	A CONTROL OFFER TO PURCHASE	
#**		
1/We do hereby with a	They the same of Suggesty Myseller and the following described real property site in Country, 24,25,2500	
	, vilos delgias (), senath vii, e /e 22, leifeal's bene la senath vait elfe the lelings decon i leimarann in line rangigna partifer hallander.	r 1636  K. Halla Edwin 12
logated it hat 10; Theele Alabama,	toes in or treds and allowing to 510,173.00 for them. Treds in	
to be held in excrew by you have more of an extremely have to his vice too, decis	tosit on this purchase, I/We tender herewith the sum of S	
said deposit to be divided	d equally between owner and Gavin Realty Company Inc.	
The Furchase Price spec	e fled above is to be paid in the following manner:  Log 10, Magic 1, Oak Relu	: dik (Øskebere)
	cod windste and death are invalid,	
	o Court, at closing jilus and \$4,922.00 paid by Mr. & Mrs. Balliu	W a
Wr. 2 Mrs. Balley to yey The seller is to farmish a	tall warranty doed; title 22 to be conveyed subject to any outstanding restrictions in the	
or exsements but emirely f	lee from all other encumbrances except those specifically assumed by me/as:	. <del>.</del>
602002 and rents to be prore	rted as of date of conveyuage.	
It is particularly understoo		
	r or if the owner canor and and another above this, my/our deponit in up he relanded in fall.	
2. If this offer is not accepted.	old the cost that each is to be think by the	
	popular to be independed for analytic	
The state of the s	Markette, Material entre and the control of the con	-
manifestation and a service attractive and the service at the serv	The state of the s	
and the second of the second o		
	reriorad on a link Long through no lant at alian own, all recover recover will be referred in that it :	
	rapiated on a 114.1. pas the rapid at fault of the eves, all parameter modern will be refunded in 1.40 of the companies of th	5200
	regioned on a T.A. Long through to had a give and, all remore money will be refunded in tall  or by had 30 days dive receipt of validated completence and inspection report.  because on the complete of the completence and inspection report.	
	regional on a T.A. Long through the hadrest complication and improved mill be refunded in tall  of the had 30 A ve almos receips of religions complication and improved a regions.  Observation of the state of the second complication and improved a region of the second provided by 120  observation of the state of the second provided by the second provided second provided by 120  observation of the second provided by the second provided second p	
	regional on a Till Long Squay to deal of the end, all parties which will be referred in that the parties and the parties are parties and the parties are parties and the parti	
	regional on a T.A. Long through the factor of the case, all property which is completed in that the complete in the complete in the case of the case o	
	The property of the control of the c	
	The control of the co	
	The control of the co	
	A Commission of the control of the c	
	be had 30 days after receipt of vilidated complicate and impection report.  Secretario to 1 and	
	be had 30 days after receipt of vilidated complicate and impection report.  Secretario to 1 and	
	be had 37 the after receipt of validated compliance and imperation receipt 1 22 consists and imperation receipt 1 2 consists and imperation receipt 1 consists	

magazika pakaka ka sagaring Basaring dang kasaring

#### TELEPHONE 928-2373

## RICHARD C. LACEY

ATTORNEY AT LAW FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA 36532

September 27, 1967

Mrs. Alice J. Duck Circuit Clerk Bay Minette, Alabama

Re: Howard C. Bailey & Edna E. Bailey Vs. G. H. & W. Home Builders, Inc.

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Please have the enclosed Bill of Complaintserved on G. H. & W. Home Builders, Inc.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard C. Lacey (m)

RCL:mt

Encls.

# RICHARD C. LACEY

TELEPHONE 928-2373

ATTORNEY AT LAW FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA 36532

september 18, 1968

MRS. ALICE J. DUCK Circuit Clerk Court House Bay Minette, Alabama

RE: Bailey vs. G. H. & W. Home Builders

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Please find amended complaint in subject matter enclosed and file:

Sincerely,

RICHARD C. LACEY()

RCL/dt Encls. ATTORNEY AT LAW FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA 36532

September 30, 1968

Mrs. Alice J. Duck Circuit Clerk Circuit Court Bay Minette, Alabama

RE: Bailey vs. G. H. & W. Home Builders Case No. 7775

Dear Mrs. Duck:

I am enclosing Exhibit A that should have been attached to the amended Bill of Complaint in subject case.

sincerely,

By dlt

RICHARD C. LACEY

RCL/dt encls.

