORIS ROHE

Plaintiffs

VS

C. R. PENNINGTON, d/b/a
TEXACO SERVICE STATION,
SPANISH FORT, ALABAMA

Defendant

-1-

Plaintiffs claim of the defendant Seven Hundred (\$700.00) Dollars, damages for a breach of warranty in the repair and tune up of a 1960 Oldsmobile 98 by the said defendant to the said plaintiffs on to-wit, August 20, 1967, being that said defendant warranted his repair to be done in a skilled and workmalike manner and that the said work was necessary for the satisfactory operation of the automobile and more specifically that "said defendant stated he would stand behind anything he had done", when in fact the said defendant wired the said automobile so negligently that the said automobile caught fire and said wiring burned off, said motor was damaged and said automobile thereby rendered useless all to the damage of said plaintiffs.

Hence, this sit.

DEC 6 1967

Attorney for plaintiffs.

Plaintiffs respectfully request trial by jury.

ALUE J. DUCK CLERK REGISTER

: VOL

37 At 29

Attorney for plaintiffs.

1892.

R. F. ROHE and DORIS ROHE

Plaintiffs

V

C. R. PENNINGTON, d/b/a TEXACO SERVICE STATION, SPANISH FORT ALABAMA

Defendant

day of Dec. 1967

Indian / day of Dec. 1967

Served a copy of the within Ditter

C. R. Pennington

y service on aloue

Taylor Wilking Sheriff

By Roy Randal D. 5

R. Randall

DEC 6 1967

CLERK REGISTER

C.LeMoir Thompson

R. F. ROHE and	(IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
DORIS ROHE	(BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
Plaintiffs	(AT LAW
vs. C. R. PENNINGTON, d/b/a TEXACO SERVICE STATION, SPANISH FORT, ALABAMA) () ()	NO. <u>7892</u>
Defendant	(

DEMURRER

Comes now the defendant and demurs to plaintiffs' complaint as a whole and to each and every count thereof, separately and severally, upon the following separate and several grounds:

- 1. Said count wholly fails to state a cause of action.
- 2. The allegations contained in said count are vague, uncertain and indefinite and do not apprise the defendant of what he is called upon to defend.
- 3. Said count fails to allege the substance of the warranty relied upon.
- 4. Said count does not aver sufficient facts to state a cause of action.
 - 5. For that said warranty is not stated with sufficient particularity.
- 6. For that said inducement is not stated with sufficient particularity.
- 7. For that the plaintiff fails to allege any facts which would constitute a breach of warranty.
- 8. For that there is duplicity in said count in that the plaintiff attempts to state a cause of action for breach of warranty and negligence in one and the same count.
- 9. For aught that appears, the plaintiff was not damaged by the said breach of warranty.

- 10. For that there is a misjoinder of parties plaintiff.
- ll. For that said negligence is not averred with sufficient particularity.

ARMBRECHT, JACKSON, & DeMOUY

Attorney for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have on this

......, served a copy of the foregoing pleading on counsel for all parties to this

proceeding, by mailing the sems by Ugifed States mail,

property addressed and first class postage predaid.

ALUE J. DUCK CLERK REGISTE