COMPLAINT AND SUMMONS

FPORT TO GENERAL SESSIONS

The State of Alabama, MOBILE COUNTY TO	THE SHERIFF OF	MOBILE	COUNTY—	GREETINGS:
You are hereby commanded to summon.	CHARLES MASON			
to be and appear before the Court of General	l Sessions of Mobile (County at	the Courth	ouse of Mobile
County, on the 27 day of	June	, 19.67	at the hour	of 9:00 A.M.,
then and there to answer a complaint of	THE AMERICAN	NATION	AL BANK 8	c TRUST
COMPANY OF MOBILE, A National				
Herein fail not and have you then and the Witness my hand, this 22 day of			gs. I herro	19 67
	Clerk of the Cour	t of Gener	al Sessions of	Mobile County.
Cause of Action				
			•••••	

COMPLAINT AND SUMMONS

Atty. for Plaintiff: Hamilton, RDenniston, Butler & Riddick Atty. for Defendant:

THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF MOBILE COUNTY

No... 56967

Ret.

June 27, 1967

THE AMERICAN NAT'L BANK & TRUST CO., A Nat'l Banking Association, VS.

CHARLES MASON

1104 Grove Avenue, Bay Minette, Alabama

Continued To

Executed by Service on

This, day, 19......

Sheriff of Mobile County

Defendant

Ву Deputy Sheriff #/

THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & IN THE COURT OF
TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE, A
National Banking Association, GENERAL SESSIONS OF

Plaintiff, MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA.

-vsCHARLES MASON,
Defendant CASE NO.

COUNT ONE

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of, to-wit, FOUR HUNDRED ONE AND 36/100 DOLLARS (\$401.36), balance due by promissory note made by the Defendant on, to-wit, May 22, 1964, and payable in eighteen (18) successive monthly installments beginning on, to-wit, June 22, 1964. Plaintiff further alleges that in said note, and as a part of the consideration thereof, the Defendant agreed that in the event of default in the payment of any one of said installments when due, the holder of said note shall have the right to declare the entire indebtedness immediately due and payable, and the Plaintiff alleges that there has been a default in the payment of said installments, and the Plaintiff has elected to declare the entire indebtedness due and payable.

The Plaintiff further alleges that in said note and as a part of the consideration thereof, the Defendant waived all rights of exemption under the Constitution and Laws of the State of Alabama, and agreed to pay all costs of collection or securing or attempting to collect or secure said note, including a reasonable attorney's fee, which such attorney's fee the Plaintiff claims and alleges to be, to-wit, ONE HUNDRED THIRTY DOLLARS (\$130.00).

HAMILTON, DENNISTON, BUTLER & RIDDICK

- Contract

rial Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant may be served at:

1104 Grove Avenue Bay Minette, Alabama.

COMPLAINT AND SUMMONS

REPORT TO GENERAL SESSIONS COURTROOM "B" FIRST FLOOR

	COURTROOM "B" FIRST FLOOR
The State of Alabama, MOBILE COUNTY TO THE SHERIFF OF M	MOBILE COUNTY—GREETINGS:
You are hereby commanded to summon CHARLES MASON	
You are nereby commanded to summon	
to be and appear before the Court of General Sessions of Mobile C	county at the Courthouse of Mobile
County, on the 27 day of June	19.67 at the hour of 9:00 A.M.,
then and there to answer a complaint ofTHE AMERICAN I	NATIONAL BANK & TRUST
COMPANY OF MCBILE, A National Banking Associa	K C L OIL 9
of a debt or other demand not exceeding Seven Hundred Fifty Doll Herein fail not and have you then and there this precept with Witness my hand, this 22 day of May	** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***
witness my hand, this day of	750
Clerk of the Cour	t of General Sessions of Mobile County.
Cause of Action	and the second s
Cause of Action	~
* **	

5 5 E

COMPLAINT AND SUMMONS Atty-for Plaintiff: Hamilton, Renniston, Butler & Riddick	1 2	SHERIFF DEVI-		MOBIL (SHERIFF D COURTY: 8 07 M	94
THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF MOBILE COUNTY			1	ВҮ	ranganyan (i. B. Jah) agamayan yakubu say She dilanda distribili (i. Mal	HE A
No56967						
Ret.					·····	
June 27, 1967 THE AMERICAN NAT'L BANK & TRU. A Nat'l Eanking Association, VS. CHARLES MASON 1104 Trove Avenue, Bay Minette, Alabama Continued To						
JUN 7 1967 TAYLOR WILKINS SHERIFF						
Executed by Service on (Calles) Defendant This		Sheriff claims. Ten Cents per TAYLOR	mile T WILK	11	miles at	
By Deputy Sheriff Deputy Sheriff	# *	BY DE	PUTY S	XI) V.V. HERIFF	ALAA,	

