

STATE OF ALABAMA  
BALDWIN COUNTY

To any sheriff of the State of Alabama:

You are hereby commanded to summon C. A. POGUE to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, to be held for said County at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the complaint of CLIFTON SONS.

Witness my hand, this 21 day of August, 1967.

*Alice Duck*  
Alice Duck  
Circuit Clerk

CLIFTON SONS,  
Plaintiff

VS.

C. A. POGUE, C. A. POGUE,  
D/B/A POGUE BOX COMPANY,  
Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF  
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA  
AT LAW, NO. 7691

COUNT ONE

Comes now the plaintiff and claims of the defendant the sum of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000.00) as damages, for that, to-wit: on August 22, 1966, the defendant was engaged in the business of operating in the City of Elberta, Alabama, a box manufacturing company and on, to-wit, said day and date, in said State and the County of Baldwin, the plaintiff was a servant or employee of the defendant, in the service or business of the defendant, and then and there, while in the performance of his duties as such servant, or employee, he was operating a certain saw which was defective, and while the plaintiff was in the operation of said saw as aforesaid in the performance of his duties, as a proximate consequence of the said defective saw, the plaintiff's left hand was caused to come in contact with the teeth of said saw's blade, and as a proximate result, the plaintiff was injured as follows, to-wit: he received serious injuries to his left hand, and the muscles, nerves and ligaments were torn, lacerated, bruised and contused; his capacity to work and earn money has been permanently impaired; he has been made

to incur considerable medical expenses in and about his efforts to heal and cure himself; he was caused to suffer physical pain and mental anguish and will be made to suffer in the future; and he has been permanently injured and disabled. And the plaintiff avers that he received said injuries as a proximate result and consequence of a defect in the conditions of the ways, works, machinery or plant connected with or used in the business of defendant, which defect arose from or had not been corrected or discovered owing to, the negligence of defendant, or of some person in the service of defendant and intrusted with the duty of seeing that the ways, works, machinery, etc. were in proper condition, in this: That the said saw, on which the plaintiff was injured, was defective.

The plaintiff further avers that the defendant does not regularly employ more than eight employees and that the defendant has not elected to become subject to the Workmen's Compensation Act, Title 26, Sections 256-325 of the Code of Alabama, 1940 (Recomp. 1958), hence this suit.

#### COUNT TWO

Comes now the plaintiff and claims of the defendant the sum of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000.00) as damages, for that, to-wit, on August 22, 1966, the defendant was engaged in the business of operating in the City of Elberta, Alabama, a box manufacturing company and on, to-wit, said day and date in said State and the County of Baldwin, the plaintiff was a servant or employee of the defendant, in the service or business of the defendant, and then and there, while in the performance of his duties as such servant, or employee, he was operating a certain saw which contained a defect in its guide, and while the plaintiff was in the operation of said saw as aforesaid in the performance of his duties, as a proximate consequence of the defective guide on the said saw, the plaintiff's left hand was caused to come in contact with the teeth of said saw's blade,

and as a proximate result the plaintiff was injured as follows, to-wit: he received serious injuries to his left hand, and the muscles, nerves and ligaments were torn, lacerated, bruised and contused; his capacity to work and earn money has been permanently impaired; he has been made to incur considerable medical expenses in and about his efforts to heal and cure himself; he was caused to suffer physical pain and mental anguish and will be made to suffer in the future; and he has been permanently injured and disabled. And the plaintiff avers that he received said injuries as a proximate result and consequence of a defect in the conditions of the ways, works, machinery or plant connected with or used in the business of defendant, which defect arose from or had not been corrected or discovered owing to the negligence of defendant, or of some person in the service of defendant and intrusted with the duty of seeing that the ways, works, machinery, etc., were in proper condition, in this: That the said saw, on which the plaintiff was injured, contained a defective guide.

The plaintiff further avers that the defendant does not regularly employ more than eight employees and that the defendant has not elected to become subject to the Workmen's Compensation Act, Title 26, Sections 256-325 of the Code of Alabama, 1940 (Recomp. 1958), hence this suit.

