STATE OF ALABAMA MOBILE COUNTY OF

IF OTHER PROPERTY, DESCRIBE AS FOLLOWS:

One 1962 Corvair.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: THAT WHEREAS, the undersigned, hereinafter called Mortgagor, is indebted to TermPlan Incorporated, of Mobile, Alabama, hereinafter called Mortgagoe; in THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED THIRTY TWO & 00/100-1632,00 that as evidenced by promissory note(s) of even date herewith and due in 20th and a final installment of S day of each and every month hereafter until paid, with interest after maturities at the rate of 8% per annum, and providing that all payments thereon shall be applied first in payment of principal in full, and next to interest, if any; and to secure the payment of the name and any other obligation(s) which said Mortgagor may owe said Mortgagoe, whether due or to become due, or whether now existing or hereafter contracted prior to payment of the afe maid note, said Mortgagon hereby bargains, wells and conveys to the said Mortgagee the following property, located at (No. & St. or Ave., or R.F.D. & Box) LOXLEY BADDWIN _ Alabama, to-wit: (City) (County) PIECES BEDROOM SUITE - SPRING & MATTRESS STUDIO COUCH FLOOR LAMPS PIECES BEDROOM SUITE - SPRING & MATTRESS DIVAN TABLE LAMPS SUNCLE BED - SPRING & WATTRESS OVERSTUFFED CHAIR. PIECE DINING SUITE DOUBLE BED - SPRING & MATTRESS NI COUNTY OCCASIONAL SEWING MACHINE BABY BED PRIMITORM ROCKER RANGE mility that this instrument and the following tax sollected on DRESSER COFFEE-TABLE ELECTRIC REFRIGERATOR CHEST OF DRAWERS HIND TABLES (Propoly CHIFFOROBE KITCHEN CABINET NONE CEDAR CHEST UTILITY CABINET Percenti VANITY LAMPS CENT PADIO PIECE BREAKFAST SET PLECE LIVING ROOM SE CABINET RADIO ZMOLEUMS TELEVISON RHG WASHING MACHINE OFFICE PROPERTY OF SET VACUUM CLEANER

700 Series, 2 dr 6 cyl. #20727W146719, color, red/white V-1000.00-#9265451, V-2000.00, #9265450, V-1000.00

SIGNATURE

being all of the property of like kind, character and description owned by Mortgagor, or either of them, and located at the aforesaid premises; and in addition thereto all other goods and chartels of like nature owned by Mortgagor, or either of them, and kept or used in or about the aforesaid premises or comingied with or substituted for any property

Title to said property and all equipment and accessories thereon or thereafter added, shall be in the mortgagee, or assigns, until said debt is paid, according to the tenor of a mortgage executed contemporaneously herewith, which mortgage contains provisions in language identical with the provisions contained in paragraphs numbered one (1) through ten (10), appearing in that mortgage recorded on Page Volume of Mortgages in the office of the Probate Judge of of Mortgages in the office of the Probate Judge of 65

Alabama, which paragraphs are hereby adopted as a part hereof as if they were here set out verbation. Witness Scaled and delivered in the

John E. Lacey

(SEAL) (SEAL)

STATE OF ALABAMA

County of Makile

Baldwin

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, That	t we, TERMPLAN INCORPORATED AND
as Principal, and Mobile Bonding Compa	ny , as Sureties, are held and firmly bound
T I TO T	
in the sum of	
	we, jointly and severally, bind ourselves and each of us, our
	ur seals and dated thisday
	ur Lord, one thousand, nine hundred and sixty-six
Cermplan Incorporated	ATION IS SUCH, That whereas, the said
did, on the day of September, 1	966 , (1), sue out in theCourt
pardwin	ect to any Sheriff of the State of Alabama, commanding him
to take into his possession the following described p	property, to-wit:
l 1962 Corvair, 700 Series, 2 d	r. 6 cyl, #20727Wl467l9 red/white
	,
which said writ was placed in the hands of <u>Tay</u> . Baldwin	
Sheriff of the County of Moore, on the	day of, 19 66, by taking into his
possession the following described property, to-wit:	
1 1962 Corvair, 700 Series, 2	dr. 6 cyl, #20727W146719 red/white
and whereas the said John E. Lace	У
defendant in said writ, has failed and neglected, the t	the space of five days from the execution of said writ, to give
oond and take possession of said property as autho	
Now is the said Termplan Incorpo	
1000 IS the Salu	
opon his failing in said suit, shall deliver the said p	property to the defendant within thirty days after judgment,
nd pay damages for the detention of the property	and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwise
o remain in full force and effect.	TERMPLAN INCORPORATED
	BY: 1/ MARGE Course
	(Seal)
	MOBILE BONDING COMPANY (Seal)
and the second	BY: C. M. Struct (Seal)
Follow and approved this the 4 th	Opt Syl
Taken and approved this the day of	1966
	Jaylor Wilken
day of	

