ANTONIO THOMAS, JR., : IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

> Plaintiff, : BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW -vs-

ALBERT DEAN KING and HERMAN LESTER BOYINGTON, jointly

CASE NUMBER <u>192</u> and severally,

Defendants.

# COUNT ONE

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of TEN THOUSAND AND NO/HUNDREDTHS DOLLARS (\$10,000.00) as damages for that heretofore and on, to-wit, July 13, 1965, Plaintiff's wife was a passenger in a motor vehicle traveling westwardly on Winn Road at a point approximately 7.6 miles north of Jackson, Alabama, and at a point where the said Winn Road was a public highway within Clarke County, Alabama, and Defendant Albert Dean King, an agent, servant or employee of Defendant Herman Lester Boyington, while acting in the line and scope of his employment as such, was driving a logging truck of said Defendant Herman Lester Boyington eastwardly on the said Winn Road, and at such time and place, Defendant Albert Dean King so negligently operated the said motor vehicle of Defendant Herman Lester Boyington as to cause or allow the same to get out of control and to completely block the eastward and westward lane of the said Winn Road and to cause the automobile in which Plaintiff's wife was riding as a passenger to collide with it. Plaintiff avers that as a direct and proximate consequence and result of the negligence of the Defendant Herman Lester Boyington acting by and through his agent, servant or employee, Defendant Albert Dean King, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such, Plaintiff's wife was torn, lacerated and punctured; she suffered abrasions, contusions and a cerebral concussion; she was caused to be hospitalized for a long period of time;

she has suffered great pain and mental anguish and will likely in the future suffer further great pain and mental anguish; and she was rendered unable to carry out her wifely duties. And Plaintiff avers that as a direct result and proximate consequence of the aforesaid negligence of the Defendants, Plaintiff was deprived of the services and consortium of his wife for a great period of time, was caused to incur expenses for hospital fees, doctors, nursing and medical services, and will likely in the future be caused to incur further such medical expenses, all to Plaintiff's damage in the amount claimed.

WHEREFORE, this suit.

# COUNT TWO

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of TEN THOUSAND AND NO/HUNDREDTHS DOLLARS (\$10,000.00) as damages for that heretofore and on, to-wit, July 13, 1965, Plaintiff's wife was a passenger in a motor vehicle traveling westwardly on Winn Road at a point approximately 7.6 miles north of Jackson, Alabama, and at a point where the said Winn Road was a public highway within Clarke County, Alabama, and Defendant Albert Dean King, an agent, servant or employee of Defendant Herman Lester Boyington, while acting in the line and scope of his employment as such, was driving a logging truck of said Defendant Herman Lester Boyington eastwardly on the said Winn Road, and at such time and place Defendant Albert Dean King willfully or wantonly injured Plaintiff by willfully or wantonly causing the said motor vehicle of Defendant Herman Lester Boyington to get out of control and to completely block the eastward and westward lane of the said Winn Road and to cause the automobile in which Plaintiff's wife was riding as a passenger to collide with it. Plaintiff's wife's willful or wanton injuries consisted in the following: She was torn, lacerated and

punctured; she suffered abrasions, contusions and a cerebral concussion; she was caused to be hospitalized for a long period of time; she has suffered great pain and mental anguish and will likely in the future suffer further great pain and mental anguish; she was rendered unable to carry out her wifely duties. And Plaintiff avers that as a direct result and proximate consequence of the aforesaid negligence of the Defendants, Plaintiff was deprived of the services and consortium of his wife for a great period of time, was caused to incur expenses for hospital fees, doctors, nursing and medical services, and will likely in the future be caused to incur further such medical expenses, all to Plaintiff's damage in the amount claimed.

WHEREFORE, this suit.

ERNEST M. BATLEX

MICHAEL J. SALMON

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

Plaintiff respectfully demands trial by jury.

ERNEST M. BAILEY

MTCHAEL J. SALMON

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

Defendants may be served at:

Albert Dean King Route l Jackson, Alabama

Herman Lester Boyington 1805 Hand Avenue, North Bay Minette, Alabama AUE L DUCK SEERS

# STATE OF ALABAMA Baldwin County

Circuit	Court,	Baldwin	County
No. 6921			

TERM, 19......