C. LENOIR THOMPSON Attorney-At-Law BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA 36507 Office Phone: 937-3921 August 10, 1967 Honorable Paul W. Brunson Judge of the Court of General Sessions of Mobile County, Alabama Mobile County Court House Mobile, Alabama Re: The American National Bank &

Residence Phone: 937-7487

Trust Company v. Charles Mason General Sessions Case #56,967

Dear Judge Brunson:

Attached is a short brief on the plea in abatement in the above styled cause.

Inasmuch as this boy has been in Baldwin County for years, I am respectfully sending you this brief.

Should you feel however, that the word "permanent" be inserted in an amended copy, the time of his residence under the plea be reduced from the time of executing the instrument of indebtedness to the time it became in default, that of course, can also be included by amendment and established by the evidence.

Thank you for your patience in considering the brief.

Yours very truly,

CLT/hm

cc: Honorable Charles R. Butler, Jr. Attorney at Law P. O. Box 1743

Mobile, Alabama 36601

#3

THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE, a	X	
NATIONAL BANKING ASSOCIATION	X	IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF MOBILE COUNTY,
Plaintiff	χ	P LABAMA
vs	χ	CASE NO. 56967
CHARLES MASON	χ	
Defendant	χ	

Comes the defendant in the above-entitled cause, appearing specially and only for the purpose of filing this plea, and says that the said American National Bank & Trust Company of Mobile, a national banking association, plaintiff in this cause, ought not to have and maintain its said action for the defendant says separately and severally, as follows, to-wit:

FIRST

That the said defendant is a resident of Baldwin County, Alabama, and is not a resident of Mobile County, Alabama.

SECOND

That the said defendant resides in Bay Minette, Baldwin County, Alabama, and resided at this address when the alleged evidence of indebtedness was executed and has continued to reside at said address until the present date.

THIRD

That the said defendant has never resided in Mobile County, Alabama.

Wherefore, defendant says that the Court of General Sessions of Mobile County, Alabama, is without jurisdiction to try and determine this cause, and that this said action should be abated, and prays the judgment of this Honorable Court whether the plaintiff should be allowed to further maintain this suit.

Orly M/2011 Defendant

Attorney for defendant.

Baldwin County

Before me,

Notary Public, in and for said County, in said State, personally appeared Charles Mason, who, being known to me, and by me first duly sworn, deposes and says on oath:

That he is the defendant in the above entitled cause and has personal knowledge of the facts stated in the foregoing pleas and that the said statements of fact therein contained are true.

Charles Mason

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this day of Market 1967.

I hereby certify that I have this ____day of June, 1967, mailed a copy of the foregoing plea to the venue to Honorable Charles R. Butler, attorney for plaintiff, to his address in Mobile, Alabama,

THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE, a	Ž	IN THE COURT OF
NATIONAL BANKING ASSOCIATION,	Q	GENERAL SESSIONS OF
Plaintiff,	Ŏ	MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA
-vs-	Ŏ	
CHARLES MASON,	Q	
Defendant.	ğ	CASE NO. 56,967

DEMURRER

Comes now the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and demurs, separately and severally, to Defendant's Plea in Abatement heretofore filed herein and to each ground thereof on the following separate and several grounds, viz:

- Said plea is legally insufficient.
- 2. Said plea fails to allege that when this suit was commenced Defendant had a permanent residence in Baldwin County.
- 3. For aught that appears therefrom, Defendant had a permanent residence in Mobile County at the time this suit was commenced.
- 4. For aught that appears therefrom, Defendant was a permanent resident of Mobile County at the time this suit was commenced.
- 5. For aught that appears therefrom, Defendant was a permanent resident of Mobile County at the time the cause of action made the basis of this suit arose.

HAMILTON, DENNISTON, BUTLER & RIDDICK

Trial Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have on this 20 the day of 1000, 1967, served a conv of the foregoing pleading on counsel for all parties to this proceeding by mailing the same by United States mail, properly addressed, with first class postage prepaid.

