JOHN T. ROUNTREE	χ	
Plaintiff	χ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
VS	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., a corporation	X	AT LAW NO
, Defendant	X 	

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause and propounds the following interrogatories to the defendant, Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., a corporation:

1. State your name.

19 - Stan

2. What is your office or capacity of employment or function with Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc.

3. Did this company have a contract with the Housing Authority of the City of Bay Minette?

4. Did John T. Rountree do any work as a sub-contractor on the project authorized by the Housing Authority of the City of Bay Minette?

5. Was this work done by John T. Rountree under the supervision of the Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc.

6. What connection or relation did the Mitchell Corporation have with the work done by John T. Rountree on the property of the Bay Minette Housing Authority?

7. How many shrubs did John T. Rountree plant on this project?

8. How many trees did John T. Rountree plant on this project?

9. How many square yards on the project did John T. Rountree seed and fertilize and plant with Bermuda grass seed on this project?

10. How many square feet of sidewalks did John T. Rountree pour on this project?

11. How many square feet of concrete parking bays did John T. Rountree pour and of what thickness on this project? 12. How many square feet of concrete driveways did JohnT. Rountree pour on this project?

13. How many square yards of asphalt street paving did John T. Rountree pour on this project?

14. Of this paving what specifications were used for compacting, fill and for priming on this project?

15. How many lineal feet of curb and gutter did John T. Rountree pour on this project?

16. Were the plans on this Housing Project changed in any manner in connection with any of the work done by John T. Rountree thereby causing excess labor and expense on his part?

-17. Have you paid John T. Rountree any moneys for any of these services on this project?

18. If so, attach a photocopy of the front and back of each check used in paying said plaintiff for work, labor and materials used on this housing project and an itemized list showing dates and amounts of said checks.

19. What was the capacity of Ivan Havel on this project?20. What was the capacity of Neal Presley on this project?

plaintiff. Attornev/ for

STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned Notary Public, in and for said State and County, personally appeared C. LeNoir Thompson, who being by me first duly sworn, deposes on oath and says as follows:

My name is C. LeNoirThompson and I am the attorney of record for the plaintiff in the above entitled cause, and as such, I am authorized to make this affidavit. I further state that the answer of the defendant to the foregoing interrogatories will, if truthfully made, be material evidence for the plaintiff on the trial of said cause.

Thomps on

Subscribed and sworn to before me by the said C. LeNoir Thompson on this the 19 day of December 1966.

WES 19 1966 MIN CLERR REGISTER

County, Alabama

9-11 XCASH NO. 7/06 OFFI JOHN T. ROUNTREE, Plaintiff, VS: JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, U) INC., A Corp., 5 C Defendant, α RAY à Det O 1. AMENDED COMPLAINT 2. INTERROGATORIES 8 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF Service Received-BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA 5 500 J 90 3. S DEC 19 1966 WWW GEOISTER C. LeNoir Thompson, Atty.

JOHN T. ROUNTREE	X	
Plaintiff	χ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
VS	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., a corporation	χ	AT LAW NO
	X	
Defendant		
	X	

TO THE HONORABLE TELFAIR J. MASHBURN, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA:

Comes the plaintiff, John T. Rountree, and shows unto the Court that more than thirty days prior to the filing of this motion, the plaintiff in the above styled cause propounded interrogatories to defendant, Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., a corporation, under Code 1940, Tit. 7, Section 477-486, requiring said defendant to answer certain interrogatories therein propounded, and that although more than sixty days have elapsed since the service by the Sheriff of said interrogatories upon the defendant, Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., a corporation, the said defendant has failed, and still fails and refuses to answer the interrogatories therein propounded.

Wherefore, the plaintiff moves the Court to enforce the penalty as provided by Title 7, Section 483 of the Code of 1940 as recompiled.

plain/tiff.



COMPLAINT AS LAST AMENDED

JOHN T. ROUNTREE	X	
Plaintiff	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
VS	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY,		AT LAW NO
INC., a corporation	X	
Defendant	X	

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause and amends his complaint as last amended to read as follows:

-1-

The plaintiff claims of the defendant Thirteen Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty-four and 67/100 (\$13,864.67) Dollars due from it by account on the 1st day of June, 1966, which sum of money, with the interest thereon, is still unpaid.

-2-

The plaintiff claims of the defendant Thirteen Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty-four and 67/100 (\$13,864.67) Dollars due from it for work and labor done for the defendant by the plaintiff on the 1st day of June, 1966, at its request which sum of money, with the interest thereon, is still unpaid.

for plaintiff.

I hereby certify that I have this <u>17</u> day of February, 1967, mailed a copy of foregoing amended complaint to Honorable John Chason, attorney for defendant to his address in Bay

for plaintiff Attorney

FILE

60

Minette, Alabama.

JOHN T. ROUNTREE,

vs.

JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., a corporation,

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

X X

χ

χ

χ

χ

AT LAW

DEMURRER

Comes the Defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to count "2" of the Amended Complaint filed in said cause and assigns the following separate and several grounds, viz:

1. That such count of the Amended Complaint does not state a cause of action.

2. That such count of the Amended Complaint fails to allege that the money claimed thereunder was due from the Defendant.

> ny isan Rea

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsel for all parties to this proceeding, by mailing the same to each by First Class United States Mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid on this day

44 19/07 QfUA, A

Attorneys for Defendant

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

NO. 7106

TEB 76. 1047

59



JOHN T. ROUNTREE,



vs.

JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., a corporation,

Defendant.



FEB 16 1967 AUGE L. MUCK, GLERK BEGISTER

AMENDED COMPLAINT

STATEOF ALABAMA

BALDWIN COUNTY

ar ga arti

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded to summon Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., a corporation, to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court, to be held for said county at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the complaint of John T. Rountree.

WITNESS my hand this 19 day of 10combon 1966.

alice X. Duck

The defendant's address is 301 St. Joseph Street, Mobile, Alabama χ JOHN T. ROUNTREE Plaintiff χ IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA X 775 NO.7/16 JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, X AT LAW INC., a corporation χ Defendant X

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause and amends his complaint heretofore filed in said cause to read as follows:

-1-

The plaintiff claims of the defendant Thirteen Thousand Bight Hundred Sixty-four and 67/100 (\$13,864.67) Dollars due from it by account on the 1st day of June, 1966, which sum of money, with the interest thereon, is still unpaid.

-2-

The plaintiff claims of the defendant Thirteen Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty-four and 67/100 (\$13,864.67) Dollars for work and labor done for the defendant by the plaintiff on the 1st day of June, 1966, at its request which sum of money, with the interest thereon, is still unpaid.

DEC 19 1966 53

JOHN T. ROUNTREE, X Plaintiff, X IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF χ vs. BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, X AT LAW NO. 7106 INC., a corporation, χ Defendant. χ

Comes the Defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to Count 2 of the Complaint filed in said cause and assigns the following separate and several gounds, viz:

1. That said count does not state a cause of action.

2. That said count does not allege that the sum claimed by the Plaintiff from the Defendant is due from it.

3. That said count does not allege when the sum of money claimed in such count will be due.

Attorneys for Defendant

Defendant demands trial of this cause

by a jury.

hason Attorneys for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsel for all parties to this proceeding, by mailing the same to each by First Class United States Mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid on this de day

ung , 1960 of... Garan Val

AUG 26 1986 ALLE & Most States

57

C Jung 7106 JOHN T. ROUNTREE, Plaintiff, vs. JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., a corporation, Defendant. ***** DEMURRER *** ***** ***** Class Statements **MUG** 26 1986 ALLE THE OLEGE

THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

THE STATE OF ALABAMA - - - - - JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

SPECIAL TERM, 1969

John T. Rountree

v.

1 Div. 509

Jefferson Mortgage Co., Inc., A Corp.

Appeal from Baldwin Circuit Court

SIMPSON, JUSTICE.

The appellant (plaintiff below) brought suit in the Circuit Court against appellee on the common counts for work and 1 Div. 509

2.

labor done and on account. The defendant below filed a plea of the general issue and a special plea alleging the existence of a special or express contract which had not been performed by the plaintiff. Issue was joined on this plea. The case was tried to a jury which returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff, appellant here, in the amount of \$4,200. After filing a motion for new trial which was denied, the plaintiff brought the case here, appealing from the final judgment.

Appellant has assigned 13 grounds of error, which fall into four categories:

- 1. That the judgment of the court and verdict of the jury are contrary to the law and evidence in the case.
- That the court erred in its oral charge in various particulars.
- 3. That the court erred in refusing three charges requested by the plaintiff.
- 4. That the court erred in not setting aside the judgment and granting a new trial on the ground that the damages awarded are inadequate.

Not all of the assignments are sufficiently argued to merit consideration; however, we will consider the foregoing categories.

We have carefully read the evidence taken in this case,

1 Div. 509

3.

and deduce the following:

The appellant is a contractor. So is the appellee. The appellee was the prime contractor on the Bay Minette Housing Project. The plaintiff-appellant went to the offices of the defendant-appellee for the purpose of submitting a bid as a subcontractor on this project. While at the offices of the appellee, the plaintiff was given what was described as a partial list of the items upon which he was to bid. He was told that the work involved was that set out in the plans and specifications for the job prepared by an architectural firm. He was told where copies of the plans and specifications could be obtained.

Thereafter the appellant submitted a bid totaling \$22,233.00 and entered into a contract with appellee to do the work. The plaintiff introduced the contract which provided in part as follows:

> "The work included in this subcontract is: According to the plans and specifications including the General and Special Conditions done by Dietz, Prince and Fischrupp, Architects, in the Title Book Form of Low Rent Housing Project Ala. 1A and 1B, Bay Minette, Alabama, with addendums 1 and 2. This work to include everything required under Division Number 21 and 23.