-		No	_
		•	
* :	-:-		
			COURT
		MOBILE COUNTY	

VS. End by Plaintiff

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, Mobile County

DETINUE Bond and Affidavit

Know All Men by These Presents, That we	TERMPLAN INCORPORATED
and MORTIF RONDING COMPANY	
	ey _{of} Baldwin County
County, in the sum of .Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00 Dollars, and costs to be paid to the said
	hich payment, well and truly to be made, we bind
ourselves, and each of us, our and each of our h	eirs, executors and administrators, jointly, several-
ly and firmly, by these presents. Sealed with our	seals and dated this
September 195	
The condition of the above obligation is su	ch, that whereas the above bound
Termplan, Incorporæd	
on the day of the date hereof hath obtained at the	ne suit of Termplan Incorporated
A Summons and complaint for the recovery of	personal chattels in specie against
John E. Lacey	
	the Sheriff is required to take the property men-
tioned in said Complaint into his possession," as	required by law in such cases, which Summons and
Complaint are returnable to the Courte Courte	Baldwin xSessisosxofxMabike County, on the day
of, 195, and wh	nich endorsement is made upon the plaintiff enter-
ing into this Bond.	
Now, if the said Plaintiff shall fail in this st	uit, and pay the Defendant all such costs and dam-
ages ashe	······
	may sustain by reason of the wrongful complaint
in said cause, then this obligation to be void; oth-	erwise to remain in full force and effect.
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	TERMPLAN INCORPORATED
Approved:	BY: Varne E Brewer (L.S.)
Λ . A	MOBILE BONDING COMPANY (L.S.)
Clerk of The Court of General Sessions of Mobile County	BY: C.M. Howell (L.S.)
FILED	$m{y}$.

SER 16 1960

AME I WOK CLERK

436

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, Mobile County

<u></u> 437

No. 71.75	DETINUE	Bond and Affidavit			VS.		THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF MOBILE COUNTY
-----------	---------	--------------------	--	--	-----	--	--