### COUNT THREE

Comes now the plaintiff and claims of the defendant the sum of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000.00) as damages for that, to-wit: on August 22, 1966, the defendant was engaged in the business of operating in the City of Elberta, Alabama, a box manufacturing company, and as part of his business was operating a certain saw, and that the plaintiff was on, to-wit, said day and date in said State and the County of Baldwin, in the employ of defendant, working on said saw, and while so engaged in the active discharge of his duties, his left hand was severly cut on said saw's blade, and as a proximate result the plaintiff was injured as follows, to-wit: he received serious injuries to his left hand, and

the muscles, nerves and ligaments were torn, lacerated, bruised and contused; his capacity to work and earn money has been permanently impaired; he has been made to incur considerable medical expenses in and about his efforts to heal and cure himself; he was caused to suffer physical pain and mental anguish and will be made to suffer in the future; and he has been permanently injured and disabled. And the plaintiff avers that said injuries were proximately caused by the negligence of one Mrs. Hede, whose name is otherwise unknown to the plaintiff, who was also in the employ of the defendant, who had superintendence intrusted to her by defendant whilst in the exercise of such superintendence, and that said negligence of said superintendent consisted in this: That the said Mrs. Hede, who had superintendence of the said saw and the employees who operated the same, negligently set the guide control on the said saw so as to make it unsafe to cut boards, and as a proximate consequence, the plaintiff was injured as aforesaid.

The plaintiff further avers that the defendant does not regularly employ more than eight employees and that the defendant has not elected to become subject to the Workmen's Compensation Act, Title 26, Sections 256-325 of the Code of Alabama, 1940 (Recomp. 1958), hence this suit.

#### COUNT FOUR

Comes now the plaintiff and claims of the defendant the sum of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000.00) as damages, for that, to-wit, on August 22, 1966, the defendant was engaged in the business of operating in the City of Elberta, Alabama, a box manufacturing company and on, to-wit, said day and date in said State and the County of Baldwin, the plaintiff was a servant or employee of the defendant, in the service or business of the defendant, and then and there, while in the performance of his duties as such servant, or employee, he was operating a certain saw, and while the plaintiff was in the operation of said saw as aforesaid in the performance of his duties, his left hand was severely cut on said saw's blade and as a proximate result the plaintiff was injured

as follows, to-wit, he received serious injuries to his left hand, and the muscles, nerves and ligaments were torn, lacerated, bruised and contused; his capacity to work and earn money has been permanently impaired; he has been made to incur considerable medical expenses in and about his efforts to heal and cure himself; he was caused to suffer physical pain and mental anguish and will be made to suffer in the future; and he has been permanently injured and disabled. And the plaintiff avers that he received his said injuries and damage as the proximate consequence of the negligence of Mrs. Hede, whose name is not otherwise known to the plaintiff at this time, in the service or employment of the defendant, to whose orders or direction the plaintiff at the time of the injury was to conform, and did conform, and that the plaintiff suffered said injuries and damage from his having so conformed in this: That the said employee of the defendant to whose orders or directions the plaintiff was bound to conform negligently ordered the plaintiff to operate a dangerous saw, and as a proximate consequence, the plaintiff was injured as aforesaid.

The plaintiff further avers that the defendant does not regularly employ more than eight employees and that the defendant has not elected to become subject to the Workmen's Compensation Act, Title 26, Sections 256-325 of the Code of Alabama, 1940 (Recomp. 1958), hence this suit.