"Also all storm Drainage Pipe, Catch Basins,

1 Div. 509

4.

Grates and all other Sub Surface Drainage is included herein in a workmanlike manner including Trenching and Back Filling of that portion that needs to be excavated where the pipe needs to be installed.

"All work to be done as set forth in Division 2, paragraphs 8A, C, D, E, and 9 D."

The plaintiff sued the defendant for \$14,093.53, contending that the total value of the work performed by him was \$36,536.20, and that he had been paid \$22,442.67.

The basis of the appellant's contention below was that he had done work over and above that upon which he had bid. However, he admitted that although he read the contract he signed with appellee, he had never looked at the plans and specifications, basing his bid, apparently, on the partial list of items included in the work to be done given to him by an officer of appellee. The appellant made this contention even though he testified that he did know that the contract he signed referred to the plans and specifications and that they were a part of the "whole deal".

The appellee put on evidence, which was undisputed, that the appellant had not completely fulfilled his obligations under the contract, but that the balance due him under the contract, not considering any specific amount for the incomplete part, was \$4,273.73. The jury as noted returned a

1 Div. 509

5.

verdict in plaintiff's favor in the amount of \$4,200.00.

With this evidence in the case, we cannot agree with the appellant's contention that the judgment and verdict are contrary to the evidence in the case. We have so often stated that verdicts are presumed to be correct, and that the trial court's denial of a motion for new trial on this ground strengthens this presumption, that nothing further need be said with respect to these contentions of appellant. - See Ala. Dig., Appeal & Error, Key No. 263(1).

Next is the contention of appellant that the court erred in refusing the following written requested charges of plaintiff:

> "The Court charges the jury that the general rule is, where there is an express contract, the plaintiff can not resort to an implied contract. However, an exception to that rule is that the plaintiff may recover on the common counts as in the instant case, although the evidence discloses a special agreement where such agreement has been executed and fully performed, and no duty remains, but the payment of the price in money by the defendant.

> "The Court charges the jury that it is the settled law of this State that where one knowingly

1 Div. 509

6.

accepts services rendered by another and gets the benefit and result thereof, the law implies a promise on the part of one accepting with knowledge the services rendered by another to pay the reasonable value of such service rendered.

"The Court charges the jury that the oral change of the alleged contract affects not only the quantity of work to be performed but amount of materials to be furnished and thus changed, it is clear the workman was not bound to sue on the contract, but might resort to a suit based on work and labor."

Aside from the fact that these charges are otherwise objectionable, there was no error in refusing them in that the court's oral charge covered what plaintiff was attempting to have the jury told.

The last argument made is that the amount of the verdict is inadequate. In this appellant must fail. The jury believed the defendant's version of this case - that the work to be done was that set out in the plans and specifications, and as embodied in the written contract between these parties. The amount of the verdict is essentially that which the appellee admitted was owed under this contract. There is evidence to support such a finding by the jury and 1 Div. 509

7.

we cannot disturb it here.

AFFIRMED.

Livingston, C.J., Coleman and Bloodworth, JJ., concur.

I, J. O. Sentell, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Alabama, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the instrument(s) herewith set out as same appears of record in said Court.

Witness my hand this / / day of. ill 396

Clerk Supreme Court of Alabama

THE STATE OF ALABAMA-JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

Special xQxtxber Term, 1969 Circuit _____Court, To the Clerk Register of the_ Baldwin ____County—Greeting: Whereas, the Record and Proceedings of the <u>Circuit</u> Court ____ of said county, in a certain cause lately pending in said Court between John T. Rountree _____, Appellant___, ____ and ____ Jefferson Mortgage Co., Inc., a Corp. _____, Appellee___, wherein by said Court it was considered adversely to said appellant_____, were brought before the Supreme Court, by appeal taken, pursuant to law, on behalf of said appellant____ _____: NOW, IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED, That upon consideration thereof the Supreme Court, on the _ day of _____July_____, 19_69, affirmed said cause, in all respects, and l7th ordered that appellant _, John T. Rountree, and <u>C. Lenoir Thompson</u> surety sureties for the costs of appeal, pay the costs of appeal in this Court and in the Court below, for which costs let execution issue.

-It is further certified that, it appearing that-said-parties-have waived-their rights-of-exemption under the laws of Alabama, it-was-ordered that execution -issue -accordingly.

> Witness, J. O. Sentell, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Alabama, this the <u>17th</u> day

69. Jul

derk of the Supreme Court of Alabama.

	THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA							
	Special Ookøbær Term, 1969	-						
	Div., No509	-						
	John T. Rountree						1 · ·	
		1				t, - f		
	Appellant,							
	vs. Jefferson Mortgage Co., Inc.,	-					•	
	a Corp.							
	Appellee.							
	From Baldwin Circuit Court.		-					
	No. 7106 CERTIFICATE OF	:		· .		:		
-	AFFIRMANCE			 - 				
۲۰۰۰ ۲۰	The State of Alabama, Filed				:			
	- Nalawin County.)							
	this 18 day of fully 1969 Acres One for	, 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999						
		•			-			
	BROWN PRINTING CO NONTGOMERY 1950						:	

and the second second

No. 7106	
THE STATE OF ALABAMA	
BADDWIN County.	
T Alice J. Duck	, Clerk of the Circuit
	County, in and for said State and
	ne foregoing pages numbered from one to
	ve, contain a full, true and complete
•	proceedings of said Court in a certain
cause lately therein pending w	
cause ratery therein pending "	
was plaintiff, and JEFFERSON MC	ORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., A CORPORATION,
was Defendant, as fully and co	ompletely as the same appears of record
in said Court.	
And I further certify that	at the said JOHN T. ROUNTREE
did on the <u>Sth</u> day of Fe	ebruary, 1968, pray for and obtain
an appeal from the judgment of	f said Court to the Supreme Court
off	Alabama to reverse said judgment of said
Court upon entering into bond	with C. LeNoir Thompson, Attorney for Plaintiff,
·	as surety thereon, which said bond has
been approved by me.	
Witness my hand and the s	seal of said Circuit Court of 2282
Baldwin County	is hereto affixed, this the <u>8th</u>
day of February , 196	<u>68</u>
	IPP - LIDUA DR



JOHN T. ROUNTREE	X .	
Plaintiff	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
VS	Y	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
	r v	AT LAW NO.
JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., a corporation	Y	
Defendant	χ	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Comes John T. Rountree, plaintiff in the above styled cause and gives notice of appeal from the judgment of the Circuit Court rendered on the 8th day of December, 1967, and also from the judgment of said Court denying his motion for a new trial entered on the 15th day of January, 1968, to the Supreme Court of Alabama.

plaintiff

I, C. LeNoir Thompson, Attorney at Law in the above styled cause hereby acknowledge myself security for costs in the foregoing appeal.

Attornéy Zoř plaintiff.

I hereby certify I have this _____ day of February, 1968, served a copy of the foregoing Notice of Appeal on Honorable Norborne C. Stone, Attorney for defendant, by mailing copy of same U. S. Mail, postage prepaid, properly addressed to his office in Bay Minette, Alabama

FEB 8 1988 CLERK REGISTER

JOHN T. ROUNTREE	χ	
Plaintiff	χ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
VS	χ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., a corporation	χ	AT LAW NO.
	Х	
Defendant	χ	

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause and propounds The following supplemental interrogatories to the defendant, Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., a corporation:

I. To the individual answering for Jefferson Mortgage Company,
 Inc., or its successor, state your name.

. 2. What is your office or capacity of employment or function with Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc.

• 3. Inasmuch as on previous interrogatories you listed certain payments as being made by the successor corporation to Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., attach a photocopy of the front and back of all checks paid John T. Rountree by the successor-to Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., a corporation.

. 4. State what moneys the successor to Jefferson Mortgage Company paid John T. Rountree for any contract other than the Bay Minette Housing project and attach copies of checks paid for such project.

State the names of the officers and of the directors
 of Jefferson Mortgage Company.

★ 6. State the names of the officers and of the directors of the successor to Jefferson Mortgage Company, Gulf Coast Realty Company, Inc.

plainciff. Attorney for

STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY

n de la composition de la comp

Before me, the undersigned Notary Public, in and for said State and County, personally appeared C. LeNoir Thompson, who being by me first duly sworn, deposes on oath and says as follows:

My name is C. LeNoir Thompson and I am the attorney of record for the plaintiff in the above entitled cause, and as such, I am authorized to make this affidavit. I further state that the answer of the defendant to the foregoing supplemental interrogatories will, if truthfully made, be material evidence for the plaintiff on the trial of said cause.

LéNoir Thómpson

<u>Well</u> Notary Public, Baldwin County, Alakama



Service of copy accepted this 30th day of June, 1967 Attorney for Plaintift

JOHN T. ROUNTREE,	X	
Plaintiff,	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	X	
VS.	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
JEFFERSON MORTGAGE	X	AT LAW
COMPANY, INC., A Corporation,	X I	
Defendant.	X	

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause, by its attorneys, and objects to the supplemental interrogatories heretofore propounded to it by the Plaintiff, separately and severally, and assigns the following separate and several grounds in support thereof:

1. That said interrogatories seek to elicit information which is immaterial to the issues involved in this suit.

2. That the information sought by said interrogatories is equally available to the Plaintiff.

3. That said interrogatories are a mere fishing expedition.

4. That said interrogatories seek to elicit information which is irrevalent to the issues involved in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

CHASON, STONE & CHASON

By: Defen Attorneys for

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsel for all parties to this proceeding, by mailing the same to each by First Class United States Mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid on this day

ഷ്

JOHN T. ROUNTREE	χ		
Plaintiff	χ	IN THE CIRCUI	T COURT OF
VS	χ	BALDWIN COUNT	y, Alabama
JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., a corporation	χ	AT LAW	NO. <u>7160</u>
Defendat	Х х	a an	

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause and propounds the following supplemental interrogatories to the defendant, Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., a corporation:

1. To the individual answering for Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., or its successor, state your name.