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You Are Hereby Commanded to Summon Albert Dean King & Herman Lester Boyington,
Jointly & Severally,

to appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against Albert Dean King and Herman Lester Boyington, jointly & Severally Defendant....

by ...Autonio Thomas, Ir.

Witness my hand this 30th day of March 1966.

No6921 Page	
STATE OF ALABAMA  Baldwin County	Defendant lives at
CIRCUIT COURT	Received In Office
ANTONIO THOMAS, JR.	MAR 3 0 1966 19
	, Sheriff
Plaintiffs	I have executed this summons
vs.	this March 31 1966
ALBERT DEAN KING, & HERMAN LESTER BOYINGTON, Joint Defendants & Severally	Derman & Boyington  4-5-66
SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT	Herman & Boyington
	4-5-66
Filed	THE SHERIFF CLAIMS //
Alice J. Duck Clerk	MILES AT 10/ PER MILE FOR
	A TOTAL OF \$ /. OO
	Myrich, Sheriff
10 Sept.	
	Taylor Welbing
E.M. Bailey	W.C. Zallert
Plaintiff's Attorney	J. D. Paul Sheriff
Defendant's Attorney	To A Krught Deputy Sheriff

ANTONIO THOMAS, JR.,	)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Plaintiff,	)	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS	)	AT LAW
ALBERT DEAN KING and HERMAN LESTER BOYINGTON, jointly and severally,	; ) ;	
Defendants.	:	CASE NUMBER 6921

### DEMURRER

Come now the Defendants in the above styled cause, separately and severally, and demur to the complaint heretofore filed herein and to each count thereof, separately and severally, and for grounds of demurrer set down and assign as follows:

- 1. That it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against this Defendant.
- 2. For that negligence is therein alleged merely as a conclusion of the pleader.
- 3. For that it is vague, indefinite and uncertain, in that it does not apprise this Defendant with sufficient certainty against what act or acts of negligence Defendant is called on to defend.
- 4. For that it does not appear with sufficient certainty what duty, if any, this Defendant may have owed to the Plaintiff.
- 5. For that it does not appear with sufficient certainty wherein this Defendant violated any duty owed by Defendant to the Plaintiff.
- 6. For that it does not sufficiently appear that this Defendant owed any duty to the Plaintiff which Defendant negligently failed to perform.
  - 7. For that the averments set up, if true, do

not show any liability on the part of this Defendant.

- 8. For that the pleader sets out in what said negligence consisted, and the facts so set out do not show negligence.
- 9. For that there does not appear sufficient causal connection between this Defendant's said breach of duty and Plaintiff's injuries and damages.
- 10. No facts are alleged to show that Plaintiff sustained any damage or injury as the proximate result of any negligence or breach of duty on the part of this Defendant.
- 11. It is not alleged with sufficient certainty where said accident occurred.
- 12. For aught that appears, Plaintiff had no right to be where Plaintiff was at the time and place of said accident.
- 13. It is not alleged that the negligence conplained of proximately caused the accident and the injuries and damages complained of.
- 14. For that there is a misjoinder of causes of action.
- 15. For that there is a misjoinder of parties Defendant.
- 16. It is not alleged that the wanton conduct complained of proximately caused the accident, the injuries and the damages complained of.
- 17. For that the pleader sets out in what the alleged wanton act consisted, and the facts so set out do

not show wantonness.

VICKERS, RIIS, MURRAY AND CURRAN

BY Marion R. Vichery.
Attorneys for Defendants

MAX 12 1966

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

day of May on coursel for all parties to this proceeding by mailing the same by United States mail, properly addressed, and first class postage prepaid.

-3-

ANTONIO THOMAS, JR.,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

Plaintiff,

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

ALBERT DEAN KING and HERMAN LESTER BOYINGTON, jointly

CASE NO. 6921

and severally,

Defendants.

