

FRED BROOKS EGGART Ŋ IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COMPLA INANT Ó BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA, VS Ğ IN EQUITY ELIZABETH WHITE EGGART  $\langle \rangle$ RESPONDENT

Comes now the Respondent in the above styled cause and demurs to the Complainant's bill of complaint and for grounds of demurrers says:

0

For ought appearing the Complainant has not lived in Alabama during the last two years.

1.

For cught appearing this court does not not have jurisdiction of this cause.

2.

WILTERS & BRANTLEY



FRED BROOKS EGGART	Q -	
COMPLA INA NT	ð T	N THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
VS	) D	ALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,
ELIZABETH WHITE EGGART	Q	IN EQUITY
	ž	

## ANSWER AND CROSS BILL

For answer to the Complainant's complaint your Respondent says as follows:

The Respondent admits the allegations contained in Section One of the Bill of Complaint.

2.

The Respondent denies the allegations in Section 2 of the Complaint and says that she did not voluntarily abandoned the Complainant, but was driven from the home by cats. That the Respondent accumulated a great number of cats of varied pedigreesand breeds and brought them into the home of the Complainant and Respondent. That the Complainant insisted that the cats and their kittens be made a part of the family. That they live in the house with the Complainant and Respondent and be kept indoors and protected. Your Respondent avers that the said cats were not house broken but on the contrary. That they used her rugs, chairs and beds as a place to sleep, to mate and to "answer the call of nature". That the Respondent repeatedly urged and beged the Complainant to get rid of the cats or a part of them, that he refused to do this. The Respondent avers that the house acquired a foul odor; that it was in a constant turmoil as a result of the allegations aforesaid. That this situation frustrated and humiliated the respondent so that she felt that she could not continue to live in it. The Respondent avers that at the time she left the home of the Complainant she insisted, and so stated to the Complainant that either she or the cats would have to go. The Respondent says that your Complainant took the position that he is keeping the cats, that the Respondent could go or stay as she pleased.

She left.

Survey and the West

Now having fully answered the Bill of Complaint the Respondent now, by way of cross bill, shows unto Your Honor as follows:

3.

That the Cross Complainant and Cross Respondent are over the age of 21 years, that the Cross Complainant is a bona fide resident citizen of Atmore, Escambia County, Alabama, and has so resided for more than two years next preceding the time of the filing of this bill of complaint. That the Cross Respondent is a bona fide resident citizen of Eay Minette, Ealdwin County, Alabama, and has so resided for more than two years next preceding the time of the filing of this Eill of Complaint; that the Cross Complainant and Cross Respondent were married to hme another at Atmore, Escambia County, Alabama, on to-wit, September 3, 1934, that they lived together as man and wife until to-wit, September 1, 1948, at which time they separated. That from September 1, 1948, until the time of the filing of this Eill of Complainant, the Cross Complainant has lived separate and apart from the bed and board of the Cross Respondent without support from him during this period of time and has been a bona fide resident citizen of Atmore, Escambia County, Alabama, and continuously during this said period of time.

<u>ک</u>د.,

The Cross Complainant avers that during the aforesaid marriage she worked in the home and helped the Cross Respondent accumulate and save a considerable sum of money. That the Cross Respondent purchased the following described lands with this money, to-wit:

Southeast quarter of Northeast quarter of Southwest quarter of Section 18, Township 2 South, Range 3 East, Baldwin County, Alabama.

That there is a four room house located on the said land, that the land together with the house located thereon, is in the opinion of your Cross Complainant worth Two Thousand (\$2000.00) Dollars.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Premises considered, your Gross Complainant prays that on a final hearing of this cause Your Honor will make and enter a decree granting your Gross Complainant a divorce from the Cross Respondent for an on account of having lived separate and apart from the bed and board of the Cross Respondent without support from him for two years and will ascertain what equitable interest the Cross Complainant is entitled to in the aforesaid land and award such portion of it to her as gross alimony.

In the Alternative your Cross Complainant prays that Your Honor will declare here to be a tenant in common with the Cross Respondent in the aforesaid lands, declare her interest therein and enter an order requiring the Register to sell the same for a partition and division of the proceeds therefrom. Your Cross Complainant prays for such other further, different and general relief to which she in the premises may be entitled.