2. What is your office or capacity of employment or function with Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc.

3. Inasmuch as on previous interrogatories you listed certain payments as being made by the successor corporation to Jefferson-Mortgage Company, Inc., attach a photocopy of the front and back of all checks paid John T. Rountree by the successor to Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., a corporation.

4. State what moneys, if any the successor to Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., paid John T. Rountree for any contract other than the Bay Minette Housing project and attach copies of checks paid for such project.

 χ 5. State the names of the officers and of the directors of Jefferson Mortgage Company.

5. State the names of the officers and of the directors of Gulf Coast Realty Company, Inc., in its capacity as successor to Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc.

 χ 7. State the names of the stock holders of Jefferson Mortgage Company and the amount of stock each owns.

 χ 8. State the names of the stock holders of Gulf Coast Realty, Company, Inc., and the amount of stock each owns.

X 9. Attach a financial statement showing the capital invested in Jefferson Mortgage Company and its earnings before dissolution.

 χ^2 10. Show the description of the profits of Jefferson Mortgage Company giving the amounts paid and the names of the stockholders to whom paid.

 χ ll. State the salaries of the officers and directors of Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc.

 \mathcal{X} 12. State the capital assets of Gulf Coast Realty and the amounts and sources of income of Gulf Coast Realty.

 χ 13. List the salaries paid the officers and directors of Gulf Coast Realty.

STATE OF ALABAMA BALDVEN COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned Notary Public, in and for said State and County, personally appeared C. LeNoir Thompson, who being by me first duly sworn, deposes and says as follows:

My name is C. LeNoir Thompson and I am the attorney of record for the plaintiff in the above entitled cause, and as such, I am authorized to make this affidavit. I further state that the answer of the defendant to the foregoing supplemental interrogatories will, if truthfully made, be material evidence for the

plaintiff on the trial of said cause.

Subscribed and sworn to before me by the said C. LeNoir Thompson on this the 13 dayof Left, 1967.

Helen McDowe



JUL 1 8 1967

FILL



STATE OF ALABAMA

BALDWIN COUNTY

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded to summon Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., a corporation, to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court, to be held for said county at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the complaint of John T. Rountree.

luquest. 1965. WITNESS my hand this <u>N</u>day of . lice J. Muck)

The defendant's address is 301 St. Joseph Street, Mobile, Alabama.

Defendant

The plaintiff claims of the defendant Twenty-five Thousand (\$25,000.00) Dollars due from it by account on the 1st day of June, 1965, which sum of money, with the interest thereon, is still unpaid.

χ

-1-

The plaintiff claims of the defendant Twenty-five Thousand (\$25,000.00) Dollars for work and labor done for the defendant by the plaintiff on the 1st day of June, 1965, at its request which sum of money, with the interest thereon, is still unpaid.

for Plaintiff

FILED

AUG 5 1966 ALICE J. MUCK, CLERK REGISTER

Ex aug-18-166



	CASE NO. 7206	RECEIVED
	ye	AUG 5 1966
	JOHN T. ROUNTREE,	TAYLOR WILKINS
	Plaintiff,	SHERIFF
		vy se ve
	vs:	a de la contra de
	JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., A Corp.,	
$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left[$	Defendant.	
	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF	
	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA	A BERKELE
	AT LAW, CASE NO. 7106 PX Luckel Long Suc	and the second
	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{r}} \\ \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf$	
	SUMMONS & COMPLAINT,	
	AUG IS 1996	
	ALLE ELLERK SECURITER	
	C. LeNoir Thompson, Atty.	992 HV TS / O SNY
		ROBULE COUNTY ALA.

COMPLAINT AS LAST AMENDED

JOHN T. ROUNTREE	X		
Plaintiff	χ	IN THE C	IRCUIT COURT OF
VS	χ	BALDWIN	COUNTY, ALABAMA
JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., a corporation	Х	AT LAW	NO
-	X		
Defendant	χ		

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause and amends his complaint as last amended to read as follows:

-1-

The plaintiff claims of the defendant Fourteen Thousand Ninety-three and 53/100 (\$14,093.53) Dollars due from it by account on the 1st day of June, 1965, which sum ofmoney, with the interest thereon is still unpaid.