OCT-2 1968

ALCE DERV CLERK

HOWARD C. BAILEY and EDNA E.

BAILEY,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

Plaintiffs,

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS.

G. H. & W. HOME BUILDERS, INC.,

AT LAW

NO. 7775

Defendant.

Now comes the defendant and for plea to the complaint as last amended and to each count thereof, separately and severally, says, separately and severally:

- 1. The allegations of said count are untrue.
- 2. For further answer to the said amended complaint defendant avers that on or about May 23, 1966, the plaintiffs and the defendant entered into an agreement, a copy of which is attached he reto, marked Exhibit A and made a part hereof, as though fully incorporated herein, and that thereafter the defendant furnished all material and labor as required by the said agreement in accordance with the terms thereof, wherefore, the plaintiffs should not recover in this suit.

COLEY & COLEY and JAMES R. OWEN

y

Attorneys for Defendant

A∜81 1969

ALIGE J. DECK CLERK REGISTER

THIS ACREMENT entered into by and between G. H. & W. HOME BUILDERS, INC., hereinafter called Party of the First Part, and HOWARD C. BAILEY and HOMA E. HALLEY, hereinafter called Parties of the Second Part, WITNESSETH that:

into a Contract and Agreement heretofore on, to-wit,
May 31, 1965, which Agreement provided, among other things,
that the Party of the First Part would construct, for the
consideration recited in said Contract, a house to be built
on Lot 40, Wilson Heights S/D, Seventh Unit, and

house to be constructed would be "as per Mr. Watford's home on Lot 53, Wilson Heights S/D, Seventh Unit, it being the intention of the parties that the home to be constructed for the benefit of the farties of the Second Fart would be substantially the saw as Mr. Matford's home both in quality of workmanship, materials, appraised value and general floor plan except as departure therefrom might be sade necessary or desirable as a result of the differences in terrain of the subject has owned.

WHEREAS, it is now contended by the Party of the First Part that the Contract hereinabove described has been completed except for certain minor details, and this contention is disputed by the Parties of the Second Part.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises, and in order to resolve the disagreement and differences of opinion which have arisen between the parties hereto and in further consideration of ONF DOLLIER (\$1.00) each to the other in hand paid the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, it is understood and agreed as follows:

1. Mans Fir. Merit Puller, a qualifie i and experienced real estate decide of Spanish Fort, Alabama,

shall examine the house constructed for the Parties of the Lecond Part by the Party of the Piret Part, as well as the house previously constructed and belonging to Mr. Matford; that he shall determine whether a not the Contract of May 31, 1965, has or has not been completed, and in the example that his determination of the same is that it has not been completed, in shall specify what work is further necessary to complete the problem in accordance to be both parties hereto agree to be bound by his leter instict in the talk mapped.

- 2. That bere better the back of the Second Part shall be about available to Mr. addies for his according to the inspection, and that obtain any according to this agreement and the confer with Mr. the entract to this agreement and the confer with Mr. the contract aforesaid.
- figures work is necessary to complete the construction of the mass in accordance with the Contract of May 31, 1905, the Party of the Mirst Part agrees to immediately undertake such work as may be specified by Mr. Paller as necessary in the precises, and to complete the zame in such that as may be dealgrated by Mr. Paller as reasonably necessary to that this tenth Mr. Paller as determination that such work was been satisfic toxily completed, the Parties of the second Part agree to immediately conclude the Contract of May 31, 1905, by payment of the remainder of the consideration recited therein.
- 4. It is farther understood and a reed that such reasonable expense or charge for services readered

as may be made by Mr. Fuller in the performance of his duties provided herein, shall be born equally by the parties hereto.

IN WINNESS WHEREOF, the said G. H. & W. Home Builders, Inc., has caused these presents to be executed by J. W. Gevin, its President, and the maid Howari C. Dailey and Rina E. Balley have herounto set their hands . 1960. day of and seals on this

G. H. & W. HOME BUILDERS, INC.

BY:		
	its President	
		(SHAL)
	an produce the second and the second	( Distance )
		(SEAL)
		ل المتعملات المتعلق ال

## STATE OF ALABAMA:

#### COUNTY OF MOBILE:

· I, the undereigned Notary Public in and for said State and County, hereby certify that J. Gavin, whose name as Fresident of G. H. & W. Home Builders, Inc., is signed to the foregoing agreement, and who is known to me, soknowledged before me on this day, that, being informed of the contents of the agreement, he, as such officer and with full sutherity, executed one lane voluntarily for and as the set of said corporation, on the lay the same bears date.

