MATT KR	UMP and	HERBERT	KRUMP,	Q	IN	THE	CIRCUI	TC	OURT	Œ
		Complain	ants,	- l						
⊽s.				Q Q	BAI	DWIN	I COUNI	Υ,	ALABA	MA
MILDRED	-	_		Q Q Q	IN	EQUI	TY	NO./	2603	
		Responde	nt.	ð				~		

**BOOK** 

205 PAGE 349

Sec.

This cause having been submitted for final decree upon the pleadings, and the evidence offered and taken in open court, and the court understanding, and having considered the same, and heard the arguments of the solicitors for the parties hereto, is of the opinion that the Complainants, Matt Krump and Herbert Krump, are entitled to relief; in connection with which, the court finds that the decedent Nic Krump on January 18, 1950, at the time of the purported execution and delivery of the instruments purporting to be deeds of conveyance to the Respondent Mildred Casey, was of unsound mind and incapable of executing said instruments as valid deeds of conveyance, and that said Mildred Casey at the time knew of said incompetency, and that said instruments so purporting to be deeds of conveyance made by said Nic Krump to the Respondent Mildred Casey on said January 18, 1950 were wholly without consideration. It is, therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the courtr

1. That Complainants Matt Krump and Herbert Krump are the true and lawful owners of those certain lands in Baldwin County, Alabama, more particularly described as follows:

Lots 116, 115, 114, 126, 127, 131, 132, and that part of Lot 139 lying directly Northwest of Lots 131 and 132 in Oak River View: Lot 3 of Block 1 and also Parcel B; Lots 113, 128, 129, 130 and that part of Lot 139 lying directly northwest of Lots 129 and 130 in Oak River View, Lots 2 vand 3 in Block 3, Unit 1, Gulf Shores, being a sub-division of Lot B Section 20 Township 9 South, Range 4 East.

North Half  $N_{2}^{1}$  of the Northeast Quarter (NE1) of the Northeast Quarter (NET), Section 29, Township 8 South, Range 4 East.

2. That the instrument purporting to be a deed of conveyance from the said Nic Krump to the said Mildred Casey dated January 18, 1950, and purporting to convey the following described lands in Baldwin County, Alabama, viz:

Lots 116, 115, 114, 126, 127, 131, 132, and that part of Lot 139 lying directly Northwest of Lots 131 and 132 in Oak River View: Lot 3 of Block 1 and also Parcel B: Lots 113, 128, 129, 130 and that part of Lot 139 lying directly northwest of Lots 129 and 130 in Oak River View, Lots 2 and 3 in Block 3, Unit 1, Gulf Shores, being a subdivision of Lot B Section 20 Township 9 South, Range 4 East.

which instrument is recorded in the office of the Judge of Probate of Baldwin County, Alabama, in Deed Book 148, pages 359, 361, was at the time of its purported execution and delivery on the 18th. day of January 1950, and is now null and void, and of or to said lands there in described, passed to the said Mildred Casey in consequence thereof: and that the instrument purporting to be a deed of conveyance from the deceased Nic Krump to the respondent Mildred Casey dated January 18, 1950 and purporting to convey the North Half ( $N_{2}^{\perp}$ ) of the Northeast quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ) of the Northeast Quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ), Section 29, Township 8 South, Range 4 East, together with the improvments thereon, situated in Baldwin County, Alabama, which document is of record in the office of the Judge of Probate of Baldwin County, Alabama, in Deed Book 149, pages 500, 501, and in Deed Book 150 pages 29 and 30, was at the time of its purported execution and delivery on January 18, 1950, and is now null and void, and of no force and effect, and that no right, title or interest in and to said lands therein described, passed to the said Mildred Casey in consequence thereof; that said Mildred Casey has no right, title or interest in or to the lands hereinabove described in this decree; and that the two said instruments hereinabove described purporting to be deeds of conveyance dated January 18, 1950 from the said Nic Krump to the respondent Mildred Casey constitute clouds upon the title of complainants to said lands respectively which are hereby removed, and said instruments be and the same are hereby cancelled and held for naught as clouds respectively upon the complainants' title to said lands; that said instruments purporting to be deeds of conveyance having been offered in evidence and in the custody of the Register of this Court, she is hereby ordered to deliver the same to the Complainants herein, and to record a copy of this decree in the office of the Judge of Probate of Baldwin County, Alabama; and that said Register be and she is hereby ordered and directed to enter and note with ink upon the margins of the records in the office of the Judge of Probate Court where said purported deeds of conveyance are recorded, that the same have been cancelled and declared null and void by this decree, setting forth the date hereof.

800x 205 PAGE 350

3. That a writ of possession issue out of this court to remove and dispossess the respondent, Mildred Casey, from Lots 2 and 3 vin Block 3, Uhit 1, Gulf Shores, being a subdivision of Lot B Section 20 Township 9 South, Range 4 East, hereinabove mentioned and described and situated in Baldwin County, Alabama, and to deliver possession thereof to said Matt Krump and Herbert Krump, the Complainants herein.

<u> 900ж</u>

205 MAI 351

4. That the respondent Mildred Casey be and she is hereby enjoined, restrained and forbidden from trespassing upon, or interferring with complainants' possession or their right to possession, of all lands hereinabove described in Paragraphs 1 and 2 hereof.

5. That the Complainants do have and recover of and from the respondent Mildred Casey all costs in this behalf expended, for all of which let execution issue.

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DEDREED this the 9th day of October, 1952.

Telfair J. Mashburn Jr. Judge

1, Alice J. Duck, Register of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of the original decree rendered by the Judge of the Circuit Court in above stated cause, which said decree is on file and earolied in my office. WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS THE day of 2006, 19 5-4

Register of Circuit Court, in Equity

STATE OF ALABAMA, BALDWIN COUNTY Filed 2-18-54 10 A.M Recorded Deed book 205 page 349-51 MRAturt Judge of Probate

18 matt Krump Herbert 45 us: mildred Casey 205-349-51 alice J. Duck

MIC By:	KRUMP, A Matt Kru	non mp.	compos as his	mentis	, .	IN	TI	CIRCUIT	COURT	OF
	friend,	L/			A XX	BA3		COILWAY	6 T. A RA	MA

Complainant,

MILDRED CASEY,

VS.

Respondent.

IN EQUITY NO.

Nic Krump, complainant in the above entitled cause, having died on the lith. day of February, 1951, and an order of court having been entered herein on the ith. day of January, 1952, reviving the above entitled cause in the name of Matt Krump, Herbert Krump and John Chason as administrator cum testamento annexo of the estate of Nic Krump, deceased, as complainants, and substituting said parties as complainants therein, now come said complainants and amend the bill of complaint filed herein so that the same shall read as follows:

MATT KRUMP, HERBERT KRU JOHN CHASON, as Adminis	trator		IN	THE	CIRCU	IT.	COURT	OF
Cum Testamento Annexo o Estate of Nic Krump, de	f the ceased,						. '	
Complain	ants,	x ****	BAL	DWIN	COUNT	IY,	ALABA	INA.
VS.		N NOA Y						
MILDRED CASEY,								
Respond	ent.		T70 -	FIOIT TY	עיק	NIA		

# AMENDED BILL OF COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA, SITTING IN EQUITY:

The bill of complaint exhibited by Matt Krump, Herbert Krump and John Chason, as Administrator Cum Testamento Annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, deceased, complainants, against Mildred Casey, respondent, respectfully shows unto your Honor as follows:

1. Matt Krump and Herbert Krump are both over the age of twenty-one (21) years and residents of Cook County, Illinois; complainant John Chason who is administrator cum testamento annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, deceased, is over the age of twenty-one (21) years and a resident of Baldwin County, Alabama; the respondent Mildred Casey is over the age of twenty-one (21) years and a resident of Baldwin County, Alabama.