-2-

The plaintiff claims of the defendant Fourteen Thousand Ninety-three and 53/100 (\$14,093.53) Dollars due from it for work and labor done for the defendant by the plaintiff on the 1st day of June, 1966, at its request which sum of money, with the interest thereon, is still unpaid.

plaintif tornev for

I hereby certify that I have this ______day of June, 1967, served a copy of the foregoing amended complaint on Honorable John Chason, attorney for defendant by leaving a copy of same in histoffice in Bay Minette, Alabama.

ppp ケファウィ

MUN 7 1967

MUT & MUR, MEANTER

62

	JOHN T. ROUNTREE,	X	
	Plaintiff,	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	vs.	X	
		X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
- 1	JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., A	X	AT LAW NO. 7160
	Corporation,	X	
	Defendant.	X	

PLEAS:

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause, by its attorneys, and for answer to the Complaint heretofore filed against him and to each count thereof, separately and severally, says as follows:

1. The allegations of the Complaint are untrue.

Respectfully submitted,

CHASON, STONE & CHASON,

By: Defendant Attorneys for

SEP 6 1967

NET J. CLERK REGISTER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsel for all parties to this proceeding, by mailing the same to each by First Class United States Mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid on this day

of Senta 405 19

~. 63

JOHN T. ROUNTREE,	X		
Plaintiff,	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF	f
	X		7
vs.	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA	LA.
JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., a corporation,	х. Х.	AT LAW NO. 710	6
	X		
Defendant.	Y		

DEMURRER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause, by its Attorneys, and demurs to "COUNT TWO" of the Amended Bill of Complaint heretofore filed against it in this cause and assigns the following separate and several grounds in support thereof:

1. Said Count fails to state a cause of action.

2. The allegations of the Count are vague, indefinite and uncertain.

3. Said Count attempts to combine two statutory common counts and is not substantially in accordance with the terms and provisions of Title 7, Section 223 (10) of the Code of Alabama of 1940.

4. The said Count fails to allege that the Plaintiff furnished materials to the Defendant at its request.

5. Said Count attempts to combine a case of action for indebitatis assumpsit with a cause of action in assumpsit.

6. There is a misjoinder of causes of action in the said Count.

Filed 9-12-67 9:40 A.M. Attorneys for Defendar Olic french Seerk 64

Respectfully submitted, CHASON, STONE & CHASON

JOHN T. ROUNDTREE,

Plaintiff,IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OFVS.·BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMAJEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY,
INC., a corporation,
Defendant.AT LAWNO. 7106

AMENDED COMPLAINT

Now comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause and amends his complaint to read as follows:

COUNT ONE

The plaintiff claims of the defendant Fourteen Thousand Ninety-three and 53/100 (\$14,093.53) due from it by account on the 1st day of June, 1966, which sum of money, with the interest thereon, is still unpaid.

COUNT TWO

The plaintiff claims of the defendant Fourteen Thousand Ninety-three and 53/100 (\$14,093.53) due from it for work and labor done and materials furnished for the defendant by the plaintiff on the 1st day of June, 1966, at its request which sum of money, with the interest thereon, is still unpaid.

Filed 9-12-67 Click-hench cenk Attorneys for Plaintiff

65

We the fury find in Anon of the PLAINTIFE in the amount Wihner Lipstornbe Zarefnan

,

JOHN T. ROUNTREE,	X	
Plaintiff,	X IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF	
vs.	X DEFENSION PERMIT	
JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., a corporation,	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA X	
Defendant.	X AT LAW NO. 7106	
معهد منهم منهود منهم بالمعني منهم المعني منهم المعني منهم منهم منهم منهم منهم منهم منهم من	X	

PLEAS

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause by its attorneys and for answer to the amended complaint heretofore filed against it and to each Count thereof, separately and severally, pleads as follows:

1. The allegations of the amended complaint are untrue.

2. That on the 14th day of June, 1965, the Plaintiff entered into a written contract with the Defendant in and by the terms of which the Plaintiff contracted and agreed to furnish all materials and perform all work in a good and workmanlike manner required by Division Numbers 21 and 23 in accordance with the General and Special conditions of the contract between the Housing Authority of the City of Bay Minette, Alabama, and this Defendant, and in accordance with the drawings and specifications prepared by Dietz, Prince and Fischrupp and Rester & Coleman, Engineers, Inc.; and also all storm drainage pipe, catch basins, grates and all other sub-surface drainage included in the job in Bay Minette, Alabama known as the low rent housing project, Alabama 1A and 1B, Bay Minette, Alabama, including Addendums 1 and 2 to said plans and specifications, at and for the price of \$22,034.00. That in addition to said contract, the Plaintiff entered into a further and additional contract with the Defendant to do all site work required by said plans and specifications for said job or project at and for the price of \$3,200.00 making a total contract price on

said job or project between the Plaintiff and the Defendant of \$25,234.00. That the Plaintiff proceeded to do the work and furnish the materials required by said contracts and during the course of said work the Defendant authorized additional work consisting of an additional catch basin and additional pipe in the total amount of \$1,482.40. That the Defendant has paid to the Plaintiff for work done by him under said original contract, additional contract, and for said extras the total sum of \$22,442.67. That the Plaintiff has not complied with, and has breached, his said contracts with the Defendant in that he did not do the work in a good and workmanlike manner and he has failed and refused to complete the landscaping as required by said contract and the plans and specifications for said job or project in that he failed and refused to prune and fertilize existing trees, he did not replace dead or defective shrubs, he did not plant dogwood trees as specified, he did not regrade for required grade and drainage, he did not plant the lawns to the limits of the property, he did not remove all debris and he did not provide top soil for a sufficient depth in one part of the project, all as required by the contract hereinabove referred to. Hence the Plaintiff should not be allowed to recover.

