

Refused Charges

Ascarbia Treating Co
C. H. C. C. C.

*Refused
1 million just*

A.

The court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case, then you may find for the Plaintiff under both Count One and Count Four of the complaint.

B.

Revised
1/10/19
1/10/19

The court charges the jury that if you are convinced from the evidence that the Plaintiff is entitled to recover under both Count One and Count Four of the Complaint, then you may find for the Plaintiff under both Count One and Count Four of the complaint.

1.

The Court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case you must find for the defendants.

Refrain
from
jury

5.
The court charges the jury that if through carelessness or negligence, J. B. Jones, the agent, servant or employee of the Defendant, G. C. Coggin Company, Inc., was ignorant of the title of the Plaintiff in the land, where the timber was cut, then he acted knowingly in cutting the said trees; and he acted willfully if he voluntarily and deliberately cut the trees knowingly; and if the said agent, servant or employee did not have the consent thereto of the Plaintiff's, then you should find a verdict for the Plaintiff and assess Plaintiff's damages at \$10.00 for each pine tree that the evidence shows that the Defendant, G. C. Coggin Company, Inc., had so cut on said land.

*Refused
to pay
[Signature]*

The court charges the jury that if
you believe the evidence in this case
you cannot return a verdict ~~for~~
against the Defendant Protestant Episcopal
Church of the Diocese of Alabama under
Count Four of the complaint.

Refused
to return
verdict

The court charges the jury that if
you believe the evidence in this
case you should return a verdict for
the Defendant Protestant Episcopal Church
of the Diocese of Alabama.

Refused
by Mitchell
and

Referred
Matters
to jury

The Court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case your verdict should be for the Plaintiff under Count One of the Complaint.

The court charge the jury that if
you believe the evidence in this
case you cannot return a verdict
for the plaintiff against the defendant
Protestant Episcopal Church of the Province
of Alabama under Count One of the complaint

Refused
10/11/1911
Judge

Refused
Verdict
7
jury

The Court charges the
jury that is you believe
the evidence in this case
your verdict should be for
the Plaintiff under count
Four of the Complaint

Referred
to the
jury

The court charges the jury that if
you believe the evidence in this
case you should return a verdict
for the Defendant Y. C. Coggin Co., Inc.

Referred
H. C. Coggins
Aug 24

The court charges the jury to return
a verdict for the defendant J. C. Coggins & Co., Inc.

Refused
10/10/24
G. C. Coggins

The court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case you cannot return a verdict for the plaintiff against the defendant G. C. Coggins Co., Inc. under Count One of the complaint.

Referred
to
Circuit
Court

The court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case you cannot return a verdict for the plaintiff against the defendant I. C. Coggin & Co. Inc under Count Four of the complaint.

Refused
timber

7

The Court charges the jury that if you are reasonably satisfied from the evidence in this case, that the Defendant G. C. Coggins Co. Inc. purchased the timber involved in this suit from the Defendant Protestant Episcopal Church of the Diocese of Alabama, a Corporation by Timber deed which described the property according to Plat Recorded in said Book 28, pages 646-7, Baldwin County, Alabama. Records, the Defendants are estopped to dispute the timber shown by the said plat.