JUN 29 1967

- علاقة

#5

THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF MOBILE COUNTY

Case No. 56967 May 3, 1967 The American National Bank & Trust Co:, FILED: A National Baking Association Summons Issued: May 22, 1967 Plaintiff June 27, 1967 Returnable: ٧S 6-12-67 Service Had: Cause of Action: Complaint & Summons Charles Mason Attorney for Plaintiff: Hamilton, Benniston, Defendant Butler & Riddick : Attorney for Defendant: Mr. Thompson, Baldwin Co. Amount of Claim: \$531.36

6-27-67 Deft's Plea filed.

7-25-67 Pltf's Demurrers to Deft. Plea In Abatement filed 6-29-67 8-8-67

8-11-67 Demurrers Overruled - Paul W. Brunson

9-5-67 Confess Plea in Abatement and request transfer to Baldwin County - Paul W. Brunson

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the above styled cause, as it appears on record and in the files of The Court of General Sessions of Mobile County, Alabama.

Witness my hand this the 27th day of September, 1967.

Clerk of The Court of General Sessions of Mobile County, Alabama

#6

Hamilton Dennistan ATTORNEYS FOR PLTF:-Butler + Reddick

COST BILL

THE STATE OF ALABAMA MOBILE COUNTY

The Court of General Sessions of Mobile County

MORITE COUNTY) of Wobile Co.	unty
The american Nat. Bank & Just	A C3.
Plaintiff	. 0
	SE NO. 56967
Defendant CAS	E NO. 5 6767
COURT FEES	
Summons and proceedings thereon to judgment	
Docketing each cause	
Attachment Bond and Affidavit	
Issuing each Attachment	
Subpoena for each witness	
Execution and taxing costs thereon	
Each appeal or certiorari, including bond and certificate of proceedings	1
Every necessary Certificate or Notice not otherwise provided for	i i
For issuing each Writ of Detinue	
For each Scire Facias, or notice in the nature thereof	
Every other Bond	.50
Administering an oath and certifying the same	•
Issuing Notice of Appeal	
Law Library Fee	
Judgment Ni Si against Garnishee	
Writ of Discovery	
Writ of Contempt	
Writ of ArrestAlias Summons	
Witness Fee fifty cents for each day's attendance	
Windows I co 1110, collect out and a decoration of	1
was and a specific contraction of the contraction o	
TOTAL	\$
SHERIFF'S FEES	
Levying Attachment	
Entering and returning same	
Summoning Garnishee and making return	
Serving Summons and other mesne process, and returning the same	1
Collecting execution for costs only	
Serving Scire Facias or other like notice	
Serving any summons not herein provided for, and making return	
Seizing personal property under Writ of Detinue	1
Taking care of such property, such just compensation as Court may fix	•
Taking and approving bonds of every kind	
When property is sold under execution or attachment	
Commission:	
When sale is stayed by restraining order	
Total	\$ 6.75
I respectfully call your attention to the above Court Cost Bill which if not 1	- -
19, it will be my unpleasant duty to issue execution on your property for t	he recovery of the same.
G. V. ADAM	i\$, Clerk.

TRANSFER

#7

NOTICE of MARKET

VS.	With the second
harles Mason	tea Tea
Desident	
Defendant	
o	<u> </u>
	un direktor.
plaintiff in the abo	ve entitled cause has prayed and o
plaintiff in the about transfer to the Circuit Court of Ba	ve entitled cause has prayed and ol Lidwin County, Alabama
a transfer to the Circuit Court of Ba ined xmxappealxformx altergough gament decreasing and a	ldwin County, Alabama
plaintiff a transfer to the Circuit Court of Ba ined anxappealxing a the circuit Court of Ba ined anxappealxing a the circuit Court of Ba ined anxappealxing a the circuit Court of GENERAL SESSIONS OF M intersection.	ldwin County, Alabama
a transfer to the Circuit Court of Ba ined xmxappealxformx altergough gament decreasing and a	Adwin County, Alabama COBILE COUNTY, and having cor ade and provided, the same has bee
a transfer to the Circuit Court of Ba ined mxappealximm the interpretation the rom the nigration COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF M lied with the requirements of the law in such cases ma	OBILE COUNTY, and having corade and provided, the same has been been been been been been been bee
a transfer to the Circuit Court of Ba nined amanpeal singus than subsement the results of the rom the magazak skar COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF M	OBILE COUNTY, and having corade and provided, the same has been been been been been been been bee