> Respectfully submitted, CHASON, STONE & CHASON By: Attorneys for Defendant

Filed; Nov. 27, 1967. Jeagain of masliburn pudge.

THE STATE OF ALABAMA Baldwin County - Circuit Court

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA — GREETING:

Whereas, at a Term of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, held on the _______

day of December, 1967 and denial of Motion for New Trial January 15, 1968 tain cause in said Court wherein John T. Rountree

Plaintiff, and Jefferson Morigage Company. Inc.

a corporation Defendant, a judgement was rendered against said

Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., a corporation

to reverse which _____judgment ____, the said __John T. Rountree

applied for and obtained from this office an APPEAL, returnable to the <u>next</u>

Term of our <u>Supreme</u> Court of the State of Alabama, to be held at Montgomery, on security for costs the ______day of ______, 196_____next, and the necessary/bond having been given by the said <u>C. LeNoir Thompson</u> <u>Attorney for plaintiff</u>

Now. You Are Hereby Commanded, without delay, to cite the said <u>Jefferson Montgage</u> Company, Inc., a corporation or <u>Chason</u>, <u>Stone & Chason</u>, <u>by</u>:John Chason, ______, attorney, to appear at the <u>next</u>. Term of our said Supreme Court, to defend against the said Appeal, if <u>they</u> think proper. Witness, ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk of the Circuit Court of said County, this /<u>B</u>.

day of February , A. D., 1968

Attest:

<u>Alice black</u>, Clerk.

no. 1106 14 dor Dil 168 15 d Zeb Es N.C. Store CIRCUIT COURT Baldwin County, Alabama John J. Primithee TAYLOR YILKINS Sherill BUN Dellert Vs. | Citation in Appeal Jefferson Mortgagel 20 be served ou; 20m. - M.C. Stone

Marrialle V. 1186: end Monty JURY LIST - DECEMBER 4, 1967 TERM - BALDWIN COUNTY 1) Anderson, Evar, Civil Service, Elberta 2. Aylin, E. Mack, International-Paper, Bay Minette 3. Booth, Robert E., Laborer, Fairhope 4. Cowline, W. B., Farmer, Robertsdale 5. Dvork, Joseph, Jr., Salesman, Belforest 6. Dyer, Ted, Mechanic, Fairhope 7. Ellison, W. V., Farmer, Robertsdale 8. Fackler, Paul W., Mechanic, Loxley 9. Frank, George, Farmer, Elberta 10. Gibbs, Floyd, Grocery Store, Bay Minette 11. Godwin, Dewey C., Mechanic, Bay Minette 12. Good, John, Jr., Farmer, Edberta 13. Hawkins, Ernest B., Public Accountant, Foley 4. Hodges, Willie Lee, Sawmill, Bay Minette 15. Hoffman, Winfred Com Soult Empry, Bay Mines 16. Kelly, W. Marvin, Bank, Bay Minette 17. King, Vernon, Farmer, Robertsdale 18. Lager, J. E., Salesman, Foley 19. Lehman, Harold, Farmer, Summerdale 20. Lindsey, Walter M., Abstractor, Bay Minette 20. Lindsey, Walter M., Abstractor, Bay Minette
21. Lipscomb, Wilmer, Farmer, Magnolia Springs
22. Manning, Wesley W., Civil Service, Foley
23. Meszaros, Michael A., Retired, Elberta
24. Morse, Wilson W., Civil Service, Foley
25. Oswell, Charles, Timber & Oil, Spanish Fort - Daphne
-26. Palmer, James J., Farmer, Robertsdale 27. Peterson, Morris Sidney, Farmer, Gateswood 28. Roberts, Howard, Civil Service, Stapleton 29. Salac, Tommy, Farmer, Robertsdale 30. Schroeder, Walter, Painter, Elberta-31. Smith, Orrie, Sr., Brookley-Field, Daphne-32. Steele, Clyde Madison, Merchant, Bay Minette 33. Stephens, W. Henry, Millman, Stockton 34/ Survant, Ray H., Civil Service, Lillian S5. Trawick, Emma D., Stapleton 36. Williamson, Huston, Civil Service, Elber 37. Wright, Justice D., Forester, Stapleton-Elberta and the family 38. Capps, Curtis 39_Brantley, Emory Sal 40. Dean, Earl-41. Powell, Burley XXXXX XXXXX XXXE XXXX XXXXX

JOHN T. ROUNTREE,	X
Plaintiff,	χ
vs.	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY,	X
INC., a corporation	AT LAW NO. 7106
	X
Defendant	
	X

OBJECTIONS TO INTERROGATORIES

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause, by its attorneys, and objects to the interrogatories heretofore propounded by the Plaintiff to it, and to each of such interrogatories separately and severally, and assigns the following separate and several grounds in support thereof:

1. The interrogatories call for information and facts which are within the knowledge of the Plaintiff as well as within the knowledge of this Defendant.