> Given under my hand and official seal this , 1966. day

> > NOTARY FURLIC, TORING COUNTY, ALABAMA,

## STATE OF ALABAHA:

## COUNTY OF MOBILE:

I, the undersigned Novery Public in and for said State and County, hereby certify that Howard G. Halley and Mina E. Bailey, whose hames are signed to the foregoing agreement, and who are known to we, asknowledged before se on this day that, being informed of the contents of the agreement, they executed the mass voluntarily on the day the same bears date.

Given under my hand and official seal this 28 day , 1966. lay

S/ George C. Consmeire

NOTARY PUBLIC, NOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA. VOL - 62 PAGE 117

of

Cf

HOWARD C. BAILEY and EDNA E. BAILEY,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

G. H. & W. HOME BUILDERS, INC.,
Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW
NO. 7775

## MOTION TO DISMISS

Now comes the defendant in the above styled cause, by its attorney, and shows unto the court and your Honor that the defendant's demurrer to the complaint in this cause was sustained on January 31, 1969, and that the plaintiffs have failed to the date hereof to file further pleadings, wherefore, the defendant moves the court to dismiss the complaint in this cause with prejudice.

DATED this 212 day of February, 1969.

Attorney for Defendant

ORDER

This motion having been presented to the court on this date the same is hereby set for hearing on the 7 day of March. 1969, at 9:00 o'clock A. M.

ORDERED on this the 21 day of February, 1969.

Josphin J. Maslibura

FILED

MAR 2 ± 1969

ALIE J. DOLLA CLERK REGISTER

HOWARD C. BAILEY and EDNA E. BAILEY,

Plaintiffs,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

NO. 7775

AT LAW

VS.

G. H. & W. HOME BUILDERS, INC.,

Defendant.

DEMURRER

Now comes the defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the amended complaint heretofore filed in said cause and to each count thereof and as grounds for said demurrer assign the following separately and severally:

- 1. It does not state a cause of action.
- 2. Said complaint does not aver compliance by the plaintiff with the terms of the alleged contract.
- 3. The complaint fails to allege the substance of the contract sued upon.
- 4. The complaint is vague, ambiguous and uncertain in that no matters of fact are averred therein to support the conclusion alleged that the house was not constructed in accordance with the contract referred to therein.
- 5. The allegation of damages contained therein "that the plaintiff was forced to live in an undesirable neighborhood" is not alleged to be a proximate consequence of any default on the part of the defendant.
- 6. For that living in an undesirable neighborhood does not constitute a recoverable element of damages.
- 7. For aught appearing from the complaint the alleged suffering of humiliation by the plaintiffs' children is not a proximate result of any default upon the part of the defendant.
- 8. The alleged suffering of humiliation by plaintiffs' children does not constitute a recoverable element of damages.
- 9. Count one of the amended complaint refers to an Exhibit "A", but does not attach the said exhibit to the amended complaint.

SEP 25 1968

COLEY & COLEY and JAMES R. OWEN

ALLES S. DOWN CLERK VOL REGISTER

Attorneys for Defendant

HOWARD C. BAILEY and EDNA E. ) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BAILEY,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

G. H. & W. HOME BUILDERS, INC.

Defendant.

#### AMENDED COMPLAINT

### COUNT I

Plaintiff claims of the Defendant TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$10,000.00) damages for breach of contract entered into by and between Plaintiff and Defendant on, to-wit: the 31st day of May, 1965, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof as though fully incorporated herein, in which said contract the Defendant agreed to construct a house on Lot 40, Wilson Heights Subdivision, 7th Unit, Baldwin County, Alabama, and by the terms of said contract the said house to be constructed by Defendant was to be the same as that constructed by the Defendant for Mr. Watford on Lot 53, Wilson Heights Subdivision, 7th Unit, Baldwin County, Alabama by the terms of which, Plaintiff was to pay Defendant the sum of Four Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty Two Dollars (\$4,922.00) and to convey to Defendant property owned by the Plaintiff on Lots 9 and 10, Block 1, oak Drive Court, Mobile, Alabama. Plaintiff avers that he has paid the cash money to the Defendant and has conveyed real property to the Defendant in accordance with the terms of said contract set forth in schedule A, and Plaintiff further avers that defendant did not construct the home in accordance with the provisions of the contract set forth in Schedule A in that the Defendant used inferior materials and workmenship in the construction of the home to the extent that the value of the home constructed by the Defendant for the Plaintiff is far less than the value of the home of Mr. Watford, All to the damage of the Plaintiff as aforesaid.