Wilters & Brantley

STATE OF ALABADA

BALDWIN COUNTY

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAAA, GREETINGS:

WE COMMAND YOU, that you summon ELIZABETH WHITE EGGART, to be and appear before the Judge of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, exercising Chancery jurisdiction, within thirty days after the service of summons, and there to answer, plead, or demur, without oath, to a bill of complaint lately exhibited by Fred Brooks Eggart against the said Elizabeth White Eggart and further to do and perform what said judge shall order and direct in that behalf, and this the defendant shall in no wise omit, under penalty of the law; and we further command that you return this writ with your endorsement thereof, to our said court immediately upon the execution thereof.

WIINESS, Alice J. Duck, Register of said Circuit Court, this the 6 day of March, 1954.

Register Anch

FRED BROOKS EGGART

COMPLAINANT

RESPONDENT

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

VS

ELIZABETH WHITE EGGART

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,

IN EQUITY

TO THE HONORABLE HUBERT M. HALL, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA, IN EQUITY:

Comes your complainant, Fred Brooks Eggart, and humbly complaining against Elizabeth White Eggart, respondent, respectfully shows unto your Honor:

FIRST: That your complainant and the said Elizabeth White Eggart are each over the age of twenty-one years, that your complainant is a resident of Baldwin County, where he has resided for more than fifteen years; that the respondent is a resident of Atmore, Escambia County, Alabama;

SECOND: That your complainant and the said Elizabeth White Eggart intermarried at Atmore, Alabama, in 1936 and lived together as husband and wife until September 1948, that in September, 1948 the respondent voluntarily abandoned complainant at Bay Minette, Alabama, and has remained away continuously since that date; that such abandonment was without just cause or reasonable excuse; that complainant and respondent have not lived together as husband and wife since September, 1948; that the said abandonment and separation occured at Bay Minette, in Baldwin County, Alabama, as aforesaid; that the complainant and respondent have no children.

WHEREFORE your complainant prays that this Honorable Court will take the jurisdiction of the couse made by this bill of complaint and make the said Elizabeth White Eggart party defendant hereto and by appropriate process require her to plead, answer or demur to the same within the time and under the pains and penalties prescribed by law and the practice of this Honorable Court.

Complainant further prays that upon the final hearing of this cause this Honorable Court will make and enter a decree forever dissolving the bonds of matrimony existing between this complainant and the said respondent and complainant prays for such other, further or different relief as in equity and good conscience he shall be entitled to receive in the premises.

 $\sim$ 

FRED BROOKS EGGART COMPLA INANT VS ELIZABETH WHITE EGGART RESPONDENT ANSWER AND CROSS BILL

TE I THE W 1954 Register

FRED BROOKS EGGART, COMPLAINANT

and CROSS-RESPONDENT

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

IN EQUITY

VS

ELIZABETH WHITE EGGART, RESPONDENT

and CROSS-COMPLAINANT

## ANSWER TO CROSS-BILL

Comes the Complainant and Cross-Respondent, and answering the Cross-Complaint in this cause filed, says:

1. He denies all of the allegations of paragraph two (2) and says that the same are untrue.

2. He admits the allegations in paragraph three (3) as to ages, places of residence, the time and place of their marriage, and the time and place of their separation, and further answering the allegations of the said paragraph and the Cross-Bill as a whole, the Cross-Respondent says that the Defendant and Cross-Complainant voluntarily left his bed and board and voluntarily remained away continuously since September, 1948.

3. Answering the allegations of paragraph four (4), the Cross-Respondent admits ownership of the property in paragraph four (4), and further answering the allegations of paragraph four (4), Cross-Respondent says that the Cross-Complainant in no way contributed toward the purchase of said property or accumulation of the money, and that the same is not exceeding \$1,000.00 in value; that it was purchased by the Cross-Respondent as a home for this Cross-Complainant and Cross-Respondent; that it is situated a short distance West of Bay Minette; that its chief value was and is for use as a home; that the Cross-Complainant refused to move therein with this Cross-Respondent, but on the contrary voluntarily left this Cross-Respondent and moved to Atmore where she has remained continuously since September, 1948, and during said period she has continuously refused to return to her home with this Cross-Respondent, though he has, during said period, been ready and willing to provide a home and proper maintainance and support for her therein.

4. And now, having fully answered the Defendant and Cross-Complainant's Bill of Complaint, the Complainant and Cross-Respondent prays that he may go hence with his reasonable costs in this behalf expended.