#### COUNT FIVE

Comes now the plaintiff and claims of the defendant the sum of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000.00) as damages, for that, to-wit, on August 22, 1966, the defendant was engaged in the business of operating in the City of Elberta, Alabama, a box manufacturing company and on, to-wit, said day and date in said State and the County of Baldwin, the plaintiff was a servant or employee of the defendant, in the service or business of the defendant, and then and there, while in the performance of his duties as such servant, or employee, he was operating a certain saw, which, in its mechanism or operation, was of a dangerous character, and was dangerous to anyone who was not instructed as to its proper operation,

and while the plaintiff, who was not familiar with the mechanism or working of said saw, was in the operation of said saw as aforesaid in the performance of his duties, his left hand was severely cut on said saw's blade, and as a proximate result the plaintiff was injured as follows, to-wit: he received serious injuries to his left hand, and the muscles, nerves and ligaments were torn, lacerated, bruised and contused; his capacity to work and earn money has been permanently impaired; he has been made to incur considerable medical expenses in and about his efforts to heal and cure himself; he was caused to suffer physical pain and mental anguish and will be made to suffer in the future; and he has been permanently injured and disabled. And the plaintiff avers that said injuries were proximately caused by the negligence of one Mrs. Hede, whose name is otherwise unknown to the plaintiff, who was also in the employ of the defendant, who had superintendence intrusted to her by defendant whilst in the exercise of such superintendence, and that said negligence of said superintendent consisted in this: That the said Mrs. Hede, who had superintendence of the said saw and the employees who operated the same, negligently failed to instruct and superintend the plaintiff as to the proper operation of the said saw, or as to the dangerous character of its mechanism, and as a proximate consequence, the plaintiff was injured as aforesaid.


The plaintiff further avers that the defendant does not regularly employ more than eight employees and that the defendant has not elected to become subject to the Workmen's Compensation Act, Title 26, Sections 256-325 of the Code of Alabama, 1940 (Recomp. 1958), hence this suit.

#### COUNT SIX


Plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000.00) as damages, for that, to-wit: on August 22, 1966, the defendant was engaged in operating a box manufacturing company in Elberta, Alabama, which is also in the County of Baldwin; that on the date aforesaid plaintiff was in the employment of the defendant, and while in a discharge of his duties, under his employment, his left

hand was severely cut on the blade of a certain saw, and as a proximate result, the plaintiff was injured as follows, to-wit: he received serious injuries to his left hand, and the muscles, nerves and ligaments were torn, lacerated, bruised and contused; his capacity to work and earn money has been permanently impaired; he has been made to incur considerable medical expenses in and about his efforts to heal and cure himself; he was caused to suffer physical pain and mental anguish and will be made to suffer in the future; and he has been permanently injured and disabled. And the plaintiff avers that his said injuries were proximately caused by the negligence of the defendant in failing to provide him with a reasonably safe place in which to do his work under his employment. Wherefore he sues and claims damages as aforesaid.

The plaintiff further avers that the defendant does not regularly employ more than eight employees and that the defendant has not elected to become subject to the Workmen's Compensation Act, Title 26, Sections 256-325 of the Code of Alabama, 1940 (Recomp. 1958), hence this suit.

  
Attorney for Plaintiff

PLAINTIFF RESPECTFULLY DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY.

  
Attorney for Plaintiff

DEFENDANT MAY BE SERVED AT ELBERTA, ALABAMA.

FILED

AUG 21 1967

ALICE J. DICK

CLERK  
REGISTER

1 VOL

65 PAGE 47

7691

Received 21 day of Aug. 1967  
on 23 day of August 1967  
I, C.A. Pogue  
service on C.A. Pogue

TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff

By J. Eastman

Elmerita W. Pogue

84

88

88

88

88

88

88

88

88

88

88

88

Clifton Sons,

Attys.

vs.

C.A. Pogue, C.A.

Pogue, aka-Pogue

Box Co.

Attys.

FILED

AUG 21 1967

ALICE J. DICK

CLERK  
REGISTER

James W. Howell

Attys

Approved for Plaintiff

Approved for Defendant

SHALLING RESPONSIBILITY DEMANDS A JUST BY JURY.

DEMANDS MAY BE MADE TO DEFENDANT, VENDOR.