(2). Nic Krump, who brought the original bill of complaint herein by his next friend Matt Krump, died testate on the lith. day of February, 1951, leaving a last will and testament, a copy of which is hereto attached, marked Exhibit "A" and made a part hereof; that said last will and testament was admitted to probate and record by the Probate Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, on the  $\underline{//2}$  day of June, 1951, and letters of administration were issued to your complainant John Chason on the  $\underline{//2}$  day of June, 1951.

(3). Said Nic Krump in and by his last will and testament devised all of his property of whatever nature both real, personal and mixed wherever located to your complainants Matt Krump and Herbert Krump.

(4). That on January 18, 1950, and just prior thereto the said Nic Krump was the owner of the following described lands situated in Baldwin County, Alabama:

Lots 116, 115, 114, 126, 127, 131 and that part of Lot 139 lying directly Northwest of Lots 131 and 132 in Oak River View; Lot 3 of Block 1 and also Parcel B; Lots 113, 128, 129, 130 and that part of Lot 139 lying directly Northwest of Lots 129 and 130 in Oak River View, Lots 2 and 3 in Block 3, Unit 1, Gulf Shores, being a subdivision of Lot B Section 20, Township 9 South, Range 4 East.

That on January 18, 1950, the said Nic Krump executed a deed to the said Mildred Casey, the above named respondent, which deed purported to convey the above described lands; that said deed is recorded in the Office of the Judge of Probate of Baldwin County, Alabama, in Deed Book 148, pages 359-361.

(5). That on January 18, 1950, and just prior thereto, Nic Krump was the owner of the following described land situated in Baldwin County, Alabama:

The North half of the Northeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 29, Township 8 South, Range 4 East, together with improvements situated thereon. That on January 18, 1950, the said Nic Krump executed a deed to the said Mildred Casey, the above named Respondent, which deed purported to convey the above described lands; that said deed was filed for record in the office of the Judge of Probate of Baldwin County, Alabama, on March 7, 1950, and was recorded therein in Deed Book 149 pages 500-501.

(6). That on January 18, 1950, the date of the purported execution of said deeds, Nic Krump was a man 75 years of age, was very feeble, physically and mentally and under treatment by one or more physicians; that his mental condition was such on that date that he was not capable of understanding the transaction in which he executed such deeds to the said respondent; that a confidential relationship existed between the said Mildred Casey and Nic Krump and because of his age, mental and physical weakness, the said Nic Krump was under the influence and dominion of the respondent, Mildred Casey and that such deeds were executed to the said Mildred Casey by the said Nic Krump as a result of undue influence exerted by the said respondent on him and while he was mentally incapable of executing such deeds,

(7). That the said complainants do not know whether the said Mildred Casey paid any consideration for the execution and delivery of such deeds but complainants hereby offer to do equity and to repay the said Mildred Casey any sum of money which she did actually pay the said Nic Krump for said deeds, together with interest thereon.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The premises considered your complainants pray that on a final hearing of this cause that your Honor will order and decree the above mentioned deeds from Nic Krump to the said Mildred Casey to be null and void and of no force and effect and that the said Mildred Casey has no right, title or interest in and to the above described land; that your Honor will also order and decree that a copy of said decree be recorded in the Office of the Judge of Probate of Baldwin County, Alabama, and that the Register of

**~**3~

this Court be directed to note on the margin of the record where the same are recorded that such deed<sup>5</sup> have been declared null and void by a decree in equity, setting forth the date of said decree; that the costs of recording such decree be taxed as a part of the costs of this proceeding. Your complainants pray for such other, further, different and general relief to which they may be entitled and as in duty bound they will ever pray.

هار ه

CHASON & STONE PON. JOH CALL & JOHNSTON Solicitors for Complainants

# EXHIBIT "A"

# LAST WILL AND TESTAMENT

I, Nic Krump of Foley, County of Baldwin, State of Alabama, being of sound mind and memory, do make, publish and declare this my last Will and Testament, hereby revoking all former Wills, bequests and devises by me made.

I give, devise and bequeath, after the payment of all my just debts and funeral expense, all my property of whatever nature, both real and personal or mixed, wherever located, to my brothers, Matt Krump of 7959 Prairie Avenue, Chicago, Illinois and Herbert Krump of 9019 South Laflin Street, Chicago, Illinois, share and share alike.

I do hereby constitute and appoint the said Matt Krump and Herbert Krump, joint executors of my will and direct that they serve WITHOUT BOND as Executors of this my last Will and Testament.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed my seal this 21st day of July, in the year of our Lord, One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirty Eight.

/S/ Nic Krump

This instrument was, on the day of the date thereof, signed, sealed, published and declared by the said testator Nic Krump to be his Last Will and Testament in our presence, who, at his request, having subscribed our names as attesting witnesses, in his presence, and in the presence of each other.

NAMES OF WITNESSES
/S/ \_Frank\_W. Carter\_\_\_\_\_

RESIDING AT Eagle River, Wisconsin \_\_\_\_ Eagle River, Wisconsin \_\_\_\_

(SEAL)

MATT KRUMP and HERBERT KRUMP, as Administrators Cum Testamento Annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, Deceased,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA IN EQUITY. NO. 2603

MILDRED CASEY,

VS.

Respondent.

Complainants,

### AMENDED DEMURRER

Now comes the respondent and amends the demurrer heretofore filed by her to the Bill of Complaint as last amended, so that, as amended, the said demurrer will read as follows:

Ι.

Now comes the respondent and for demurrer to the amended Bill of Complaint filed in this cause, says:

1. There is no equity in the amended Bill of Complaint.

2. Complainants do not offer to do equity.

3. Complainants do not allege that they are in possession of the property described in the deeds which they are seeking to have cancelled.

4. For aught that appears in the amended Bill of Complaint, the complainants have an adequate remedy at law.

5. It affirmatively appears from the amended Bill of Complaint that the complainants have an adequate remedy at law.

6. For aught that appears in the amended Bill of Complaint, Nic Krump did not own the lands described in the deeds which the complainants are seeking to have cancelled, or any part thereof, at the time of his death.

7. It affirmatively appears from the amended Bill of Complaint that Nic Krump did not own the lands described in the deeds, which the complainants are seeking to have cancelled, at the time of his death.

8. There is a misjoinder of parties complainant.

9. There is a misjoinder of parties complainant in that the amended Bill of Complaint does not allege any facts which en-

title John Chason, as Administrator Cum Testamento Annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, Deceased, to maintain this suit.

10. No facts are alleged to show that the consideration for the deeds which complainants are seeking to have cancelled was inadequate.

ll. No facts are alleged to show any inadequacy or want of consideration for the deeds which the complainants are seeking to have cancelled.

12. No facts are alleged to show a total incapacity on the part of Nic Krump to contract at the time the deeds, which complainants are seeking to have cancelled, were made.

13. No facts are alleged to show that the respondent, or anyone else acting in her behalf, took any unfair advantage of Nic Krump in obtaining the execution and delivery of the deeds which the complainants are seeking to have cancelled.

14. The facts alleged, if true, are not sufficient to warrant the cancellation of the deeds described in the amended Bill of Complaint.

15. The allegation "that a confidential relationship existed between the said Mildred Casey and Nic Krump" is a conclusion of the pleader and no facts are alleged to show that any confidential relationship existed.

## II.

Now comes the respondent and demurs to the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the amended Bill of Complaint filed in this cause and assigns as separate and several grounds therefor each of the grounds of demurrer from 1 to 15, both inclusive; hereinabove separately and severally assigned to the amended Bill of Complaint as a whole, just as if the said grounds were specifically rewritten here.

III.

Now comes the respondent and demurs to the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the amended Bill of Complaint filed in this cause and assigns as separate and several grounds therefor each of the grounds of demurrer from 1 to 15, both inclusive, hereinabove separately and severally assigned to the amended Bill of Complaint as a whole, just as if the said grounds were specifically rewritten here.

IV.