The State of Alabama	(
Baldwin County)	•				1	9
	[
			es e				- 1
To Any Sheriff of the State of Al	labama—Gr	eetings:					
4	- 1 - 1	n T-				7	
You Are Hereby Commanded to Summon	n John i	E. Lacey					
		and the second s	2 Commission Commission (co.)	and the second	Section 11 - Consolidamentos		, promo
o appear within thirty days from the ser	rvice of this	writ in the	 Circuit Cor	irt to be h	eld for s	aid Co	ounty
o appear within thirty days from the ser	rvice of times	W110, 111 0110				- No.	
at the place of holding the same, then an	nd there to a	nswer the co	mplaint of				
Termplan Incorporated				- Const			<u> </u>
				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
		7	10 - Luni	artini se	. ,		, and the
Witness my hand this /	zday of.		£ 2=	19&	<u> </u>		1.00
					3		
	· 	13/20		nellis Let e	13 <u>.</u> 2.		Clerl
				i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		,,	
	COMF	LAINT			i sangti		
TERMPLAN, INCORPORATED Plantiffclaims of the defe		_ <u>JO</u> Versus	HN E. I		1. 1. 1. 1.	fendan	t
The plaintiffclaims of the defe	endant the fo	Versus_1- ollowing pers	sonal prope	rty, to-wit	: 46719,	:	
The plaintiffclaims of the defe One 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the b	endant the formation of the series, the series of the seri	Versus_1- bllowing pers 2 dr. 6 he value	cyl. #2	rty, to-wit	: 46719,	. co	Lor
The plaintiffclaims of the defe One 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the k	endant the formation of the correction of the co	Versus_1- ollowing person 2 dr. 6 he value use ther	cyl. #2	rty, to-wit	: 46719,	. co	Lor
The plaintiffclaims of the defe One 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the b	endant the formation of the core or to da	Versus_1- l- ollowing person 2 dr. 6 he value use ther	cyl. #2	rty, to-wit	: 46719,	. co	Lor
The plaintiffclaims of the defe One 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the k	endant the formation of the correction of the co	Versus_1- l- ollowing person 2 dr. 6 he value use ther	cyl. #2	rty, to-wit	: 46719,	. co	Lor
The plaintiffclaims of the defe One 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the b to-wit: from June 20, 1966	endant the formal series, of the correction of t	Versus_1- bllowing person 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen	cyl. #2 of \$30 eof dur	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. Ling th	: 46719, e dete	. col	lor on
The plaintiffclaims of the defe One 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the h to-wit: from June 20, 1966 The plaintiff claim (\$884.00) Dollars due 1	endant the formation of the correction of the co	Versus_1- 1- ollowing pers 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen	cyl. #2 of \$30 eof dur	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. ing th	: 46719, e dete	entice Eigh May	Lor on nty-
The plaintiffclaims of the deference of the left of	endant the formal series, of the corrections of the	Versus_1- 1- collowing person 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen ssory nour insta	cyl. #2 cyl. #2 cof \$30 cof dur dant E:	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. Ling th Light Hu le by h	e dete	Eigl May	lor on oty- l2, be-
The plaintiffclaims of the defe One 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the h to-wit: from June 20, 1966 The plaintiff claim (\$884.00) Dollars due 1	endant the formal series, of the correction of t	Versus_1- 1- bllowing person 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen ssory n our insta nd every	cyl. #2 cyl. #2 cof \$30 cof dur dant E:	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. Ling th Light Hu le by h	e dete	Eigl May	lor on oty- l2, be-
The plaintiffclaims of the deference of the left o	endant the formal series, of the correction of t	Versus_1- 1- bllowing personal	cyl. #2 cyl. #2 cof \$30 cof dur dant E: ote mad	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. ing th ight Hu le by h cof \$6 therea	e dete	Eigh May	lor nty- 12, be-
The plaintiffclaims of the defendance of the plaintiffclaims of the defendance of the plaintiff claims of the plaintiff claims of the plaintiff claims (\$884.00) Dollars due plainting the 20th day of the the 20th da	endant the formal series, of the correction of the correction of the correction of the correction of each a correction of the correction o	Versus_1- 1- bllowing pers 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen ssory n our insta nd every	cyl. #2 of \$30 eof dur dant E: ote mad	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. ing th ight Hu le by h s of \$6 therea	e dete	Eigh May	lor nty- 12, be-
The plaintiffclaims of the deference of the left of	endant the formal series, of the correction of the correction of the correction of the correction of each a correction of the correction o	Versus_1- l- bllowing pers 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen ssory n our insta nd every he defen omissory	cyl. #2 of \$30 eof dur dant E: ote mad llments month	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. ing th ight Hu le by h s of \$6 therea	e dete	Eigh May	lor nty- 12, be-
The plaintiffclaims of the deference of the 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the beto-wit: from June 20, 1966 The plaintiff claim (\$884.00) Dollars due be 1965, and payable in two ginning the 20th day of with interest thereon. The plaintiff claim fee as provided in the complex of the	endant the formal series, of the correction of t	Versus_1- l- collowing persus 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen ssory n our insta nd every he defen	cyl. #2 cyl. #2 cof \$30 cof dur dant E: ote mad liments month	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. ing th ight Hu le by h s of \$6 therea reason	e dete	Eigh May Pach	nty- 12 be-