2. The interrogatories call for immaterial, irrelevant and incompetent testimony.

3. The interrogatories constitute an attempt on the part of the Plaintiff to require the Defendant to establish his cause of action.

4. The interrogatories are a mere fishing expedition.

5. The interrogatories invade the province of the court.

6. The interrogatories invade the province of the jury.

7. The interrogatories call for conclusions of law.

8. The interrogatories assume certain facts which this Defendant is unable to know and which it cannot ascertain after diligent effort.

9. The interrogatories are vague, indefinate and uncertain.

ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

Without waiving the foregoing objections to the interrogatories, but expressly insisting thereon, comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause, and for answer to the interrogatories heretofore propounded to it, says as follows:

1. Abraham A. Mitchell.

2. On the date of the execution of the contract which is the basis of this suit, I was Vice-President and a Director of Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc. Subsequent to said date Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc. was dissolved and the successor corporation was, and is, Gulf Coast Realty Company, Inc.; of which latter company I am the Vice-President and a Director.

3. Yes (Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc.).

4. John T. Rountree had a sub-contract with Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc. and he did work under his sub-contract with that company. The work which he did was not "authorized" by the Housing Authority of the City of Bay Minette, Alabama.

5. Yes, partly.

6. None.

7. 359. With respect to this answer and to the answers to interrogatories numbered 8-13, inclusive, and interrogatory number 15, the Defendant states that it does not know that John T. Rountree personally did the work referred to in the interrogatories. This Defendant does not know whether Mr. Rountree subcontracted some of the work referred to, but it does know that he was responsible for all of the work particularly set forth in his contract with this Defendant.

- 8. 44.
- 9. 9,890 square yards.

10. 4,164 square feet of four foot sidewalks, and 15,120 square feet of three foot sidewalks.

11. 2,283 square feet of thickness which is unknown to the Defendant but which it assumes is of the thickness required in the plans and specifications which were examined by the Plaintiff.

12. 9,612 square feet.

13. 2,530 square yards.

14. See attached copy from Division 21 of the specifications prepared for and on behalf of the Bay Minette Housing Authority.

15. 2,682 linea feet.

16. A catch basin was added to the plans and specifications and this Defendant contracted with John T. Rountree to do this extra work and he was paid for same.

17. Yes.

18. Attached is an itemized list showing the dates and amounts of the checks paid by Jefferson Mortgage Company or Gulf Coast Realty Company to John T. Rountree for work done under the contracts which are the subject matter of this suit.

19. Superintendent of Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc.

20. Clerk of the Works for the Housing Authority of the City of Bay Minette, Alabama.

Abraham A. Mitchell



STATE OF ALABAMA

COUNTY OF BALDWIN

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Abraham A. Mitchell, who is known to me and who, being by me first duly and legally sworn, did depose and say under oath as follows:

That he signed the foregoing answers to the interrogatories propounded by John T. Rountree to Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., in that certain cause now pending in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, wherein John T. Rountree is the Plaintiff and Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc., is the Defendant. That he has personal knowledge of the answers, or has ascertained through others the correctness of the answers, and he verily believes the answers as hereinabove contained to be true and correct.

Abraham A. Mitchell

Sworn to and subscribed before me this <u>6th day of</u> <u>June</u> 1967. County, Alabama Notary DEC 1 1967 ALICE J. DUCK CLERK REGISTER

LIST OF PAYMENTS MADE TO JOHN T. ROUNTREE BY JEFFERSON MORTGAGE COMPANY, INC., AND ITS SUCCESSOR UNDER CONTRACT FOR BAY MINETTE HOUSING AUTHORITY LOW RENTAL HOUSING.

	CHECK NO.	DATE	AMOUNT
L.	24384	7/14/65	\$3,200.00
2.	25015	12/15/65	\$ 242.20
3.	25047	12/22/65	\$5,585.22
ł.	25071	12/29/65	\$1,000.00
5.	25122	1/5/66	\$2,551.50
5.	43	2/9/66	\$2,704.00
' -	92	2/25/66	\$2,013.75
	1171	3/25/66	\$1,000.00
•	1249	4/13/66	\$3,696.00
•	1249	4/13/66	\$ 450.00

The above list is attached to and made a part of the answers of Abraham A. Mitchell to interrogatories propounded by John T. Rountree to Jefferson Mortgage Company, Inc. in Case # 7106 now pending in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama.