VS. Baldwin 18 157- JUNE 13, 1966 Pilmy Co', . Agerton, R.H., Jr., Newport, Bay 2, Allen, James 5., Jr., Farmer, Postmaster, Roberts -Barnhill, David, Bodden, C.A., Ala. Power Co. Bay Minette Spanish bray, S.A., Civil Service 5. Bruhn, Henry C., Civil 7-Buckeren, British, Grand Hotel, Bulman, George W., Shipyard, Fairhope Springster, Fritiz, Farmer, Fairhope 10 Casteel, Jim, Brookley Field, Elseanor 11) Coleman, Cecil, Brookley Field, Bay Minette - Civil Service, -Stapleton E. Chestans, J.C., Grawford, Russell M., Electrician, Bay Minette be Crosby, John D., Jr., Millman, Bay Minette 15 Duffee, Kenneth, Brookley Field, Stockton Dories, Merchant, Peley Cable, Bill, Laborer, Feirhope Carey, Klemps, Fairhope Gerrick, Woodrow, Southern Auto Perts, Hadley, Shomo, Street Dept., 22 Holmes, Ernest, Clerk, Bay Minette 29 - Humphrey Charles C. Salesman Bay Minette 24....Kendrick, Rivers, Farner, Robertsdale.... Langham, Ernast, Carponter, Fairbope 26 tert, Leroy, Brookley Field, Daphne 27 Little, Clyde, Mechanic, Bay Minette -108 20 Long, Alfred, Farmer Stockton McGill, Harold B., Merchant, Perdido McKinley, Alex D., Post Office, Bay Minette Morris, Ossie Victor, Farmer, Gateswood Mosley, A.A., Builder, Stapleton A. Pitteman, Joseff, Farmer, Gateswood 34. Sheldon, Edward, Brick Layer, Fairhope 35. Smith, Sidney K., State Employee, Bay Minette 96.....Stewart.gov.Awbrey...J...gov.Farmer.gov.Rabos.... 37. Stewart, Frank, State Emp., 364 Still, Horry, Merchant, Bay Minette 39) Sute, Anthony, Banker, Foley 16 Thompson, Joseph, Newport Industry, Bay Minerte 41. Waters, J. Douglas, Farmer, Gateswood

The Harrington, Filten, Fand Hotel, Fairhope. 42

XXXX XXXXX XXXX =

XXX XXXXX XXX

STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

Defendant.

You are hereby commanded to summon Baldwin Pole and Piling Company, Inc., a corporation, to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court to be held for said county at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the complaint of W. A. Weekley.

Wice franch Clerk

WITNESS my hand this 24 day of June, 1965.

W. A. WEEKLEY,	X	
Plaintiff,	I	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Vs.	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
BALDWIN POLE AND PILING COMPANY, INC., a corporation,	X	AT LAW
	X	CASE NO. 10570

1.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant FIVE THOUSAND (\$5,000.00) DOLLARS as damages because: The Plaintiff owns the following described land in Baldwin County, Alabama, towit:

Lots 25 and 26 of R. E. Lee's Subdivision of a part of the West Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 10, Township 2 South, Range 3 East; ALSO

Begin at the Northwest Corner of the Northeast Quarter of Section 10, Township 2 South, Range 3 East, thence South along the West line of said Northeast Quarter 1013 feet to a point in the Northerly line of R. E. Lee's Subdivision, thence Easterly along the Northerly line of said subdivision 646 feet to a point in the Westerly line or right-of-way of the L & N Railroad, thence Northeasterly along said right-of-way of said railroad to a point in the West line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northeast quarter of said Section 10,



thence North 25 feet to the Northeast Corner of said Northwest Quarter of Northeast Quarter, thence West 1350 feet to the point of beginning, containing approximately 23 acres in the Northwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 10, Township 2 South, Range 3 East.

which he uses as a farm and for pasture for livestock. Plaintiff avers that one of the tributaries of Hollinger's Creek runs across this tract of land. That the Plaintiff used this as a source of water for his livestock. Plaintiff avers further that the Defendant owns and operates a business where he impregnates timber, logs and wood with creosote and other bitumuls products. That this business is located so that the rain and other drainage from it flows across the Plaintiff's land. Plaintiff avers that during the past year the Defendant, acting by and through its agent, servant or employee, then and there acting within the line and scope of their employment, have so negligently operated this business as to cause or allow creosote and other bitumuls products and waste material from this mill to spill on the ground. Plaintiff avers that as a direct and proximate consequence of said negligence, gravity and rain have caused the creosote, waste material and other bitumuls products to flow upon and across the Plaintiff's land creating foul and obnoxious odors and have so polluted the stream crossing the Plaintiff's land as to make the water unfit for livestock, and has done permanent damage to the Plaintiff's land, all to the loss of the Plaintiff in the aforesaid amount.

BY: Attorney for the Plaintiff

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

BY: Attorney for the Plaintiff

376

Defendant a addan: Bay Mintle, Ola. 64-6-28-65

on I S day of School 1965

ved a copy of the within At Casley

vice on 2221 Fit Casley

W.a. Weekley.

V5.

Baldwin Pole Thlenglo Inc. a coffs

02

TIVILLAT Brightley

W. A. WEEKLEY

PLAINTIFF

)

VS

BALDWIN POLE AND PILING COMPANY, INC., a Corporation

DEFENDANT

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW

CASE NO. 6570

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause and for answer says:

- 1. Not guilty.
- 2. The matters alleged in the complaint are untrue.
- 3. The Plaintiff ought not to have and recover of the Defendant for that he has negligently allowed cattle, swine and other animals and livestock to run in the stream, the subject of his suit, which have waded in, wallowed in and polluted the said stream, which negligence has contributed to his damage.

MAHan

7 del 6-14-66 Ceice J. Wuck Cerk

cet Dan-80 at \$30000

In Industry

W. A. WEEKLEY,

Plaintiff,

VS

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

CASE NO. 6570

COMPANY, INC., a Corporation,

Defendant.

DEMURRER

Comes now Defendant and demurrs to Plaintiff's complaint and each count thereof, separately and severally and assigns the following separate and several grounds:

- 1. The complaint does not state a cause of action.
- 2. It affirmatively appears that the alleged negligence of Defendant is not the proximate cause of the alleged damage to Plaintiff's land.

It affirmatively appears from the complaint that Plaintiff's land is a subservient tenement to Defendant's premises.

Wilson Hayes, Attorney for Defendant

Defendant demands trial

by jury.

This 28th day of July,

1965.

Ailson Hayes, Attorney for Defendant

THE 22 1965
ALUE I DICK, CLEANER