The plaintiffclaims of the deference of the 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the beto-wit: from June 20, 1966 The plaintiff claim (\$884.00) Dollars due be 1965, and payable in two ginning the 20th day of with interest thereon. The plaintiff claim fee as provided in the complex of the	endant the formal series, of the correction of t	Versus_1- l- collowing persus 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen ssory n our insta nd every he defen	cyl. #2 cyl. #2 cof \$30 cof dur dant E: ote mad liments month	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. ing th ight Hu le by h s of \$6 therea reason	e dete	Eigh May Pach	nty- 12 be-
The plaintiffclaims of the deference of the 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the beto-wit: from June 20, 1966 The plaintiff claim (\$884.00) Dollars due be 1965, and payable in two ginning the 20th day of with interest thereon. The plaintiff claim fee as provided in the complex of the	endant the formal series, of the correction of t	Versus_1- l- collowing persus 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen ssory n our insta nd every he defen	cyl. #2 cyl. #2 cof \$30 cof dur dant E: ote mad liments month	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. ing th ight Hu le by h s of \$6 therea reason	e dete	Eigh May Pach	nty- 12 be-
The plaintiffclaims of the deference of the 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the beto-wit: from June 20, 1966 The plaintiff claim (\$884.00) Dollars due be 1965, and payable in two ginning the 20th day of with interest thereon. The plaintiff claim fee as provided in the complex of the	endant the formal series, of the correction of t	Versus_1- l- collowing persus 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen ssory n our insta nd every he defen	cyl. #2 cyl. #2 cof \$30 cof dur dant E: ote mad liments month	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. ing th ight Hu le by h s of \$6 therea reason	e dete	Eigh May Pach	nty- 12 be-
The plaintiffclaims of the deference of the 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the beto-wit: from June 20, 1966 The plaintiff claim (\$884.00) Dollars due be 1965, and payable in two ginning the 20th day of with interest thereon. The plaintiff claim fee as provided in the complex of the	endant the formal series, of the correction of t	Versus_1- l- collowing persus 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen ssory n our insta nd every he defen	cyl. #2 cyl. #2 cof \$30 cof dur dant E: ote mad liments month	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. ing th ight Hu le by h s of \$6 therea reason	e dete	Eigh May Pach	nty- 12 be-
The plaintiffclaims of the deference of the left o	endant the formal series, of the correction of t	Versus_1- l- collowing persus 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen ssory n our insta nd every he defen	cyl. #2 cyl. #2 cof \$30 cof dur dant E: ote mad liments month	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. ing th ight Hu le by h s of \$6 therea reason	e dete	Eigh May Pach	nty- 12 be-
The plaintiffclaims of the deference of the 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the beto-wit: from June 20, 1966 The plaintiff claim (\$884.00) Dollars due be 1965, and payable in two ginning the 20th day of with interest thereon. The plaintiff claim fee as provided in the complex of the	endant the formal series, of the correction of t	Versus_1- l- collowing persus 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen ssory n our insta nd every he defen	cyl. #2 cyl. #2 cof \$30 cof dur dant E: ote mad liments month	rty, to-wit 20727W1 20.00. ing th ight Hu le by h s of \$6 therea reason	e dete	Eigh May Pach	nty- 12 be-
The plaintiffclaims of the deference of the 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the beto-wit: from June 20, 1966 The plaintiff claim (\$884.00) Dollars due in 1965, and payable in two ginning the 20th day of with interest thereon. The plaintiff claim fee as provided in the communication of th	endant the formal series, of the correction of t	Versus_1- l- collowing persus 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen ssory n our insta nd every he defen	cyl. #2 cyl. #2 cof \$30 cof dur dant E: ote mad liments month	rty, to-wit	e dete	Eigh May Pach anti	nty- 12, he-
The plaintiffclaims of the deference of the 1962 Corvair, 700 S red/white, Tag No. 5-80 with the value of the beto-wit: from June 20, 1966 The plaintiff claim (\$884.00) Dollars due be 1965, and payable in two ginning the 20th day of with interest thereon. The plaintiff claim fee as provided in the communication of the claim fee as provided in the communication.	endant the formal series, of the correction of t	Versus_1- l- collowing persus 2 dr. 6 he value use ther te. he defen ssory n our insta nd every he defen	cyl. #2 cyl. #2 cof \$30 cof dur dant E: ote mad liments month	rty, to-wit	e dete	Eigh May Pach anti	nty- 12, he-

Mi-	i maran
No. 7/2	()

State of Alabama

Baldwin County

CIRCUIT COURT

Plaintiff ...

Defendant__

Detinue Summons and Complaint

Filed__

Defendant's Attorney

To the Sheriff of said County:

Whereas, the Plaintiff in the within stated cause has made affidavit and given bond as required by law you are hereby required to take the property mentioned in Complaint into your possession unless the Defendant gives bond payable to the Plaintiff with sufficient surety in double the amount of the value of the property, with condition that if the Defendant is cast in the suit he will within thirty days thereafter, deliver the property to the Plaintiff, and pay all damages and costs which may accrue from the detention thereof.

Defendant lives at

Received in office

SEP 1 6 1966

TAYLOR WILKING

I have executed this summons

by leaving a copy with

Printed by Moore Printing Co.

Ten Cents per mile Total \$1