Case No._ 56967

The American National Bank & Trust Co. A National Banking Association

😱 🚭 (1916 - 20 dans) Terroldin ressionari estr

Plaintiff,

VS

Charles Mason

normal and Defendant. The decreases of the side of the obstacles of the property of the side of

· do de serve e that i Lenoldell carrierant and

NOTICE OF ANXMENXX

TRANSFER

the hard to word and an area to harde a construction of and the first the state of the form the force of the state of the stat

Returnable To The Circuit Court BALDMIN COUNTY : A PROPERTY OF THE AREA OF THE ORDER OF THE AREA OF THE AREA OF THE ORDER OF THE ORD

The all throughout freedoming to an above a constitutional tradeway that the west due to said think in the Issued: September 27, 1967 ALTERNATION OF THE CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE STREET

Serve On:

HAMILTON, DENNISTON, BUTLER & RIDDICK

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS AT LAW AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

P. O. BOX 1743

MOBILE, ALABAMA

PETER HAMILTON (1838-1888) THOMAS A.HAMILTON (1844-1897) J. GAILLARD HAMILTON (1899-1956)

CABLE ADDRESS:

TELEPHONE: 432-7517 AREA CODE 205

HARRY H. RIDDICK OLIVER J. LATOUR, JR. JAMES W. TARLTON, III CHARLES R. BUTLER, JR. E. TED TAYLOR JANELLA J. WOOD

THOMAS A. HAMILTON

ROBERT P. DENNISTON CHARLES R. BUTLER

August 9, 1967

Honorable Paul W. Brunson Judge of the Court of General Sessions of Mobile County, Alabama Mobile County Court House Mobile, Alabama

Re: The American National Bank & Trust Company v. Charles Mason General Sessions Case #56,967

Dear Judge Brunson:

Plaintiff has demurred to Defendant's Plea in Abatement of Venue, and the essence of Plaintiff's demurrer is that the Plea in Abatement is defective for failing to allege when this suit was commenced that Defendant had a permanent residence in Baldwin County. For aught that appears Defendant had a permanent residence in Mobile County when this suit was commenced. As authority for this proposition see Title 7, Section 54 and Cable-Shelby Burton Piano Co. 213 Ala. 565 (1925).

It is therefore respectfully submitted that Defendant's Plea in Abatement is defective and Plaintiff's demurrer to said plea should be sustained.

Very truly yours,

For the Firm

CRBjr:sm

cc: C. Lenoir Thompson, Esq.

THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE NAME OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY AND THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY AND THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK

•	RECO	RD OF PAY	MENTS
FOR VALUE RECEIVED, the undersigned promise(s) to pay to the order of THE AMERICAN NATIONAL	DATE PAID	PAYMENTS	BALANCE
BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE, at its Main Office in Mobile, Alabama,	1		
the sum of Dollars	2		
payable ininstallments of \$each except the last installment which is in the sum of	3		
\$; the first installment shall be due on _ June 21	4		
after date hereof, and one of such remaining installments shall be due on the day of each	5		
successive month thereafter until the entire indebtedness evidenced hereby shall have been fully paid. Interest shall be payable on the respective installments at the rate of 8% per annum after maturity thereof.	G		
In the event of default in the payment of any one or more of said monthly installments, when and as the same fall due, or in the event of death, insolvency of, general assignment by, judgment against, filing of	7		
petition in bankruptcy by or against, filing of application in any court for receiver for, or issuance of writ of garnishment or attachment against any party liable hereon or against any of the assets of any such party liable hereon, whether maker, endorser, surety or guarantor, or on the happening of any one or more of said events, the payee, its successors and assigns, shall have the right at its or their election, and without notice to the undersigned or any endorser hereof, to declare the entire indebtedness evidenced hereby immediately due and payable (less a sum equal to 8% per annum on the respective installments from the date of declaration to the respective dates of maturity) and to proceed in any lawful manner for the collection thereo. Medically	8		
	9		
	10		
in making such election shall be construed to waive the right to make the same. The holder hereof may note the fact of acceleration hereon with or without stating the specific ground for accelerating majurity, and whether or not noted hereon such election to accelerate shall be effective.	11		
The parties to this instrument whether maker endorser, surely or coverenter, each for himself, house,	12		
severally waive as to this debt, or any renewal hereof, all rights of exemption under the Constitution and Laws of Alabama, or of any State, as to personal property, and they each severally agree to pay all costs of collecting or securing or attempting to collect or secure this note, including a reasonable attrincy's fee	13		
whether the same be collected or secured by or any attorney consulted with reference to suit or otherwise. And each maker, endorser, surety and cuarantor of this note severally waives demand presentment restore actions.	14		
of protest, suit and all other requirements necessary to hold them, or any of them, and they severally agree that time of payment may be extended or renewal note taken or other indulgence granted without notice of or consent to such action, without release of liability as to any such party. The bank of which this rest is	15		
payable is neverly authorized to apply, on or after maturity, to the payment of this debt, any funds or credit held by said bank, on deposit, in trust, or otherwise for account of the mater and are constitutions.	16		
or any of them, but shall not be required to make such application unless it shall be so elect, nor be liable for any failure or omission in respect thereof.	17		
It is understood and agreed that a late charge of five cents per dollar will be paid by the moker(s) on each installment more than fifteen days in arrears.	18		
The undersigned have subscribed their names hereto without condition that any one else should sign or become whatever being made. The undersigned, if more than one, shall be jointly and severally liable hereunder.	bound hereon,	and without an	y other condition
ADDRESS	(5):		
1/5 Dodsonler & Charles	es m	ana	~_
Du Minette			
		\.\.\.\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	74 <u>4</u>
FORM N. D111 - 5M - 8-62 - GSSC			
	1		