Now comes the respondent and demurs to the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the amended Bill of Complaint filed in this cause and assigns as separate and several grounds therefor each of the grounds of demurrer from 1 to 15, both inclusive, hereinabove separately and severally assigned to the amended Bill of Complaint as a whole, just as if the said grounds were specifically rewritten here.

V.

Now comes the respondent and demurs to the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the amended Bill of Complaint filed in this cause and assigns as separate and several grounds therefor each of the grounds of demurrer from 1 to 15, both inclusive, hereinabove separately and severally assigned to the amended Bill of Complaint as a whole, just as if the said grounds were specifically rewritten here.

# VI.

Now comes the respondent and demurs to that aspect of the amended Bill of Complaint which seeks to have the deeds referred to therein declared null and void (being subdivision (a) of the Prayer for Relief) and assigns as separate and several grounds therefor each of the grounds of demurrer from 1 to 15, both inclusive, hereinabove separately and severally assigned to the amended Bill of Complaint as a whole, just as if the said grounds were specifically rewritten here. Now comes the respondent and demurs to that aspect of the amended Bill of Complaint which seeks a decree holding that the respondent has no right, title or interest in the lands involved in this suit (being subdivision (b) of the Prayer for Relief) and assigns as separate and several grounds therefor each of the grounds of demurrer from 1 to 15, both inclusive, hereinabove separately and severally assigned to the amended Bill of Complaint as a whole, just as if the said grounds were specifically rewritten here.

VIII.

Now comes the respondent and demurs to that aspect of the amended Bill of Complaint which seeks to have the deeds referred to in the amended Bill of Complaint cancelled as clouds upon complainants' title (being subdivision (c) of the Prayer for Relief) and assigns as separate and several grounds therefor each of the grounds of demurrer from 1 to 15, both inclusive, hereinabove separately and severally assigned to the amended Bill of Complaint as a whole, just as if the said grounds were specifically rewritten here.

B. Blacklum

Solicitor for Respondent.

VII.

MATT KRUMP and HERBERT KRUMP, ) Complainants, ) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF VS. ) BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA MILDRED CASEY, ) IN EQUITY NO. 2603 Respondent. )

#### PETITION

Now comes the respondent, by her solicitor, and respectfully represents that she desires to remove from the court file in this case the original deed which has been offered in evidence in this cause, from Nic Krump to Mildred Casey, which said deed is dated January 5, 1949 and describes property in Section 29, Township 8 South, Range 4 East in Baldwin County, Alabama, and substitute a copy therefor in order that the said original deed can be kept and preserved by her.

WHEREFORE, respondent prays that the Court will enter a proper order or decree authorizing removal of the said original deed from the court file and substitution of the copy therefor.

MILDRED CASEY

B. Blacklury s her Solicitor.

STATE OF ALABAMA ) BALDWIN COUNTY )

The foregoing petition having been presented to me on this date, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the respondent shall and she is hereby authorized and empowered to remove from the court file in this suit the original deed that has been introduced as evidence in this cause, from Nic Krump to Mildred Casey, which said deed is dated January 5, 1949 and describes property in Section 29, Township 8 South, Range 4 East, Baldwin County, Alabama, and substitute therefor a copy thereof.

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of November, 1952.

=

# THE STATE OF ALABAMA --- JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

# THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

......

\_\_\_\_\_

\_

October Term, 19 53-54

To the Register	of the	Circuit		Court,
ور در ۱۹۹۵ می در ۱۹۹۵ می در ۱۹۹۵ می در ۱۹۹۵ میلید و ۲۰۰۰ میلید. ۱۹۹۵ میلی می در ۱۹۹۵ میلی در ۱۹۹۵ میلید و ۲۰۰۰	Baldwin		y—Greetin	9 <b>:</b>
Whereas, the Record ar	nd Proceedings of the	Circuit	Court	In Equity
of said county, in a certo	ain cause lately pendin	ig in said Court be	etween	******
	Mildred Cas	ey		, Appellant
	and			
				, Appellee S
wherein by said Court it w				
Supreme Court, by appeal	taken, pursuant to law	, on behalf of said	l appellant.	<b>:</b>
NOW, IT IS HEREBY C	CERTIFIED, That it was	thereupon conside	ered, ordere	d, adjudged, and de
creed by our Supreme Court				
	oj			
affirmed, and that it was fu				
Mildred Casey,	and J. B. Black	burn, surety	on the	appeal bond,
the costs accruing on said a	and J. B. Black	burn, surety	on the	appeal bond,
the costs accruing on said a	and J. B. Black	burn, surety	on the	appeal bond,
the costs accruing on said a	and J. B. Black	burn, surety	on the	appeal bond,
the costs accruing on said a	and J. B. Black	burn, surety	on the	appeal bond,
the costs accruing on said a	and J. B. Black	burn, surety	on the	appeal bond,
the costs accruing on said a	and J. B. Black	itness, J. Render 7	on the	appeal bond,
the costs accruing on said a	and J. B. Black	itness, J. Render 7	on the	appeal bond,
the costs accruing on said a	and J. B. Black	in the Court belou inthe Court belou Court of Alabam	on the	appeal bond,

Clerk of the Supreme Court of Alabama.

No. 2603 THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA October Term, 1953-54 \_\_\_\_\_Div., No.\_\_\_\_576 lstMildred Casey Appellant, : ÷ vs. Matt Krump: Herbert Krump, Appellee.S Baldwin Circuit \_\_\_ Court. From In Equity CERTIFICATE OF AFFIRMANCE The State of Alabama, Filed Baldwin County. any 19354 this 23 day of (AA BROWN PRINTING CO., MONTGONERY 1950