ANSWER

Come now the defendants in the above styled cause, separately and severally, and for answer to the complaint heretofore filed in said cause, and to each count thereof, separately and severally, say, separately and severally, as follows:

- 1. Not guilty.
- 2. The matters alleged in the complaint are untrue.
- At the time and place complained of, the plaintiff's wife was engaged in a joint enterprise with B. R. Kidd, who was then and there operating the motor vehicle in which the plaintiff's wife was riding, in that the plaintiff's wife and B. R. Kidd were making a trip in said motor vehicle for their joint and common purpose, so that plaintiff's wife had equal control over the operation of said motor vehicle, and the said B. R. Kidd did then and there so negligently operate said motor vehicle as to proximately contribute to the injuries and damages complained of, wherefore plaintiff ought not to recover.

VICKERS, RIIS, MURRAY & CURRAN

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have on this 16 Th day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 196.6., served a copy of the foregoing pleading on counsel for all parties to this proceeding by mailing the same by United States mail, properly addressed, and first class postage prepaid.

> Marion VOL

ANTONIO THOMAS, JR.,

PLAINTIFF

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

ALBERT DEAN KING AND HERMAN

LESTER BOYINGTON, JOINTLY

AND SEVERALLY,

CASE NO: 6921

Defendants

# $\underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{M} \ \underline{U} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R}$

Comes now the Plaintiff and demurs to the answer numbered three of the Defendant heretofore filed, and as grounds therefor sets forth and assigns the following:

- 1. FOR THAT THE SAME FAILS TO SET FORTH A DEFENSE.
- 2. For that the same consists of the conclusions of the pleader and no facts are alleged in support thereof.
- 3. FOR THAT THE SAME FAILS TO SET OUT WITH SUFFICIENT

  PARTICULARITY WHAT ACTS IT IS ALLEGED THAT PLAINTIFF COMMITTED

  OR OMITTED PROXIMATELY CONTRIBUTING TO THE DAMAGES COMPLAINED OF.

Michael J. Salmon

Ernest M. Bailey

ONE OF THE ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 1969, SERVED A COPY OF THE FOREGOING DEMURRER ON COUNSEL FOR ALL PARTIES TO THIS PROCEEDING BY MAILING THE SAME BY UNITED STATES MAIL, PROPERLY ADDRESSED, AND FIRST CLASS POSTAGE PREPAID.

OCT 1 1969

ALLE J. BILL CLERK REGISTER

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW CASE NO: 6921

ANTONIO THOMAS, JR.,

Plaintiff

VS

ALBERT DEAN KING AND HERMAN LESTER BOYINGTON, JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY,

Defendants

ANTONIO THOMAS, JR.,	X	
Plaintiff,	Ĭ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Vs.	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
ALBERT DEAN KING and HERMAN LESTER BOYINGTON, jointly and severally,	X	AT LAW
	X	CASE NO. 6921
Defendants.	Ĭ	

#### DEMURRER

Comes now the Defendant, Herman Lester Boyington, and demurs to the Plaintiff's Complaint, and each count thereof, separately and severally, and as grounds therefor, says as follows:

1.

That the Complaint fails to state a cause of action.

WILTERS & BRANTLEY

Attorney for the Defendant,

Herman Lester Boyington

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

de levely callify that I have no tidened day of the connect for all parties at a capy of the foliageon was like to appropriate the by about Sie os Acil, proporty summercial, can had also provides property.

ELAC.

ANTONIO THOMAS, JR.,

: IN THE GIRCUIT COURT OF

Plaintiff,

: BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

and IT (Same

: AT LAW

ALBERT DEAN KING and HERMAN : LESTER BOYINGTON, jointly and severally, : CASE NUMBER 6921

Defendants.

COUNT ONE

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of TEN THOUSAND AND NO/HUNDREDTHS DOLLARS (\$10,000.00) as damages for that heretofore and on, to-wit, July 13, 1965, Plaintiff's wife was a passenger in a motor vehicle traveling westwardly on Winn Road at a point approximately 7.6 miles north of Jackson, Alabama, and at a point where the said Winn Road was a public highway within Clarke County, Alabama, and Defendant Albert Dean King, an agent, servant or employee of Defendant Herman Lester Boyington, while acting in the line and scope of his employment as such, was driving a logging truck of said Defendant Herman Lester Boyington eastwardly on the said Winn Road, and at such time and place, Defendant Albert Bean King so negligently operated the said motor vehicle of Defendant Herman Lester Boyington as to cause or allow the same to get out of control and to completely block the eastward and westward lane of the said Winn Road and to cause the automobile in which Plaintiff's wife was riding as a passenger to collide with it. Plaintiff avers that as a direct and proximate consequence and result of the negligence of the Defendant Herman Lester Boyington acting by and through his agent, servant or employee, Defendant Albert Dean King, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such, Plaintiff's wife was torn, lacerated and punctured; she suffered abrasions, contusions and a cerebral concussion; she was caused to be hospitalized for a long period of time;