### COUNT II

Plaintiff claims of Defendant Four Thousand Dollars (\$4,000.00)

damages for breach of contract entered into by and between

Plaintiff and Defendant on, to-wit: the 31st day of May, 1965,

which said contract is set forth in Schedule A attached hereto and

VOL 62 PAGE 110

made a part hereof as though fully incorporated herein, and by the terms of said contract the Plaintiff agreed to construct a home for the Defendant on Lot 40, Wilson Heights Subdivision, 7th Unit, Baldwin County, Alabama, the same as that constructed by the Defendant for Mr. Watford on Lot 53, Wilson Heights Subdivision, 7th Unit, Baldwin County, Alabama, and in and by the terms of said contract the Plaintiff agreed to pay to the Defendant the sum of Four Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty-Two Dollars (\$4,922.00) and to convey to Defendant property owned by the Plaintiff on Lots 9 and 10, Block 1, Oak Drive Court, Mobile, Alabama, Plaintiff avers that he has complied with all of the terms of said contract, that he has paid the said sum of money to Defendant and he has conveyed said real property to Defendant. Plaintiff further avers that said Defendant failed and refused to construct the house in a reasonable length of time and in fact that said house was not completed until June 21, 1966 and that said Plaintiff was required to lose rentals from his property located in Mobile County, that due to the failure of the Defendant to have the home completed by September, 1965, as promised the Plaintiff by the Defendant, that the Plaintiff was required to live in an area in which the population had become completely that of members of the colored race, and that the children of the Plaintiff were forced to attend a school not of his choosing and as a result said Plaintiff, the wife of the Plaintiff, and the children of the Plaintiff suffered humiliation and mental anguish that resulted in medical expenses to the Plaintiff, all to the damage of the Plaintiff as aforesaid.

Attorney for Plaintiff

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do certify that I have on this 18th day of September, 1968, served a copy of the foregoing pleading on James R. Owen, Attorney at Law in Bay Minette, Alabama by mailing the same by United States mail, properly addressed, and first calss postage prepaid.

SEP 19 1968

RICHARD RICHARD C. LACEY Attorney for Plaintiff Fairhope, Alabama

ALCE J. DUCK CLERK REGISTER 62 PAGE 111

HOWARD C. BAILEY and EDNA E. BAILEY,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

G. H. & W. HOME BUILDERS, INC.,
Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW
NO. 7775

MOTION TO DISMISS

Now comes the defendant in the above styled cause by its attorney and shows unto the court and your Honor that the defendant's demurrer to the complaint in this cause was sustained on July 29, 1968, and that the plaintiffs have failed to the date hereof to file further pleadings, wherefore, defendant moves the court to dismiss the complaint in this cause with prejudice.

DATED this 13th day of September, 1968.

Attorney for Defendant

ORDER

This motion having been presented to the court on this date the same is hereby set for hearing on the day of September, 1968, at 9:00 o'clock A. M.

ORDERED on this the 134 day of September, 1968.

Jefois A. Massaure

SEP 1 3 1968

ALIGE J. DUCK CLERK REGISTER

- VOI 52 PAGE 109

HOWARD C. BAILEY and EDNA E. BAILEY,

VS.

Plaintiffs,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

NO. 7775

G. H. & W. HOME BUILDERS, INC., Defendant.

DEMURRER

Now comes the defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the complaint heretofore filed in said cause and as grounds for said demurrer assigns the following separately and severally:

- It does not state a cause of action.
- Said complaint does not aver compliance by the plaintiff with the terms of the alleged contract.
- 3. The complaint fails to allege the substance of the contract sued upon.
- 4. The complaint is vague, ambiguous and uncertain in that no matters of fact are averred therein to support the conclusion alleged that the house was not constructed in accordance with the contract referred to therein.
- 5. The allegation of damages contained therein "that the plaintiff was forced to live in an undesirable neighborhood" is not alleged to be a proximate consequence of any default on the part of the defendant.
- 6. For that living in an undesirable neighborhood does not constitute a recoverable element of damages.
- 7. For aught appearing from the complaint the alleged suffering of humiliation by the plaintiffs children is not a proximate result of any default upon the part of the defendant.
- 8. The alleged suffering of humiliation by plaintiffs: children does not constitute a recoverable element of damages.