			t- Cococ )
Div. No	0	CERTIFICATE OF APPEAL. (Equi	Ly Cases.)
No. <u>2003</u>			
NOT TRUET AND H	ar bur ? Kr ump		
Constant a second constant constant	Complainant.	•	
V:	S.		
TILDRED CASEY			1
	Respondent		н ал
	Entransian and Antoning	Register of the Circuit Cour	t In Equity,
Baldwin		Alabama, hereby certify that in	the cause of
			Complainant,
-11-7 - <u>1</u> -1	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n		
		VS.	_Respondent,
TIDED C			
which was tri	ied and determi	ined in this Court on the <u>9th</u>	day of
October	19 52,	in which there was a decree in	favor of the
Ce tober	<u> </u>	in which there was a decree in	favor of the
Cotober Complainents		in which there was a decree in	favor of the
Cotober Complainents	<u>19 52</u> , <u>8th</u> day of <u>Apr</u>	in which there was a decree in  il1953, the Respondent	
<u>Complainents</u> On the	<u>19 52</u> , <u>8th</u> day of <u>Apr</u> :	in which there was a decree in <u>1953</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal	to the
<u>Couplainents</u> On the Supreme Court	<u>19 52</u> , <u>8th</u> day of <u>Apri</u>	in which there was a decree in <u>1953</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal ma, to be holden of and for said	to the
<u>Complainents</u> Complainents On the Supreme Court I furth	<u>19 52</u> , <u>Sth</u> day of <u>Apri</u> of Alaban er certify tha	in which there was a decree in <u>1953</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal ma, to be holden of and for said t	to the 1 State.
<u>Complainents</u> <u>Complainents</u> On the <u>Supreme Court</u> I furth	<u>19 52</u> , <u>8th</u> day of <u>April</u> of Alaban er certify that ty for cost of	in which there was a decree in <u>1953</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal ma, to be holden of and for said t <u>J. F. Blackburn</u> appeal, to the <u>Surrene Court</u>	to the State. Court,
<u>Complainents</u> <u>Complainents</u> On the <u>Supreme Court</u> I furth	<u>19 52</u> , <u>8th</u> day of <u>April</u> of Alaban er certify that ty for cost of	in which there was a decree in <u>1953</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal ma, to be holden of and for said t <u>J. F. Blackburn</u> appeal, to the <u>Surrene Court</u>	to the State. Court,
<u>Complainents</u> <u>Complainents</u> On the <u>Supreme Court</u> I furth	<u>19 52</u> , <u>8th</u> day of <u>April</u> of Alaban er certify that ty for cost of	in which there was a decree in <u>1953</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal ma, to be holden of and for said t	to the State. Court,
<u>Complainents</u> <u>Complainents</u> On the <u>Supreme Court</u> I furth filed securi on the <u>Sth</u>	<u>of Alabar</u> of Alabar er certify that ty for cost of day of <u>April</u>	in which there was a decree in <u>1953</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal ma, to be holden of and for said <u>1953</u> , and that <u>J. B. Reck</u>	to the State. Court,
<u>Complainents</u> <u>Complainents</u> On the <u>Supreme Court</u> I furth filed securi on the <u>Stb</u> are sureties	<u>of Alabar</u> of Alabar er certify that ty for cost of day of <u>April</u>	in which there was a decree in <u>1953</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> <u>took an appeal</u> ma, to be holden of and for said <u>t</u> . <u>J.F. Blackburn</u> appeal, to the <u>Surrene Court</u> <u>1953</u> , and that <u>J. S. Black</u> <u>1953</u> , and that <u>J. S. Black</u>	to the State. Court,
<u>Complainents</u> <u>Complainents</u> On the <u>Supreme Court</u> I furth filed securi on the <u>Sth</u> are sureties I furth	<u>of Alabar</u> of Alabar er certify that ty for cost of day of <u>koril</u> s on the appeal her certify that	in which there was a decree in <u>11 1955</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal ma, to be holden of and for said t <u>J. F. Blackburn</u> appeal, to the <u>Surrene Coart</u> <u>1955</u> , and that <u>J. B. Black</u> l bond. at notice of said appeal was on	to the State. Court,
<u>Complainents</u> <u>Complainents</u> On the <u>Supreme Court</u> I furth filed securi on the <u>Sth</u> are sureties I furth	<u>of Alabar</u> of Alabar er certify that ty for cost of day of <u>koril</u> s on the appeal her certify that	in which there was a decree in <u>11 1955</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal ma, to be holden of and for said t <u>J. F. Blackburn</u> appeal, to the <u>Surrene Coart</u> <u>1955</u> , and that <u>J. B. Black</u> l bond. at notice of said appeal was on	to the State. Court,
<u>Complainents</u> <u>Complainents</u> On the <u>Supreme Court</u> I furth filed securi on the <u>Stb</u> are sureties I furth day of	<u></u>	in which there was a decree in <u>11 1953</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal ma, to be holden of and for said t <u>J. F. Blackburn</u> appeal, to the <u>Surrene Court</u> <u>1955</u> , and that <u>J. S. Mack</u> l bond. at notice of said appeal was on , served on <u>Chason Stone</u> said appellee.	to the State. Court, Court, the
<u>Complainents</u> <u>Complainents</u> On the <u>Supreme Court</u> I furth filed securi on the <u>Stb</u> are sureties I furth day of	<u></u>	in which there was a decree in <u>11 1953</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal ma, to be holden of and for said t <u>J. F. Blackburn</u> appeal, to the <u>Surrene Court</u> <u>1955</u> , and that <u>J. S. Mack</u> l bond. at notice of said appeal was on , served on <u>Chason Stone</u> said appellee.	to the State. Court, Court, the
<u>Complainents</u> <u>Complainents</u> On the <u>Supreme Court</u> I furth filed securi on the <u>Sth</u> are sureties I furth day of as attorney Witness	<u></u>	in which there was a decree in <u>11 1955</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal ma, to be holden of and for said t <u>J. E. Plackburn</u> appeal, to the <u>Surrene Court</u> <u>1955</u> , and that <u>J. B. Black</u> l bond. at notice of said appeal was on , served on <u>Chason Stone</u> said appellee. the seal of this Court, this the	to the State. Court, Court, the
<u>Complainents</u> <u>Complainents</u> On the <u>Supreme Court</u> I furth filed securi on the <u>Sth</u> are sureties I furth day of as attorney Witness	<u></u>	in which there was a decree in <u>11 1955</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal ma, to be holden of and for said t <u>J. E. Plackburn</u> appeal, to the <u>Surrene Court</u> <u>1955</u> , and that <u>J. B. Black</u> l bond. at notice of said appeal was on , served on <u>Chason Stone</u> said appellee. the seal of this Court, this the	to the State. Court, Court, the
<u>Complainents</u> <u>Complainents</u> On the <u>Supreme Court</u> I furth filed securi on the <u>Sth</u> are sureties I furth day of as attorney Witness	<u></u>	in which there was a decree in <u>11 1955</u> , the <u>Respondent</u> took an appeal ma, to be holden of and for said t <u>J. E. Plackburn</u> appeal, to the <u>Surrene Court</u> <u>1955</u> , and that <u>J. B. Black</u> l bond. at notice of said appeal was on , served on <u>Chason Stone</u> said appellee. the seal of this Court, this the	to the State. Court, Court, the

. La se Ser c

1. S. S.

5.

W. A. C.

 $\sqrt{2}m^2 \to \sqrt{2}$ 

\_County, Alabama.



STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT - IN EQUITY.

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded to summon Mildred Casey to appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the Bill of Complaint filed in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, In Equity, by Nic Krump, a non compos mentis, by Matt Krump as his next friend, as Complainant, against Mildred Casey as Respondent.

Witness my hand this \_3/2t day of January 195%, 1951.

Auch-rench Register.

NIC KRUMP, a non compos mentis, By: MATT KRUMP, as his next friend,

Complainant, 🛛

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA IN EQUITY.

MILDRED CASEY,

vs.

#### Respondent. ≬

Comes your Complainant, Nic Krump, a non compos mentis, by Matt Krump as his next friend, and files this his Bill of Complaint against Mildred Casey, and shows unto this court and unto your Honor as follows:

Q

â

### FIRST:

That Nic Krump is a non composementis over the age of twentyone years and a resident citizen of Baldwin County, Alabama, residing near Foley, Alabama; that Mildred Casey is over the age of twenty-one years, her place of residence being unknown to your Complainant; that the said Mildred Casey lives in Foley, Alabama, a part of the time, in Mobile, Alabama, a part of the time and is a non-resident a part of the time, her exact place of residence being unknown at this time to your Complainant.

#### SECOND:

That Matt Krump was issued Letters of Guardianship by the Probate Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, as guardian of Nic Krump a non compos mentis, on the 4th day of March, 1950, but by a decree of this court rendered on this day such Letters were declared to be void and of no force and effect so the said Nic Krump has no legal guardian at this time.

#### THIRD:

That on January 18, 1950, and just prior thereto the said Nic Krump was the owner of the following described lands situated in Baldwin County, Alabama:

> Lots 116, 115, 114, 126, 127, 131, and that part of Lot 139 lying directly Northwest of Lots 131 and 132 in Oak River View; Lot 3 of Block 1 and also Parcel B; Lots 113, 128, 129, 130 and that part of Lot 139 lying directly Northwest of Lots 129 and 130 in Oak River View; Lots 2 and 3 in Block 3, Unit 1, Gulf Shores, being a subdivision of Lot B, Section 20, Township 9 South, Range 4 East.

That on January 18, 1950, the said Nic Krump executed a deed to the said Mildred Casey, the above named Respondent, which deed purported to convey the above described lands; that said deed is recorded in the Office of the Judge of Probate of Baldwin County, Alabama, in Deed Book 148, pages 359-361.

#### FOURTH:

That on January 18, 1950, and just prior thereto, Nic Krump was the owner of the following described land situated in Baldwin County, Alabama:

> The North half of the Northeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter (N<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> of NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>) of Section Twenty-nine (29), Township Eight (8) South, Range Four (4) East, together with improvements situated thereon.

That on January 18, 1950, the said Nic Krump executed a deed to the said Mildred Casey, the above named Respondent, which deed purported to convey the above described lands; that said deed was filed for record in the Office of the Judge of Probate of Baldwin County, Alabama, on March 7, 1950, and was recorded therein, in Deed Book 149, pages 500-501.