September 27, 1967

Mrs. Alice J. Duck, Circuit Clerk Baldwin County Court House Bay Minette, Alabama

The American National Bank & Trust Co.

VS. Charles Mason

Dear Mrs. Duck,

As provided by Act No. 76, Acts of Alabama, 1961, I am enclosing the following papers in the above styled case:

No. 1	Complaint and Summons
No. 2	Sheriff's Beturn on Complaint & Summons
No. 3 & 3A	Plea in Abatement
No. 4	Demurrers
No. 5	Certified Copy of Docket Sheet
No. 6	Cost Bill
No. 7	Notice of Transfer

Will you please acknowledge receipt of the above papers on the bottom of this letter and return to me in the enclosed self-addressed, stampled envelope.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Yours very truly,

All papers in this cause received in The Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, this the 21 day of Sept. 1967.

County Alabama

NOTICE of WELLERY

MOBILE COUNTY						
.e American National Bank & Trust . National Baking Association	Co.,		neje. Vinijas			
				1		
Plaintiff VS.		÷	(1) + (1) + (1)	iss t		
Charles Mason						
Defendant	1					
Charles Maso	1					
То						· (\$150. gam) delay days Back WMS SEE 180
the plaintiff						
a transfer to the Circuit Co tained enxappeaks neukthering sement where	urt of	Baldwir	Cour	ty, A	lábama	
from the court of general sessi	ONS OF	MOBIL	E COU	NTY,	and hav	ing com-
plied with the requirements of the law in su	ich cases	made an	d prov	ided	he same	has been
granted to the next term of the CIRCUIT	COURT		l <i>dui</i> e-Cou			for said
County, you are hereby notified accordingly	y.					
County, you are hereby notified accordingly. Given under my hand this the 27	-	Sept	ember	•		₁₉ 67

1 A STATE OF THE S	
Case No. 56967 20.776/	Tall to
merican National Bank & Trust Co.	SHER COUNTY OF THE SHERE
Plaintiff,	200 di
vs	day of Dect. (6)
Charles Mason	o Charles Mason
Bay Prevette, alex Defendant.	TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff
TRANSFER NOTICE OF XARREAUX	TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff By W. a. Sellee J.
	Shortes datase
Returnable To The Circuit Court OF BALDWIN COUNTY	
Issued: September 27, 1967	DEPUTY SHERIFF OF DEPUTY Of the first will be accessive as being as because
	Wheelines we will be the selection of th

THE AMERICAN NATIONAL HANK & TRUST COMPANY OF MOBILE, a NATIONAL BANKING ASSOCIATION

Plaintiff

-vs-

CHARLES MASON

Defendant

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA

CASE NO. 56,967

Attorney for Plaintiff:

Charles R. Butler, Jr.
Attorney at Law
American National Bank Building
P. O. Box 1743
Mobile, Alabama

Attorney for defendant:

C. LeNoir Thompson Attorney at Law P. O. Box 359 Bay Minette, Alabama

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Plaintiff filed the suit on a promissory note, alleged to be in default and sues for an attorney fee having defendant served in Baldwin County.