she has suffered great pain and mental anguish and will likely in the future suffer further great pain and mental anguish; and she was rendered unable to carry out her wifely duties. And Plaintiff avers that as a direct result and proximate consequence of the aforesaid negligence of the Defendants, Plaintiff was deprived of the services and consortium of his wife for a great period of time, was caused to incur expenses for hospital fees, doctors, nursing and medical services, and will likely in the future be caused to incur further such medical expenses, all to Plaintiff's damage in the amount claimed.

WHEREFORE, this suit.

## COUNT TWO

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of TEN THOUSAND AND NO/HUNDREDITHS DOLLARS (\$10,000.00) as damages for that heretofore and on, to-wit, July 13, 1965, Plaintiff's wife was a passenger in a motor vehicle traveling westwardly on Winn Road at a point approximately 7.6 miles north of Jackson, Alabama, and at a point where the said Winn Road was a public highway within Clarke County, Alabama, and Defendant Albert Dean King, an agent, servant or employee of Defendant Herman Lester Boyington, while acting in the line and scope of his employment as such, was driving a logging truck of said Defendant Herman Lester Boyington eastwardly on the said Wirm Road, and at such time and place Defendant Albert Dean King willfully or wantonly injured Plaintiff by willfully or wantonly causing the said motor vehicle of Defendant Herman Lester Boyington to get out of control and to completely block the eastward and westward lane of the said Winn Road and to cause the automobile in which Plaintiff's wife was riding as a passenger to collide with it. Plaintiff's wife's willful or wanton injuries consisted in the following: She was torn, lacerated and

punctured; she suffered abrasions, contusions and a cerebral concussion; she was caused to be hospitalized for a long period of time; she has suffered great pain and mental anguish and will likely in the future suffer further great pain and mental anguish; she was rendered unable to carry out her wifely duties. And Plaintiff avers that as a direct result and proximate consequence of the aforesaid negligence of the Defendants, Plaintiff was deprived of the services and consortium of his wife for a great period of time, was caused to incur expenses for hospital fees, doctors, nursing and medical services, and will likely in the future be caused to incur further such medical expenses, all to Plaintiff's damage in the amount claimed.

WHEREFORE, this suit.

ernest m. bailey

MICHAEL J. SALMON

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

Plaintiff respectfully demands trial by jury.

MICHAEL JANGALMON

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

Defendants may be served at:

Albert Dean King Route 1 Jackson, Alabama

Herman Lester Boyington 1805 Hand Avenue, North Bay Minette, Alabams AUE I. DONG CASE

#### VICKERS, RIIS, MURRAY AND CURRAN

#### ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

MOBILE, ALABAMA

MARION R. VICKERS
ERLING RIIS, JR.
J. MANSON MURRAY
EDWIN J. CURRAN, JR.
MARION R. VICKERS, JR.

May 11, 1966

MAILING ADDRESS: P. O. BOX 990-36601 PHONE 432-9772

Mrs. Alice Duck Clerk Circuit Court of Baldwin County Baldwin County Courthouse Bay Minette, Alabama

> Re: Case No. 6921 - Antonio Thomas, Jr. vs Albert Dean King and Herman Lester Boyington

Dear Mrs. Duck:

We are enclosing herewith demurrers in the above styled case on behalf of the defendants, Albert Dean King and Herman Lester Boyington. We would appreciate it if you would see that the demurrer is filed.

Yours very truly,

VICKERS, RIIS, MURRAY AND CURRAN

Marion R. Vickers, Jr.

MRV/bm

Encl.