# FIFTH:

That on January 18, 1950, the date of the purported execution of said deeds, Nic Krump was a man 75 years of age, was very feeble, physically and mentally and under treatment by one or more physicians; that his mental condition was such on that date that he was not capable of understanding the transaction in which he executed such deeds to the said Respondent; that a confidential relationship existed between the said Mildred Casey and Nic Krump and because of his age, mental and physical weakness, the said Nic Krump was under the influence and dominion of the Respondent, Mildred Casey and that such deeds were executed to the said Mildred Casey by the said Nic Krump as a result of undue influence exerted by the said Respondent on him and while he was mentally incapable of executing such deeds.

SIXTH:

That the said Complainant does not know whether the said Mildred Casey paid any consideration for the execution and delivery of such deeds but Complainant hereby offers to do equity and to repay the said Mildred Casey any sum of money which she did actually pay the said Nic Krump for said deeds, together with interest thereon.

### PRAYER FOR PROCESS

Premises considered, Complainant prays that your Honor will cause the usual Writ or process to issue to the said Mildred Casey requiring her to appear, plead, answer or demur to the Bill of Complaint within the period of time and under the penalties prescribed by the Statutes and the rules of this Court.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The premises considered your Complainant prays that on a final hearing of this cause that your Honor will order and decree the above mentioned deeds from Nic Krump to the said Mildred Casey to be null and void and of no force and effect and that the said Mildred Casey has no right, title or interest in and to the above described land; that your Honor will also order and decree that a copy of said decree be recorded in the Office of the Judge of Probate of Baldwin County, Alabama, and that the Register of this Court be directed to note on the margin of the record where the same are recorded that such deeds have been declared null and void by a decree in equity, setting forth the date of said decree; that the costs of recording such decree be taxed as a part of the costs of this proceeding. Your Complainant prays for such other, further, different and general relief to which he may be entitled and as in duty bound he will ever pray.

CHASON & STONE

Solicitors for Complainant.

NIC KRUMP,		)
A Non Compos Mentis, By MATT KRUMP, as his	next friend,	)
ТС	Complainant,	)
VS.		)

MILDRED CASEY,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA IN EQUITY. NO. 2603

1 achli

plicitor for Respondent.

Respondent.

# MOTION TO AMEND DEMURRER

)

Now comes the respondent, by her solicitor, and shows unto the Court that the general demurrer heretofore filed by her in this cause was filed after the death of the complainant and before the time for pleading expired in this cause, simply as an appearance until such time as the cause was revived. The said cause has now been revived and respondent desired to amend the said demurrer by adding additional grounds thereto.

WHEREFORE, respondent moves the Court to make and enter a proper order or decree authorizing her to amend the said demurrer

MOTION TO AMENDED

NIC KRUMP, A Non Compos Mentis, By MATT KRUMP, as his next friend

VS.

MILDRED CASEY,

Respondent.

Complainant,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA IN EQUITY. NO. 2603.

FILED JAN 11 1952 ALICE J. DUCK, Register

102

MATT KRUMP and HERBERT KRUMP,

Complainants,

vs.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA IN EQUITY NO. 2603

MILDRED CASEY,

Respondent.

SECURITY FOR COSTS OF APPEAL

The respondent, Mildred Casey, having appealed to the Supreme Court of the State of Alabama from the decree of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, in Equity, rendered in this cause on October 9, 1952, I hereby acknowledge myself security for the costs of the said appeal.

Blachli

Solicitor for respondent.

Taken and approved on this the  $\underline{\times}^{\underline{\tau}\underline{\kappa}}$  day of April, 1953. Register. 20 e 5 Q -33 22 

SECURITY FOR COSTS OF APPEAL

MATT KRUMP and HERBERT KRUMP, Complainants,

MILDRED CASEY,

VS.

Respondent.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA IN EQUITY NO. 2603

> FILED 4-8-5-3 ALICE J. DUCK, Register

MATT KRUMP, HERBERT KRUMP and JOHN CHASON, as Administrator Cum Testamento Annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, Deceased,

Complainants,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA IN EQUITY

MILDRED CASEY,

VS.

Respondent.

# DEMURRER

# I.

Now comes the respondent and for demurrer to the amended bill of complaint filed in this cause, says:

1. There is no equity in the amended bill of complaint.

2. Complainants do not offer to do equity.

3. Complainants do not allege that they are in possession of the property described in the deeds which they are seeking to have cancelled.

4. For aught that appears in the amended bill of complaint, the complainants have an adequate remedy at law.

5. It affirmatively appears from the amended bill of complaint that the complainants have an adequate remedy at law.

6. For aught that appears in the amended bill of complaint, Nic Krump did not own the lands described in the deeds which the complainants are seeking to have cancelled, or any part thereof, at the time of his death.

7. It affirmatively appears from the amended bill of complaint that Nic Krump did not own the lands described in the deeds, which the complainants are seeking to have cancelled, at the time of his death.

8. There is a misjoinder of parties complainant.

9. There is a misjoinder of parties complainant in that the amended bill of complaint does not allege any facts which entitle John Chason, as Administrator Cum Testamento Annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, Deceased, to maintain this suit. 10. No facts are alleged to show that the consideration for the deeds which complainants are seeking to have cancelled was inadequate.

11. No facts are alleged to show any inadequacy or want of consideration for the deeds which the complainants are seeking to have cancelled.

12. No facts are alleged to show a total incapacity on the part of Nic Krump to contract at the time the deeds, which complainants are seeking to have cancelled, were made.

13. No facts are alleged to show that the respondent, or anyone else acting in her behalf, took any unfair advantage of the complainant in obtaining the execution and delivery of the deeds which the complainants are seeking to have cancelled.

14. The facts alleged, if true, are not sufficient to warrant the cancellation of the deeds described in the amended bill of complaint.

15. The allegation "that a confidential relationship existed between the said Mildred Casey and Nic Krump" is a conclusion of the pleader and no facts are alleged to show that any confidential relationship existed.

II.

Now comes the respondent and demurs to the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the amended bill of complaint filed in this cause and assigns as separate and several grounds therefor each of the grounds of demurrer from 1 to 15, both inclusive, hereinabove separately and severally assigned to the amended bill of complaint as a whole, just as if the said grounds were specifically rewritten here.

III.

Now comes the respondent and demurs to the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the amended bill of complaint filed in this cause and assigns as separate and several grounds therefor each of the grounds of demurrer from 1 to 15, both inclusive, hereinabove separately and severally assigned to the amended bill of complaint as a whole, just as if the said grounds were specifically rewritten here.

IV.

Now comes the respondent and demurs to the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the amended bill of complaint filed in this cause and assigns as separate and several grounds therefor each of the grounds of demurrer from 1 to 15, both inclusive, hereinabove separately and severally assigned to the amended bill of complaint as a whole, just as if the said grounds were specifically rewritten here.

ν.

Now comes the respondent and demurs to the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the amended bill of complaint filed in this cause and assigns as separate and several grounds therefor each of the grounds of demurrer from 1 to 15, both inclusive, hereinabove separately and severally assigned to the amended bill of complaint as a whole, just as if the said grounds were specifically rewritten here.

Solicitor for Respondent.

MATT KRUMP and HERBERT KRUMP,	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Complainants,	
vs.	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
MILDRED CASEY,	
Respondent,	IN EQUITY NO.
MOTION Come the complainants in the move the Court to amend the bill o without prejudice John Chason as a annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, plainant herein.	of complaint by striking dministrator cum testamento deceased, as a party com- son Slove function the faels Short.

Motion RECORDED Matt / Ville and Herbert Mings Mildred Caser Respondent I the Circuic Court Barourn Courts Alabama - In Equili 1952 MAY ALICE J. DUCK, Register

MATT KRUMP and HERBERT KRUMP,

Complainants,

Respondent.

MILDRED CASEY.