Defendant files a plea to the venue. Plaintiff demurs to the plea.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

The defendant is alleged to have executed a promissory note to the American National Bank on May 22, 1964, and to have defaulted on said note.

The suit is filed for the balance due on said note together with a reasonable attorney fee and the complaint carries the defendant's address at 1104 Grove Avenue, May Minette, Alabama, which the Count judicially knows is in Baldwin County.

Defendant filed a plea to the venue alleging himself to be a non-resident of Mobile County and a resident of Baldwin County and giving a better writ. Plaintiff demurs to the plea.

PROPOSITIONS OF LAW

l. Section 54. (10467) (6110) Venue of actions. -- All actions on contracts, except as may be otherwise provided, must be brought in the county in which the defendant or one of the defendants resides....

Title 7, Section 54 Code of Alabama

not contradicted by the face of the pleadings, will be made in favor of the jurisdiction of the circuit court. Hence a plea in abatement to the jurisdiction must allege facts which exclude every condition under which jurisdiction may be lawfully exercised by that court. Willard v. Zehr, 215 Ell. 148, 155, 74 N. E. 107; Moore v. Morris, 142 Ind. 354, 355, 41 N. E. 795; Cavin V. Hill, 83 Tex. 73, 18 S. W. 323. The authorities seem to go even further than this, and to require also that the true jurisdiction be affirmatively laid in the proper court.

Atlantic Coast Line R. Co. v. Ballard 80 So. p. 436

3. The essential status to invite the application of the rule to which appellant appeals is that the pleading be equivocal, susceptible of two constructions; and when so framed, when so capable, the pleading must be construed against the pleader. Western Assu. Co. v. McClathery, 115 Ala. 213, 222, 22 South. 104, 67 Am. St. Rep. 26; 31 Cyc. pp. 78,79; 4 Ency. Pl. & Pr. pp. 759-762. The pleading must be equivocal on its face.

The mere omission upon occasion of a necessary averment in order to constitute the pleading sufficient against demurrer does not afford the invitation to apply the rule of construction before stated, however fatal to the pleading, a well-directed demurrer may be because of omission of essential averment.

Zavelo v. J. S. Reeves & Co. 54 So. 654

4. We are of opinion that this evidence showed that the defendant had a permanent residence in Winston County, and that he resided there within the meaning of the provision of the statute (Code, Section 6110) that "all actions of contract, except as may otherwise be provided, must be brought in the county in which the defendant, or one of the defendants, resides, if such defendant has within the state a permahent residence." The word "resides" may be used to signify where one temporarily abides. It may also be used to signify where he has a fixed and permanent home. It seems plain that it is in this latter sense that the word is used in the plea and in the statute. In both it is found in such a connection as to indicate the place at which the defendant has a permanent residence. The evidence showed that the defendant's legal residence or domicile was in Winston County, and that at the time of the institution of the suit he had not, within the meaning of his plea or of the statute, become a resident of another county. In other words, the plea was sustained by the evidence. Allgood v. Williams, 92 Ala. 551, 8 South. 722; Powers v. Bryant's Adm'r. 7 Port. 9, 15; 7 Words and Phrases, 6151, 6165. It follows that the judgment should have been in favor of the defedant on his plea in abatement

Taylor v. Chattanooga Medicine Co. 59 So. 707

5. We also call attention to Section 54, Title 7, Code of 1940, which in pertinent part meads as follows, "all other personal actions, if the defendant or one of the defendants has within the state a permanent residence, may be brought in the county of such residence, or in the county in which the act or omission complained of may have been done or may have occurred. * * *"

Consideration of some Alabama decisions dealing with venue statutes which are predecessors of the present venue statutes may be helpful. In Ashurst v. Gibson, 57 Ala. 584, there was a bill filed to foreclose a mortgage of real and personal propert; against defendants residing in another count and district than that in which the bill was filed. This court said:

"* * The statute, prior to the act of March 17, 1873, required that bills against resident defendants should be filed in the district of the residence of a material defendant, unless the object was to enjoin proceedings or judgments in other courts, and then it must have been filed in the district in which such proceedings were pending or judgment rendered. Revised Code, Section 3326. The act of 1873, amendatory of this provision of the Code, authorized the filing of the bill, if real estate is the subject-matter of suit, in the county where the same or a material portion thereof, is sutuated.