400 91 88

400 91 88

400 91 88



Clifton Jones vs. O.S. A. A. Pogue  
no. 7691

JURY LIST - JUNE TERM - JUNE 8th, 1970

- ~~1. Arnette, Roy, Carpenter, Perdido~~
- ~~2. Beatty, Raymond, Laborer, Fairhope~~
- ~~3. Brabner, Martin J., Jr., South Central Bell, Bay Minette~~
- ~~4. Bryars, C. H., Jr., Farmer, Bay Minette~~
- ~~5. Bryars, Miss Dollie M., Newport, Bay Minette~~
- ~~6. Calloway, John, Fisherman, Gulf Shores~~
- ~~7. Chastang, James, Paper Mill, Bay Minette~~
- ~~8. Day, Bernice, Stockton~~
9. Demko, Lula D., Operator, Perdido
10. Fackler, Charles, Mech., Loxley
11. Fackler, Paul W., Mechanic, Loxley
- ~~12. Friel, W. E., Retired, Bay Minette~~
13. Gibson, John D., Mechanic, Robertsdale
- ~~14. Gilbert, Annie Ree, Housewife, Bay Minette~~
- ~~15. Hasting, Evelyn, Housewife, Bay Minette~~
- ~~16. Heideberg, Joe., Jr., Farmer, Robertsdale~~
- ~~17. Heller, Boyd O., Contractor, Foley~~
- ~~18. Hudson, Ethel, Maid, Bay Minette~~
- ~~19. Larson, Arthur, Farmer, Rosinton~~
20. Lazzari, John, Farmer, Belforest
- ~~21. Long, Grady Jr., L & N R.R., Perdido~~
- ~~22. Malone, T. E., Merchant, Fairhope~~
23. McNeil, Vadin, Farmer, Gateswood
- ~~24. Mikkelsen, Roy, Farmer, Summerdale~~
- ~~25. Myrick, T. C., Fairhope~~
- ~~26. Oswell, Charles, Timber & Oil, Spanish Fort-Daphne~~
27. Rider, Charles, Woodsman, Bay Minette
- ~~28. Sawyer, Mr. Lenora, Fairhope~~
29. Smith, Columbus, Retired, Stockton
- ~~30. Stevenson, Leona, Maid, Bay Minette~~
31. Strickland, Marvin, Laborer, Bay Minette
- ~~32. Swor, Mrs. Gertrude, Real Estate, Fairhope~~
- ~~33. Therrell, E. L. Doris, Housewife, Daphne~~
- ~~34. Trawick, Emma D., Stapleton~~
- ~~35. Ward, John, International Paper, Spanish Fort~~
- ~~36. Weeks, Harry J., Nurseryman, Foley~~
37. Whitten, Lewis, S., Electrician, Fairhope
- ~~38. Windham, Carl T., Paper Co., Robertsdale~~
39. Woolf, Burnette K., Stockton
- ~~40. Wrenn, Walter P., Retired, Bay Minette~~
- ~~41. Wrenn, Mrs. Wynona, Housewife, Bay Minette~~
42. Keifer, Mrs. Marion, Housewife, Lillian

P XXXX XXXX XXXX

D XXXX XXXX XXX

P<sup>12</sup>  
42  
12  
30  
  
42  
39  
12  
29

CLIFTON SONS,

Plaintiff

-vs-

C. A. POGUE, C. A. POGUE,  
d/b/a POGUE BOX COMPANY,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF  
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

DEMURRER

Now comes the Defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the Complaint filed therein and to each and every count thereof separately and severally, and as grounds of such demurrer assigns, separately and severally, the following:

1. It does not state a cause of action.
2. The allegations of the Complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain.
3. The allegations of the Complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain in that it does not apprise the Defendant with sufficient certainty against what act or acts of negligence he is called on to defend.
4. The allegations of the Complaint are vague, indefinite, and uncertain in that no facts are alleged to show how or in what way the works, machinery or plant of the Defendant were defective.
5. The allegations of the Complaint are conclusions of the pleader.
6. No facts are alleged to show any negligence on the part of the Defendant.
7. No facts are alleged to show any breach of duty owed by the Defendant to the Plaintiff.
8. No facts are alleged to show any breach of duty owed by the Defendant or any the employees of the Defendant.
9. No facts are alleged to show the negligent performance of any duty owed by the Defendant to the Plaintiff.
10. No facts are alleged to show any defect in the Defendant's ways, works, machinery or plant.
11. It is not alleged that any negligence on the part of the Defendant was the proximate cause of Plaintiff's injury.