VS.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA IN EQUITY NO. 2603

# DEMURRER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT

Now comes the respondent and demurs to the Bill of Complaint as last amended (the amended complaint filed on October 8, 1952) and as grounds therefor sets down and assigns, separately and severally, the following:

1. There is no equity in the amended Bill of Complaint.

2. Complainants do not offer to do equity.

3. For aught that appears in the amended Bill of Complaint, the complainants have an adequate remedy at law.

4. It affirmatively appears from the Bill of Complaint, as last amended, that there has been a complete change of parties in this cause.

5. It affirmatively appears that this suit was originally filed in the name of Nic Krump, a non compos mentis, by Matt Krump, as his next friend; that it was then amended so that the complainants were Matt Krump, Herbert Krump and John Chason, as administrator cum testamento annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, Deceased, and thatit was then subsequently amended by striking John Chason, as administrator cum testamento annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, Deceased, leaving Matt Krump and Herbert Krump as complainants, which amendments thereby effected a complete change of parties.

Now comes the respondent and demurs to Paragraph 5 of the amended Bill of Complaint filed in this cause on October 8, 1952, and as grounds therefor sets down and assigns, separately and severally, the following:

1. There is no equity in the amended Bill of Complaint.

2. Complainants do not offer to do equity.

3. For aught that appears in the amended Bill of Complaint, the complainants have an adequate remedy at law.

4. It affirmatively appears from the Bill of Complaint, as last amended, that there has been a complete change of parties in this cause.

5. It affirmatively appears that this suit was originally filed in the name of Nic Krump, a non compos mentis, by Matt Krump, as his next friend; that it was then amended so that the complainants were Matt Krump, Herbert Krump and John Chason, as administrator cum testamento annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, Deceased, and that it was then subsequently amended by striking John Chason, as administrator cum testamento annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, Deceased, leaving Matt Krump and Herbert Krump as complainants, which amendments thereby effected a complete change of parties.

Blocklun

icitor for Respondent.

# MATT KRUMP and HERBERT KRUMP, I IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF Complainants,

vs.

MILDRED CASEY,

J BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

NO

. .

Respondent. IN EQUITY

ORDER

This cause coming on to be heard on motion of the complainants to amend the bill of complaint by striking without prejudice John Chason as administrator cum testamento annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, deceased, as one of the party complainants herein, and the same having been considered and understood by the Court,

It is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the Court the motion be granted, and that the bill of complaint be and the same is hereby amended by striking without prejudice John Chason as administrator cum testamento annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, deceased, as a party complainant herein.

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the Court, on this  $\underline{7^{12}}$  day of May, 1952.

elfoir p. maslebury je.

no 2603 Order Mitt / hunp and perfect Uning millere Casey Vespondent Withe Oranie Court Del Quera Courty Alabana Suit 1 1952 MAY ALTER J. DUCK, Register

NIC KRUMP, a non compos mentis by Matt Krump, as his next friend, Complainant, Vs.

í in equity

MILDRED CASEY,

Respondent.

NO. 2603

Come Matt Krump and Herbert Krump, both of whom are over the age of twenty-one (21) years and are residents of Cook County, Illinois, and John Chason, as administrator cum testamento annexo of the Estate of Nic Krump, deceased, and show unto the court that Nic Krump died on the \_\_17th day of February, 1951, leaving a last will and testament which was admitted to probate by the Probate Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, on the llthday of June \_\_\_\_, 1951; that in and by the said last will and testament of said Nic Krump, deceased, your petitioners, Matt Krump and Herbert Krump were devised the lands constituting the subject matter of the above styled suit and which are described in the bill of complaint filed therein, and petitioners further show that letters of administration upon the estate of Nic Krump, deceased, were issued to the undersigned John Chason as administrator cum testamento annexo by the Probate Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, on the 11 day of June 1951.

WHEREFORE, petitioners pray that an order be made by your Honor reviving the above entitled suit in their name and that they be substituted as parties complainant therein

in lieu of the present named complainant. 1 Kag Stong mclell. le

Solicitors for Matt Krump and Herbert Krump, as devisees under the last will and testament of Nic Krump, deceased, and John Cheson as administrator cum testamento annexo of the estate of Nic Krump, deceased. STATE OF ALABAMA

Before me the undersigned Notary Public in and for said State and County, personally appeared John Chason, who, being by me duly sworn on oath, deposes and says that he is one of the solicitors from the complainant in the above entitled cause and also solicitor for Matt Krump and Herbert Krump who are devisees under the last will and testament of Nic Krump, deceased; and affiant further states that the facts stated in the foregoing petition are true.

Subscribed and sworn to before me on this Laday of January, 1952.

97 BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA NOTARY PUBLIC,

**~**2~
#### LAW OFFICES OF

#### D. R. COLEY, JR.

302-6 FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS BUILDING

SYDNEY S. PFLEGER CHRIS C. DE LANEY

MOBILE, ALABAMA

October 12, 1953

Honorable Alice Duck, Clerk Circuit Court, Baldwin County Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

As you know, we represent Mrs. Mildred Casey in all of her cases with the Krump family.

Since Mr. Blackman is no longer involved in the Supreme Court case, we want to make sure that everything is done which should be done to bring the case up before the Supreme Court.

It will be appreciated if you will check your records, and, if all of the proper notices have not been sent, please issue them at your earliest convenience.

Thanking you for your courtesy in the

Very truly yours,

î.s De. ີ ອກຄ

CD/mal

MATT KRUMP and HERBERT KRUMP,

Complainants,

VS.

MILDRED CASEY,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA IN EQUITY NO. 2603

Respondent.

### SECURITY FOR COSTS OF APPEAL

The respondent, Mildred Casey, having appealed to the Supreme Court of the State of Alabama from the decree of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, in Equity, rendered in this cause on October 9, 1952, I hereby acknowledge myself security for the costs of the said appeal.

l. dicitor for respondent.

Taken and approved on this the

Register

MATT KRUMP and HERBERT KRUMP,	Q	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Complainants,	Q	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS	Q	IN EQUITY.
MILDRED CASEY,	Q	NO. 2603.
Respondent.	ð	

A petition by Mildred Casey as Respondent in the above styled cause filed November 12, 1952, to remove a deed referred to therein from the file in said cause and substitute a copy therefor having been duly presented to me and an objection by the Complainants to the removal of such deed on the ground that such deed is needed as evidence in another case now pending in this court and that a copy of the same would not truly reflect the original of such deed having been also presented to me and it appearing to the Court that in the interest of justice, such petition should be denied insofar as the Respondent seeks to substitute a copy for the original permanently, it is therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the petition filed by the Respondent in said cause be, and the same hereby is denied.

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that should the Solicitor for the Respondent, J. B. Blackburn, desire to remove such deed from the file in the cause for a period of time not exceeding one (1) week for any lawful purpose, he may do so, provided the said J. B. Blackburn is personally responsible for such instrument while the same is out of the possession of this court and that he shall return such original deed to this court within seven (7) days from the time he removes the same.

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that if the said J. B. Blackburn desires to remove such instrument he shall give the Court Reporter, who now has possession of said deed, a proper receipt for the same.

Done this 12th day of November, 1952.

Telfair J. Mashburn, Jr., Judge of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, In Equity.

Mugo Aftring ey Ces 73 (8 3 -and a second second in the second Filed 11-12-52 acies-henche Register

MATT KRUMP and HERBERT KRUMP,	Q
	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Complainants, vs.	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
	, IN EQUITY.
MILDRED CASEY,	. NO. 2603.
Respondent.	Q
	ð

This matter coming on to be heard on the Amended Demurrer filed by the Respondent in said cause on July 8, 1952, to the Amended Bill of Complaint filed by the Complainants in said cause on May 8, 1952, and the Court having considered the same is of the opinion that such amended demurrer should be overruled;

It is therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Amended Demurrer filed by the Respondent in said cause on July 8, 1952, to the Amended Bill of Complaint filed by the Complainants in said cause on May 8, 1952, be, and the same hereby is overruled.

