Calla Mai Tanner Refused Charge

The burden is on the state to prove that the property was stolen, but the recent possession of stolen property if proven casts on the defendant the burden of explaining his possession and a failure to do so raises a presumption of guilt that will support a conviction.

J

-

In presecution for receiving stolen property, possession of property places burden on defendant to explain it.

Julyan A. Marollebury, A., Audy.

Failure to make reasonable explanation of possession of stolen property raises presumption of guilty sufficient to support conviction of receiving stolen property.

Reference, Masslebeury, A., Bredge,

J

₹.,

•

i

in

All the necessary ingedients of the crime of receiving stolen goods may be, and most of them usually are shown by circumstantial evidence from which the jury, using their everyday common sense and observation, mustadraw their conclusion.

Telfair of. masleburn, gr., Judge.

1

. .

1

Guilty knowledge of person charged with statutory offense of receiving and concealing stolen property may be inferred from facts and circumstances, in the absence of proof of actual knowledge.

The statutory offense of receiving and concealing stolen property may be inferred from facts and circumstances, in the absence of proof of actual knowledge.

Ĭ

...

4

i din