12. It is not sufficiently alleged how and in what way the Plaintiff was permanently injured.

13. The allegations that Plaintiff's capacity to work and earn money has been permanently impaired is a conclusion of the pleader and no facts are alleged on which this conclusion could be based.

  
Attorney for Defendant

I hereby certify that I have mailed a copy of the above Demurrer to James W. Howell, Attorney for Plaintiff, Foley, Alabama, by first class mail, postage prepaid, on this the 19th day of September, 1967.






CLIFTON SONS,	Ø	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	Ø	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
Plaintiff,	Ø	
VS.	Ø	AT LAW
C. A. POGUE, C. A. POGUE,	Ø	CASE NO. _____
d/b/a POGUE BOX COMPANY,	Ø	
Defendant.	Ø	

# AMENDED COMPLAINT

## COUNT ONE

Comes now the Plaintiff and claims of the defendant the sum of Fifteen Thousand (\$15,000.00) Dollars as damages, for that, on, to-wit, August 22, 1966, while the plaintiff was working as an agent, servant or employee of the defendant, who was engaged in the business of operating a box manufacturing business, in the City of Elberta, Alabama, and while Plaintiff was acting within the line and scope of his employment, and while in performance of his duties as such agent, servant or employee, to-wit, of operating a certain defective circular saw, the plaintiff's left hand was injured, by the nerves, muscles and ligaments being torn, lacerated, bruised and contused, his capacity to work and earn a liveahood has been permanently injured, he incurred medical and hospital bills, his left hand was permanently injured, he suffered physical pain and mental anguish, all as a proximate result and consequence of the defective saw of ~~Plaintiffs~~ <sup>Defendant</sup>, in that the lumber guard to said saw was improperly attached, resulting in its slipping when Plaintiff began to cut the board then inserted for cutting, causing Plaintiffs left hand to be thrown into the circular saw, which caused Plaintiff's injuries above complained of, hence this suit in the amount sued for.

  
 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

I hereby certify that I have mailed a copy of the above Amended Complaint to Cecil G. Chason, Attorney for Defendant, Foley, Alabama, by first class mail, postage prepaid, on this the 16th day of March, 1970.

Kenneth Cooper

Filed 5-21-70  
Cecil G. Chason  
Clerk

We the Jury find For the Defendant

And it is the duty of the Court to direct the jury to find for the Defendant  
and the Court has so directed the jury and the jury has so found  
and the Court has so directed the jury and the jury has so found

James G. Grant  
Attorney for the Defendant

James G. Grant  
Attorney for the Defendant

James G. Grant  
Attorney for the Defendant

CLIFTON SONS,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	)	
-vs-	)	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
	)	
C. A. POGUE, C. A. POGUE,	)	AT LAW
d/b/a POGUE BOX COMPANY,	)	
	)	CASE NO. 7691
Defendant.	)	

DEMURRER

Comes now the Defendant and demurs to the Amended Complaint filed in the above styled cause, and as grounds for such demurrer, assigns separately and severally as follows:

1. It does not state a cause of action.
2. The allegations of the complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain.
3. The allegations of the Complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain in that it does not apprise the Defendant with sufficient certainty of what act or acts of negligence he is called on to defend.
4. The allegations of the Complaint are conclusions of the pleader.
5. From the allegations of the Complaint, it appears that this case should have been brought under the Workman's Compensation Act of the State of Alabama as set out in Title 26, Sections 262-325, Code of Alabama, 1940, (Recomp. 1958).
6. This case should have been filed under the Workman's Compensation Act of the State of Alabama.
7. That there is a presumption under the law that an injury to an employee comes under the Workman's Compensation Act of the State of Alabama.
8. No facts are alleged to show any negligence on the part of the Defendant.
9. No facts are alleged to show any breach of duty owed by the Defendant or any of the employees of the Defendant to the Plaintiff.
10. No facts are alleged to show the negligent performance of any duty owed by the Defendant to the Plaintiff.

11. For that the Complaint does not allege who improperly attached the lumber guard on the saw.

12. For aught there appears that the Plaintiff could have improperly attached the lumber guard on the saw, proximately causing his own injury.

13. There is no negligent act by the Defendant alleged.

14. There is no allegation of a negligent act by the Defendant proximately causing the Plaintiff's injuries.

15. The alleged defect in the saw is not set out with sufficient certainty.


16. The allegation that the Plaintiff was operating a certain defective circular saw is a conclusion of the Plaintiff.

17. It does not allege that the Defendant or any of his employees improperly attached said lumber guard to the saw.


18. It is not sufficiently alleged how and in what way the Plaintiff was permanently injured.

19. The allegations that Plaintiff's capacity to work and earn money has been permanently impaired is a conclusion of the pleader and no facts are alleged on which this conclusion could be based.

20. For aught it appears that the Plaintiff could have willfully injured himself.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Defendant

I hereby certify that I have mailed a copy of the above Demurrer to the Honorable Kenneth Cooper, Attorney for Plaintiff, Bay Minette, Alabama, by First Class Mail, postage prepaid, on this the 20 day of March, 1970.

  
\_\_\_\_\_

*Refiled*  
*5-21-70*

*Alice J. Duck*  
*Clerk*

C. G. C.


**FILED**  
MAR 23 1970  
**ALICE J. DUCK** REGISTER



CLIFTON SONS,	)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,
	)	
Vs.	)	AT LAW
	)	
C. A. POGUE, C. A. POGUE,	)	
d/b/a POGUE BOX COMPANY,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	CASE NO. 7691

PLEA

Comes the Defendant in the above-styled cause and in answer to the Complaint heretofore filed in said cause says that he is not guilty.

  
 Attorney for Defendant

FILED

JUN 11 1970

ALICE J. DECK CLERK  
 REGISTER

CLIFTON SONS,	)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,
	)	
Vs.	)	AT LAW
	)	
C. A. POGUE, C. A. POGUE,	)	
d/b/a POGUE BOX COMPANY,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	CASE NO. 7691

DECREE OVERRULING DEMURRERS

Demurrers to the Bill of Complaint as last amended in the  
above-styled cause are overruled.

Done this the 28th day of May, 1970.

*Jeffery M. Madhoun*  
Judge, 28th Judicial Circuit

FILED

JUN 1 1970

ALICE J. DUCK CLERK  
REGISTER

7691  
Sens vs  
Poague

Comes the defendant AND MOVES to  
Strike the testimony of the plaintiff  
AND AS grounds for said motion  
shows that there was no testimony  
showing any defect in the ways,  
works, machinery or equipment of  
the defendant particularly no defect  
is shown in the LUMBER GUARD.  
Plaintiff rested with no other testimony.

Sp. Hansen  
Atty for Defendant

Filed: September 15, 1970

Jeffery J. Maslowski  
Judge

See above motion refused this date.

Jeffery J. Maslowski  
Judge

CECIL G. CHASON

*Attorney at Law*

CHARLES H. SIMS III  
ASSOCIATE

P. O. DRAWER 458  
216 W. LAUREL AVENUE  
FOLEY, ALABAMA 36535  
PHONE 205/943-3171

March 20, 1970

Mrs. Alice Duck  
Clerk of the Circuit Court  
Baldwin County Courthouse  
Bay Minette, Alabama

Re: Clifton Sons, vs. C. A. Pogue, et al  
Case No. 7691

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Enclosed please find Demurrer in the above-styled cause,  
a copy of which I have this day mailed to the Honorable  
Kenneth Cooper, attorney of record for the Plaintiff.  
Please file.

Yours very truly,



Charles H. Sims, III

CHS,III/vd

Enc.

cc: Mr. Kenneth Cooper

# Clayton Jones vs C.H. Poague

JURY LIST - FALL SESSION, SEPTEMBER 14, 1970

- ~~1. McConville, Thomas V., Jr., Dry Cleaners, Robertsedale~~ D<sup>10</sup>
- ~~2. Calhoun, Norvell, Jr., Bay Minette~~
- ~~3. Childers, James, Farmer, Robertsedale~~
- ~~4. Gannon, Gilbert, Farmer, Rosinon~~
- ~~5. Sanks, Sarah, Housewife, Bay Minette~~
- ~~6. McCall, S. T., Merchant, Perdido~~ D<sup>2</sup> D<sup>5</sup>
- ~~7. Quinley, Lyman, Farmer, Bay Minette~~
- ~~8. Rhodes, Charles R., Farmer, Foley~~ P<sup>10</sup>
- ~~9. Hester, Horace B., Fairhope~~
- ~~10. [unclear], [unclear], Housewife, Pelforest~~ D<sup>4</sup>
- ~~11. Flowers, Homer, Farmer, Foley~~ P<sup>9</sup>
- ~~12. Foley, J. D., Industrialist, Foley~~ P<sup>3</sup>
- ~~13. Franklin, Kenneth, Farmer, Foley~~
- ~~14. Gannon, June A., School Teacher, Fairhope~~ D<sup>6</sup>
- ~~15. Golden, Mrs. David, Housewife, Foley~~ P<sup>6</sup>
- ~~16. Griffiths, Doyle, Foreman, Foley~~ P<sup>7</sup>
- ~~17. Eagle, A. R., Employment Service, Foley~~ P<sup>1</sup>
- ~~18. Doering, Richard, Tire Store Operator, Foley~~
- ~~19. Drinkard, Everett E., Farmer, Bay Minette~~
- ~~20. Dusek, Frank J., Jr., Civil Service, Lillian~~ D<sup>7</sup>
- ~~21. Early, L. J., Jr., E. Irwin & Son, Foley~~ P<sup>2</sup>
- ~~22. Roberson, Matt, Laborer, Robertsedale~~
- ~~23. Doerschen, Lawrence F., Farmer, Bay Minette~~
- ~~24. Boone, Annie E., Stockton~~ D<sup>8</sup>
- ~~25. Byrd, Clifton M., Farmer, Perdido~~ D<sup>1</sup>
- ~~26. Baumann, Fred, Civil Service, Elberta~~ P<sup>3</sup>
- ~~27. Bishop, Earnest E., Meat Packer, Fairhope~~
- ~~28. Blalock, Greene C., Carpenter, Fairhope~~
- ~~29. Hardy, Wendell E., Salesman, Bay Minette~~
- ~~30. Emmons, Isaac, Farmer, Silverhill~~
- ~~31. Amos, Roy A., Farmer, Foley~~
- ~~32. Armstead, George, Employee Fairhope Hardware, Daphne~~
- ~~33. Mikkelsen, Einer, Farmer, Summerdale~~
- ~~34. Phillips, Mayben, Farmer, Little River~~
- ~~35. Pizotti, Anthony, Farmer, Fairhope~~
- ~~36. Plevanick, Matthew, Reserve Fleet, Perdido~~ D<sup>9</sup>
- ~~37. Moorer, Eunice, Courthouse, Bay Minette~~
- ~~38. Nelson, Martin, Cleaners, Fairhope~~
- ~~39. Oram, Harley, Brookleaf Field, Bay Minette~~ D<sup>3</sup>
- ~~40. Packer, Thomas, Meat Cutter, Perdido~~
- ~~41. Lee, Cecil E., Farmer, Gatewood~~
- ~~42. Koehner, Frank, Farmer, Lillian~~ P<sup>4</sup>
- ~~43. Gilbert, Jimmy, Insurance, Robertsedale~~
- ~~44. Hobbs, W. D., Farmer, Rosinon~~ P<sup>8</sup>
- ~~45. Germany, Ruth, Saleslady, Foley~~
- ~~46. McLean, James K. Jr., Hotel Official, Fairhope~~
- ~~47. Anderson, Arthur, Machinist, Foley~~

P XXXX XXXX  
D XXXX XXXX

44  
24  
220 10  
18  
6  
12