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that such Respondent shall have twenty (20) days from the date hereof in which to pleaQ to or answer such Amended Bill of Complaint.

Done at Bay Minette, Alabama, this 8th day of July,

1952.



MATT KRUMP and ) HERBERT KRUMP, Complainants,) vs

MILDRED CASEY,

Respondent.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA. IN EQUITY. NO. 2603.

Come now D. R. Coley, Jr. and Chris C. De Laney, and appear for the Respondent in the above entitled cause and re serve the right to plead, answer or demur furthur.

)

) DENT FOR

MATT KRUMP and HERBERT KRUMP,	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Complainants,	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS.	IN EQUITY.
MILDRED CASEY,	NO. 2603.
Respondent.	Ŭ.

Come Matt Krump and Hebert Krump, the Complainants in the above styled cause, acting by and through John Chason, as one of their Solicitors of Record, and object to the granting of the petition filed by the Respondent in said cause on November 12, 1952, in and by which petition the Respondent seeks to substitute a copy for the original deed referred to therein, and as grounds for said objection, says:

1. That the Deed referred to appears to have been altered since its execution and a suit has been filed in this court to set aside such deed and it is necessary that such original deed remain on file in the court in order that it may be examined by expert witnesses and may be used in the trial of said cause.

2. That a copy of such Deed would not show the deed as the same now appears in the records and it would be impossible to substitute a true and correct copy of such deed which would reflect the deed as it now appears.

for Complainants.

Offection 16 Petitin Matt Pruge Herbert Pruge 12 rando stata situati ですったが 아이라 아이가 아니 98 2 2 an the Martin C. Martin C. Martin Martin Martin Science Sciences and an and a strain science of the scien and the second second  $\sim$ 23 5500 B0 AN TO THE MA 000 G i Cirili 20 - 200 Care Salar Salar 84 10 1997 C ् इ ् 40 Y Y X 4  $\frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial t}$ ः) 24 020 20.02 20.02 I ways The second s 5.0000000 \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$ the De march (A)
(A) non de las the first of the second 20 0 0 X 200 ्र San and the second 2600 9 ୍ 0 2020 140 A.T 970 Q 100 -  $\dot{\alpha}$ 10 m p 6 <u>्</u> ୍ଦି the cost of a Ý.) Haled 11-12-52 auchtnenck Register

NIC KRUMP, a non compos mentis by Matt Krump, as his next friend,		IN THE	CIRCUIT	COURT	OF
Complainant,	Miller Succession	BALDWIN	COUNTY,	ALABA	MA

vs.

MILDRED CASEY,

Respondent.

IN EQUITY NO. 2603

#### ORDER OF REVIVAL

It appearing to the court that Nic Krump died on the 17th day of February, 1951, leaving a last will and testament which has been duly admitted to probate by the Probate Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, by which last will and testament the lands constituting the subject matter in the above entitled suit and described in the bill of complaint, were devised to Matt Krump and Herbert Krump, residents of Cook County, Illinois, both of whom are over the age of twenty-one (21) years; and it further appearing to the court that letters of administration upon the estate of the said-Nic Krump, deceased, were issued to John Chason as administrator cum testamento annexo by the Probate Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, on the <u>11</u> day of

June , 1951;

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court upon petition of said parties that said suit be and the same is hereby revived in the names of the said Matt Krump and Herbert Krump and John Chason as administrator cum testamento annexo of the estate of Nic Krump, deceased, as complainants therein, and that said parties be and they are hereby substituted as such parties complainant in lieu of the present named complainant.

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED this the 4 day of January, 1952.

Jefair & mashburne pr.

NIC KRUMP, a Non Compos Mentis, By Matt Krump, as his next friend, Complainant, VS. MILDRED CASEY,

#### DEMURRER.

Respondent.

REAL STREET

Now comes the Respondent and for demurrer to the Bill of Complainant says:

1. There is no equity in the Bill of Complaint.

Thank Solicitor for Respondent.

#### 24 260 3 DEMURRER.

**RECORDED** NIC KRUMP, a Non Compos Mentis, By Matt Krump, as his next friend, Complainant,

vs.

MILDRED CASEY,

Respondent.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA NO. 2603 IN EQUITY.

## FILED

MAR 2 1951 ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

JAN 2 1 1954

The state of Alabama - - - Judicial Department

THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

OCTOBER TERM, 1953-54

1 Div. 576

Mildred Capey,

and an 📲 🗸

Matt Krump et al., Appeal from Baldwin Circuit Court, In Equity.

MERRILL, JUSTICE.

Nic Krump owned considerable land in Baldwin County during his lifetime and at his death left an estate appraised at \$23,750.00. His wife contracted pneumonia in 1934 and the appellant and respondent Mildred Casey, then 18 years of age, came into the Krump home to murse Mrs. Krump. Mrs. Krump recovered from pneumonia but she became mentally ill and was sent to a hospital in 1936, where she remained until her death in 1940. Mrs. Casey continued to live in the Krump household and she testified that she "drove the car, cooked and did anything that was needed to be done."

In 1938 she left the Krump home and lived in Florids and California, and while in California married one Robert Casey, was divorced by him and she returned to Baldwin County in 1945. She resumed her friendship with Mr. Krump and in 1946 he gave her a deed to some property and gave her \$1,000.00 to pay on an automobile. He deeded another tract to her in 1947 and bought a home for her for which he paid \$4,100.00, and they saw each other regularly, both prior and subsequent to the execution of the two deeds involved in this action, that date being the 18th day of January, 1950. On the morning of that day, Nr. Krump, 75 years of age, was examined by Dr. N. C. Holmos, who found him to be suffering from acute hematuria hypertension chronic blood pressure, prostatitis, chronic and senile dementia. After the doctor had left, Mrs. Casey took Mr. Krump in the Packard automobile which he had given her on Christmas day of 1949 and they drove to a real estate agent's office in Foley, who was furnishing a desk in his office for the use of Mrs. Casey, and Mr. Krump executed one of the deeds here involved and left instructions for the other deed to be prepared conveying more of his lands to Mrs. Casey. He and Mrs. Casey returned in about two hours and he signed the second deed.

Dr. Holmes continued to see Mr. Krump every day and on January 22, 1950, he put him in Holmes' Hospital at Foley. He stayed in this hospital until January 29th, when Mrs. Casey and Mr. R. M. Smith of Mobile carried him to the Mobile Infirmary. Mrs. Casey had a cot placed in his room and stayed at the hospital with him until February 28th when Mr. Matt Krump and Mr. Herbert Krump, brothers of Nic Krump, requested that she leave.

The bill was originally filed under the style of "Nic Krump, a non composementis, by Matt Krump as next friend, complainant, v. Mildred Casey, respondent," to set aside the two deeds which were executed on January 18, 1950. Mr. Mic Krump died on February 14, 1951, and by his last will and testament, left all of his property to his two brothers, Matt Krump and Herbert Krump, and the suit was revived in their names. The demurrer to the bill was overruled, the answer filed denying the incapacity of Nic Krump to execute the deeds and the testimony taken ore tenus before the court. The decree granted the complainants the relief prayed for and Mrs. Casey has appealed.

Appellant argues two assignments of error. The first is that the allegation as to Nic Krump's insanity was insufficient and the demurrer should have been sustained. The allegation in the bill as amended is:

"6. At the time of the signing and delivery of said documents mentioned in Paragraph 5 of this bill, on the 18th day of January, 1950, Nie Krump was of unsound mind and incapable of executing said documents as valid deeds of conveyance to said lands, all of which was well known to the respondent at the time. " \* \*."