BEEBE & SWEARINGEN wearing! Solicitor for Cross-Respondent

Consider to Cross-Bell Aus Brooks Eggart, Complainant and Cross- Respondent Elizabeth White Eggant, Respondent and Cross-Complainant FULEU 1954 JUNE 29 1954 ALICE J. BACK, REFISTER

FRED	BROOKS	EGGART,
		COMPLAINANT,

VS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,

ELIZABETH WHITE EGGART, RESPONDENT.

IN EQUITY

Comes your complainant in the above styled cause and, with leave of court having first been obtained, ammends his bill of complainant to read as follows:

TO THE HONORABLE HUBERT M. HALL, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA, IN EQUITY:

Comes your complainant, Fred Brooks Eggart, and humbly complaining against Elizabeth White Eggart, respondent, respectfully shows unto your Honor:

FIRST: That you complainant and the said Elizabeth White Eggart are each over the age of twenty-one years, that your complainant is a resident of Baldwin County, where he has resided for more than fifteen years continuously next preceding the filine of this bill of complaint; that the respondent is a resident of Atmore, Escambia County, Alabama;

SECOND: That your complainant and the said Elizabeth White Eggart intermarried at Atmore, Alabama, in 1936, and moved to Bay Minette, Alabama, more than fifteen (15) years age and lived together as husband and wife at Bay Minette, Alabama, until September, 1948, that in September, 1948, while they both still resided at Bay Minette, Alabama, the respondent voluntarily abandoned complainant at Bay Minette, Alabama, and has remained away continuously since that date; that such abandonment was without just cause or reasonable excuse; that complainant and respondent have not lived together as husband and wife since September, 1948; that the said abandonment and separation occured at Bay Minette, in Baldwin County, Alabama, as aforesaid; that the complainant and respondent have no children.

WHEREFORE your complainant prays that this Honorable

Court will take the jurisdiction of the cause made by this bill of complaint and make the said Elizabeth White Eggart party defendant hereto and by appropriate process require her to plead, answer or demur to the same within the time and under the pains and penalties prescribed by law and the practice of this Honorable Court.

Complainant further prays that upon the final hearing of this cause this Honorable Court will make and enter a decree forever dissolving the bonds of matrimony existing between this complainant and the said respondent and complainant prays for such other, further or different relief as in equity and good conscience he shall be entitled to receive in the premises.

BEEBE & SWEARINGEN

Solicitors For Complainant

I, Tolbert M. Brantlezy, attorney for respondent in the above styled cause, hereby accept service of the above complaint. This the <u>1</u> day of <u>1954</u> <u>Welton</u>, 1954 <u>by: 1 ollieut M Brantley</u> Attorney; for Respondent



FRED BROOKS EGGART, Complainant, VS.

Elizabeth White Eggart, Respondent. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA. IN EQUITY. NO. 3209

This cause coming on to be heard is submitted for a final decree upon the original and amended bill of complaint, the answer and cross bill of the Respondent and the testimony of the witnesses on behalf of the complainant and the Respondent, and the Court having considered the pleadings and proof is of the opinion that the Complainant is not entitled to the relief prayed for in his original and amended complaints;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Complainant's bill of complaint be and the same is hereby dismissed.

The Court, however, is of the opinion that the Respondent is entitled to a divorce as prayed for in her cross bill;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the bonds of matrimony heretofore existing between the Complainant and the Respondent be and the same are hereby dissolved and said Elizabeth White Eggart is forever divorced from the said Fred Brooks Eggart in that the Complainant and the Respondent have lived separate and apart for more than five years next preceding the filing of the bill of complaint in this cause, during which time the complainant has contributed nothing to the support of the Respondent.

The Court is further of the opinion that the Respondentis not entitled to the relief prayed for insofar as the property belonging to the Complainant;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Respondent's prayer for affirmative relief as against the Complainant involving real property standing in the name of the Complainant is denied.

IT IS FURTMER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that neither party to the suit shall again marry except to each other until sixty days after the rendition of this decree, and if an appeal is taken within sixty days, neither party shall again marry except to each other during the pendency of said appeal.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Complainant and Respondent be and they are hereby permitted to again contract marriage upon the payment of the cost of this suit.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Complainant, Fred Brooks Eggart Bay the cost herein to be taxed, for which execution may issue.

Done this 11th day of August, 1954.

but M Itale of the 28th Judicial Circuit of

Alabama.

2000 FILED AUG II 1954 ALICE J. DUCK, Register