Pamp. Acts. 1872-3, p. 119; Code of 1876, Section 3760. The statute as amended, simply confers on a complainant the right of filing the bill in the county, in which the real estate or a material portion thereof, is situated. The jurisdiction of

the district of the residence of a material defendant remains.

The locality of the real estate, and of residence, alike confer jurisdiction, and the complainant may, at his pleasure, elect the one or the other jurisdiction. The right of election is limited to suits, the subject-matter of which is real estate; and does not embrace other suits, having a different subject-matter. As to the personal property embraced in the mortgace, the court in which the Bill is filed, was without jurisdiction, unless it attaches as an incident to the jurisdiction, by reason of the locality of a part of the land. The ceneral policy of legislation is to subject the citizens to suits only in the county of his residence, whether the action is at law or in equity. As to suits at law, no freeholder, nor householder, can be sued out of the county of his permanent residence, as a general rule.

Associated Grocers of Alama

Graves Co. 130 So. 2d 17

(Underscored for emphasis by counsel)

ARGUMENT

The Court will note the law cited by the plaintiff in support of the demurrers filed and it is submitted that the case cited is not in point and should be disregarded because the case involved a corporation defendant and turned on the following quotation from the decision which clearly removes it as a case providing precedent in the instant case:

"(4) The plea of defendant Cable-Shelby-Burton Piano Company states:

ithat on, to-wit, the l6th day of January. 1924, it was and is now domiciled in Jefferson County, Alabama, and was not engaged in business in Walker County, Alabama'.

These averments are insufficient under the statute to constitute a plea in abatement by this defendant. Section 5112, Code 1907, as amended Gen. Acts 1919, p. 240; section 10471, Code 1923. This defendant is a corporation. Under this statute (section 6112, Code 1907, as amended Acts 1919, p. 240), this suit for this cause of action may be instituted and maintained against this defendant in any county in which it does business by agent or was doing business by agent at the time the cause of action arose. It fails to allege in this plea that it was not doing business in Walker County, by agent, when this suit was commenced in the circuit court of Walker county. This rendered this plea defective and insufficient and subject to the demurrer, and the trial court did not err in sustaining plaintiff's demurrer to it."

Cable-Shelby-Burton Piano Co.

Turbeville 105 So. 555

argument

The attention of the Court is called to the defendant's plea to the venue in which the defendant alleges that he is a resident of Baldwin County, Alabama, and not a resident of Mobile County and that he has never resided in Mobile County; and further that he resided in Bay Minette, Baldwin County, Alabama, when the alleged evidence of indebtedness was executed and continued to reside at said address until the present date.

The action sued on shows affirmatively that the evidence of indebtedness was executed on May 22, 1964, and the plea shows that he resided in Bay Minette on that date and continuously thereafter.

The Court will note that in Proposition of Law No. 3, the Supreme Court held, the mere omission upon occasion of a necessary averment in order to constitute the pleading sufficient against demurrer does not afford the invitation to apply the rule of construction stated above which would cause the Court to construe this omission against the pleader.

The Code section, Title 7, Section 54 does not require interpretation. We respectfully submit on the basis of Proposition of Law No. 4 that the residence specified by the defendant is sufficient to justify setting the plea down for bearing to determine whether or not the evidence taken in support of the plea will disclose whether the plea should be sustained or the plea overruled.

The Court will note from Proposition of Law No. 4 and I quote. "The word "resides" may be used to signify where one temporarily abides. It may also be used to signify where he has a fixed and permanent home. It seems plain that it is in

this latter sense that the word is used in the plea and in the statute."

The attention of the Court is called to Proposition of Law No. 5 which counsel underscored as to the more pertinent part.

The Court's attention is respectfully pointed out that counsel has endeapred to express to the Court the law applicable which justifies overruling the demurrer and sustaining the pleasunless the Court wishes to take testimony for the purpose of determining whether the evidence will support the plea.

The Court is again urged that the law so briefly cited by counsel for the plaintiff in support of the demurrer is mis-leading to the Court in that the decision applies as to the venue in corporations and the wording would have no application as to individual defendants.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for defendant.

I hereby certify that I have this \(\sum_{\text{day}} \) of August, 1967, served a copy of the foregoing on Honorable Charles R. Butler,

Jr., counsel for the plaintiff by depositing copy of same in the U. S. Mail, postage prepaid to his address in Mobile, Alabama.

Arronner for defendant