In <u>Cor v. Parker</u>, 212 Ala. 35, 101 So. 657, a bill to cancel a deed of gift, the allegation "that at the time of the signature by her, she had become greatly weakened mentally and physically, and did not know or understand the nature of the consequences of the act she was about to perform", was held "sufficient to raise the issue of the soundness of mind of the donory"

and in <u>Holden v. Holden</u>, 235 Ala. 436, 179 So. 523, where the allegation was "and complainants say that the said deed was mull and void and of no effect for on the date of the execution of said deed the said C. W. Holden was a non composementis and incapable of making a contract," the court held that allegation to be the equivalent of the allegation that the grantor "was insame and did not have sufficient mind to understand the business he was engaged in when transacting the same." The demurrer was properly overruled.

The other assignment of error is that the evidence was not sufficient to establish that Nic Krump was of unsound mind and incapable of executing the instruments as valid deeds of conveyance.

The rule is stated as follows in <u>Hall v. Britton et al.</u>, 216 Ala. 265, 113 So. 238, "In order to render a deed void because of the mental incapacity of the grantor—and the principle is the same for any other signatory—the test is 'not merely that the grantor's mental powers were impaired, but whether he had sufficient capacity to understand in a reasonable manner the nature and effect of the act which he was doing." 18 Corp. Jur. 218, § 131; White g. Farley, 81 Ala. 563, 8 So. 215; Stanfill v. Johnson, 159 Ala. 546, 49 So. 223.", and is followed in <u>Spence v. Spence</u>, 239 Ala. 480, 195 So. 717.

The evidence on the subject of Mr. Krump's sanity at or near the time of the execution of the deeds was in sharp conflict. The appellees presented four doctors, one of whom was Dr. Holmes, and four laymen. Among the statements made by Dr. Holmes are these:

-

"Q. Was he capable of understanding any kind of business transaction that anybody might have handed him that day (Jan. 18, 1950)?
"A. No, sir, he wasn't.
"ON RECROSS ENAMINATION:
"Q. Now Doctor, was he of unsound mind also on the 29th of January, 1950?
"A. Yes sir.
"Q. And at all times on the 18th?
"A. He was mentally confused, and completely disorientated entirely from the time he was there.

"G. And you say he wasn't capable of knowing what he was doing at all?

The appellant, Mrs. Casey, and seven laymon testified that Mr. Krump was of sound mind, but no medical testimony was offered in support of this position.

We have read and carefully considered all the evidence, and indulging in the presumption that the finding of the trial court, who saw and heard the witnesses, will not be disturbed unless plainly and palpably wrong, <u>Oardiner v. Willis</u>, 258 Ala. 647, 64 So. 2d 609, we think the decree of the circuit court should be affirmed.

Affirmed.

"A. No sir."

5.

Livingston, C. J., Lawson and Stakely, JJ., concur.

# THE STATE OF ALABAMA ... JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

## THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

Mildred Cas	еу	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1
		278	 	, Appellant
Matt Krump	et al			
		Annual in the second		, Appellee
rom Baldwin		en e		
In Equity			 	Circuit Court.
The State of Alab	ama,	4	ana	
ty and County of Mor	taomer	5.e		

going pages, numbered from one to <u>five</u> inclusive, contain a full, true and correct copy of the opinion of said Supreme Court in the above stated cause, as the same appears and remains of record and on file in this office.

> Witness, J. Render Thomas, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Alabama, this the 21st day of

January ., 1954 cours

Clerk of the Supreme Court of Alabama.



CITATION	OF	APPEAL	
والمرابغ المستعرين المستر البناك المرابع المستر			

ጥሬ		
10	e State of Alabama	CERV COURS OF THE
ala ana ang san ang sa San ang san ang	Baldwin County IN THE CHANG	CERY COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY
То	Mett Krump et al	
	Or To Chason & Stone	
		, Solicitors of record.
	8th Armen	
	Whereas, on the day of	
	Mildred Casey	Manager, analogieses for succession of the second
· ·		
took ar	n appeal from the decree rendered on the	day of October
100	, by the Circuit Court of said county, in the cause of _	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Matt Krump and Herbert Krump	
· · · · ·	Versus	
	and and a second se	
	Mildred Casey	
Alabam	Now, therefore, you are cited to appear as required by a. to defend on said appeal, if you think proper so to do. Witness my hand this <u>llth</u> day of <u>October</u>	any service the Supreme Court of
	day of <u>cocobe</u>	
		Register in Chancery.
مستقبل (۲۵۱۹) مستقبل		<sup>10</sup> ar <u>an internet and the second second</u>
-		
· .		

Received in Shevill's Office this Bday of Vett, 1853 TAYLOR WILKINS, Sherifi No. 2604 leceived day of\_ 5 day of 12c nd on served a copy of the within Complainant MATT KRUMP et al service on the γ8, TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff By-11-4-4-4 MILDRED CASEY Respondent \_D. S. CITATION OF APPEAL IN EQUITY Issued 11th day of Oct 19 53 Moore Ptg. Co., Bay Minette 9

1-31-51 11.4.T. BODK 162 PAGE 332 Judge of Probase NIC KRUMP, a non compos mentis, By: Matt Krump, as his next Q By: friend, Q. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF Complainant, Q BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA vs. IN EQUITY. Q

STATE OF ALABAMA, BALDWIN COUNTY

 $\bigcirc$ 

MILDRED CASEY,

Respondent.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Nic Krump, a non compos mentis, by Matt Krump, as his next friend, filed a Bill of Complaint in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, in Equity on January 31, 1951, which Bill of Complaint was filed for the purpose of declaring null and void a deed executed by Nic Krump to Mildred Casey on January 18, 1950, which deed is recorded in the Office of the Judge of Probate of Baldwin County, Alabama, in Deed Book  $1^{1}_{4}8$ pages 359-61, which deed purported to convey the following described land situated in Baldwin County, Alabama, viz:

> Lots 116, 115, 114, 126, 127, 131, and that part of Lot 139 lying directly Northwest of Lots 131 and 132 in Oak River View; Lot 3 of Block 1 and also Parcel B; Lots 113, 128, 129, 130 and that part of Lot 139 lying directly Northwest of Lots 129 and 130 in Oak River View; Lots 2 and 3 in Block 3, Unit 1, Gulf Shores, being a subdivision of Lot B, Section 20 Township 9 South Parce 1 Fast 20, Township 9 South, Range 4 East.

and to declare null and void a deed executed by Nic Krump to Mildred Casey, dated January 18, 1950, which said deed is recorded in the Office of the Judge of Probate of Baldwin County, Alabama, in Deed Book 149, pages 500-1, which deed purported to convey the following described land situated in Baldwin County, Alabama, viz:

> The North half of the Northeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter  $(N_2^1 \text{ of } NE_4^1 \text{ of } NE_4^1)$  of Section Twenty-nine (29), Township Eight (8) South, Range Four (4) East, together with improvements situated thereon.

That the Bill of Complaint alleges that the said Nic Krump was of unsound mind at the time he executed and delivered such deeds and that he was mentally incapable of executing and delivering the same and that Mildred Casey exercised undue influence over him and thereby procured the execution and delivery of such deeds and the Bill of Complaint prays that said deeds be declared null and void and that Mildred Casey be declared to have no right, title or interest in and to said lands.



MATT KRUMP and HERBERT KRUMP,

Complainants,

VS.

MILDRED CASEY,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA IN EQUITY NO. 2603

Respondent.

APPEAL

Now comes the respondent in the above entitled cause and appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Alabama from the decree of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, in Equity, rendered in this cause on the 9th day of October, 1952.

Dated this 8th day of April, 1953.

1

i den Georgi

B- Blachlu

 $(\underline{G})$ 

Solicitor for respondent.

#### APPEAL

MATT KRUMP and HERBERT KRUMP.

Complainants,

MILDRED CASEY,

VS.

Respondent.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA IN EQUITY NO. 2603

FILED 4-8,53.

ALICE L. DUCK, Register

12

OFFICE OF CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF ALABAMA MONTGOMERY

· .

ès :-

Mrs. Alice J. Duck Register Baldwin Circuit Court Bay Minette, Alabama

a the second second





1

· .

.

. . .