COLEY & COLEY and JAMES R. OWEN

Attorneys for Defendant

I VOL 62 PAGE 108

NOV 9 1967

les et merick

```
HOWARD C. BAILEY and EDNA E. ) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BAILEY. )

Plaintiffs, ) BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA VS. )

G. H. & W. HOME BUILDERS, INC. )

Defendant. ) AT LAW Material County of the county of
```

Plaintiff claims of the Defendant TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$10,000.00) damages for breach of contract entered into by and between Plaintiff and Defendant on, to-wit: | the 31st day of May, 1965, in which said contract the Defendant agreed to construct a house on Lot 40, Wilson Heights Subdivision, Seventh Unit, Baldwin County, Alabama, the same as a house owned by Mr. Watford on Lot 33, Wilson Heights Subdivision, Seventh Unit, Baldwin County, Alabama, and by the further terms the Plaintiff was to pay to the Defendant FOUR THOUSAND NINE HUN-DRED TWENTY-TWO DOLLARS (\$4,922.00) and to convey to Defendant property owned by the Plaintiff on Lot 10, Block 1, Oak Drive Court, Mobile, Alabama, and Lot 9, Block 1, Oak Drive Court, Mobile, Alabama, said lots valued at NINETEEN THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED SEVENTY-THREE DOLLARS (\$19,173.00). Plaintiff avers that Defendant agreed to construct the house for the Plaintiff by September of 1965, and that Defendant failed and refused to complete the construction of the house until June 21, 1966. Plaintiff avers that the house was not constructed in accordance with the contract entered into by and between Plaintiff and Defendant causing the Plaintiff to expend soms of money over and above what Plaintiff was required to pay to Defendant; Plaintiff further avers that Plaintiff was forced to live in an undesirable neighborhood; that his children were forced to attend a school not of his choosing and suffered humiliation as a result of the deterioration of the neighborhood in which

Plaintiff lived due to not moving into the home at the time the Defendant agreed to have the home constructed; Plaintiff further avers that they suffered mental anguish and anxiety causing Plaintiff to incur medical bills all due to the damage of the Plaintiff as aforesaid.

RICHARD C. TACEY

Attorney for Plaintiff

DEFENDANT MAY BE SERVED AT:

Gavin Realty Company, Inc. Spanish Fort, Alabama

SEP 2 9 1967

ALIGE J. DEGN CLERK REGISTER

STATE OF ALABAMA		Baldwin County
Baldwin County	No	
		TERM, 19
	TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE	OF ALABAMA:
You Are Hereby Commanded to Sun	nmon G. H. & W. HOME BUILD	ERS, INC.
	emur, within thirty days from the serv	ice hereof, to the complaint
filed in the Circuit Court of Baldwin C	County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minett	c, against
G	. H. & W. HOME BUILDERS, I	NC. Defendant
by		
	OWARD C. BAILEY & EDNA E.	BAILEY Plaintiff
Witness my hand this	day of Sept	19.6.7

24:10-10-67

EVOL 62 PAGE 107

No. 7775 Page	
STATE OF ALABAMA	Defendant lives at
Baldwin County	RECENTED
CIRCUIT COURT	Received In Office OCT2 1967
CATALON BULLON	TAYLOR WILKINS
Howard C. Beuleys	I have executed this summons
4. H. & W. Home Builder	this 0 ct 10 1967
Defendants	by leaving a copy with
SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT	J. W. Hann
Filed 9-29 19.67	Sp. Stort
Alice L. Club M. Clerk	Shorist claims 44 miles pt Ten Cants per mile Total 8-4
	BY ROLDR WINKING CONTROL OF THE PUTY SHERIFF
Plantiff's Attorney	Saylw Williams, Sheriff
Defendant's Attorney	Ray Rand (Il Deputy Sheriff