The State of Alabama, Baldwin County

| ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** | Pens |
|--|---------------|
| KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, That we, Midland-Guardian of | |
| ola, Inc., a corporation | |
| The Fidelity & Deposit Company of Maryland | |
| re held and firmly bound unto <u>Vaud Wilson, Jr. and Betty Wilson and</u> "Junker" Boyington, whose name is otherwise unknown | |
| n the sum of Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars, for the payme | |
| which, well and truly to be made, we jointly and severally bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and ministrators. | |
| Sealed with our seals and dated this | 6.5 |
| The condition of the above obligation is such that whereas the said Midland-Guardian | |
| of Pensacola, Inc., a corporationdid, on the 19th | day |
| of May 1965 sue out of the Circuit Court of Baldwin Coun | ty, |
| Alabama a writ of detinue directed to any Sheriff of the State of Alabama commanding | |
| to take into his possession the following property, to-wit: One (1) 1960 Magnolia Hou Trailer, Serial #M25011445 | se |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Sheriff of Baldwin County, Alabama, on the day of, 19 and executed by him on the <u>11th</u> day of <u>June</u> , 19 <u>65</u> , by taking in | |
| possession the following property, to-wit: | |
| ossession the following property, to-wit: One (1) 1960 Magnolia House Trailer, Serial #M25011445 | |
| | |
| | - |
| | - |
| | |
| And whereas the said <u>Vaud Wilson</u> , Jr., Betty Wilson and Junker Bo Defendant in said writ, has failed and neglected for the space of five days from the execution of said to give bond and take possession of said property as authorized by law. | ovin |
| And whereas the said <u>Vaud Wilson</u> , <u>Jr.</u> , <u>Betty Wilson and Junker Bo</u> Defendant in said writ, has failed and neglected for the space of five days from the execution of said to give bond and take possession of said property as authorized by law. Now if the said Midland-Guardian of Pensacola, Inc., a corporatisi | ovin d wri |
| And whereas the said Vaud Wilson, Jr., Betty Wilson and Junker Bo Defendant in said writ, has failed and neglected for the space of five days from the execution of said to give bond and take possession of said property as authorized by law. Now if the said Midland-Guardian of Pensacola, Inc., a corporation said suit shall deliver the said property to the Defendant within thirty days after judgment and p damages for the detention of the property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherw | ovin d wri |
| And whereas the said Vaud Wilson, Jr., Betty Wilson and Junker Bo Defendant in said writ, has failed and neglected for the space of five days from the execution of said to give bond and take possession of said property as authorized by law. Now if the said Midland-Guardian of Pensacola, Inc., a corporation in said suit shall deliver the said property to the Defendant within thirty days after judgment and p damages for the detention of the property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherw | ovin d wri |
| And whereas the said Vaud Wilson, Jr., Betty Wilson and Junker Bo Defendant in said writ, has failed and neglected for the space of five days from the execution of said to give bond and take possession of said property as authorized by law. Now if the said Midland-Guardian of Pensacola, Inc., a cormonalisi in said suit shall deliver the said property to the Defendant within thirty days after judgment and p damages for the detention of the property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherw remain in full force and effect. MIDLAND GUARDIAN OF, PRASACOLA, INC., | ovin d wri |
| And whereas the said <u>Vaud Wilson</u> , <u>Jr.</u> , <u>Betty Wilson and Junker Bo</u> Defendant in said writ, has failed and neglected for the space of five days from the execution of said to give bond and take possession of said property as authorized by law. Now if the said <u>Midland-Guardian of Pensacola</u> , <u>Inc.</u> , a <u>coraponation</u> in said suit shall deliver the said property to the Defendant within thirty days after judgment and p damages for the detention of the property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherw remain in full force and effect. MIDLAND GUARDIAN OF PHNSACOLA, INC. | ovin d wri |
| And whereas the said <u>Vaud Wilson</u> , <u>Jr.</u> , <u>Bettv Wilson and Junker Bo</u> Defendant in said writ, has failed and neglected for the space of five days from the execution of said to give bond and take possession of said property as authorized by law. Now if the said <u>Midland-Guardian</u> of <u>Pensacola</u> , <u>Inc.</u> , a <u>cormonation</u> in said suit shall deliver the said property to the Defendant within thirty days after judgment and produmages for the detention of the property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwise memain in full force and effect. MIDLAND GUARDIAN OF PHNSACOLA, INC. | ovin d wri |
| And whereas the said Vaud Wilson, Jr., Betty Wilson and Junker Bo Defendant in said writ, has failed and neglected for the space of five days from the execution of said give bond and take possession of said property as authorized by law. Now if the said Midland-Guardian of Pensacola, Inc., a Cormonation said suit shall deliver the said property to the Defendant within thirty days after judgment and produmages for the detention of the property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and in full force and effect. MIDLAND: GUARDIAN OF PRINSACOLA, INC., a Cormonation of Mandana. By: Fidelity and Costs Company of Mandana. (S. Fidelity and Costs Company of Mandana. | ovin d wri |
| And whereas the said Vaud Wilson, Jr., Betty Wilson and Junker Bo Defendant in said writ, has failed and neglected for the space of five days from the execution of said to give bond and take possession of said property as authorized by law. Now if the said Midland-Guardian of Pensacola, Inc., a corposation in said suit shall deliver the said property to the Defendant within thirty days after judgment and produmages for the detention of the property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and in full force and effect. MIDLAND GUARDIAN OF PHASACOLA, INC., and and analysis of the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and analysis of the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and analysis of the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and analysis of the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and analysis of the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and analysis of the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and analysis of the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and analysis of the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and the said property and costs of suit, then this obligation to be void, otherwork and the said property and costs of suit and the said property and the said property and the said prope | ovin d wri |

Power of Attorney

| FIDELITY A | AND DEPOSII | COMPANY FICE: BALTIMORE, MD. | OF MARYLA | ND |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| KNOW ALL MEN By THES: tion of the State of Marylan Assistant Secretary, in pur pany, which reads as follow | E PRESENTS: That the F nd, by D. L. BUCKLI suance of authority gran ws: | IDELITY AND DEPOSI ER , Vice-Pres ated by Article VI, S | ident, and M. A. Section 2, of the By-Lav | KELLY vs of said Com- |
| so to do by the Board of Directory or any one of the Assista in-Fact as the business of the Cobonds, undertakings, recognizant decrees, mortgages and instrume the Company may require, and | nt Secretaries, to appoint Re mpany may require, or to aut ces, stipulations, policies, con ints in the nature of mortgage to affix the seal of the Compa | ommittee, shall have poesident Vice-Presidents, thorize any person or per itracts, agreements, deed es, and also all other instany thereto." | wer, by and with the concu Resident Assistant Secretar sons to execute on behalf of is, and releases and assignm ruments and documents wh | irrence of the Sec- ies and Attorneys- the Company any ents of judgments, ich the business of |
| does hereby nominate, con James Redd, Martha and Louis P. Hebard | S. McCall, Farrell | l Dearinger, Joi | hn A. Hoefflin. E | ddie Bonifav |
| its true and lawful agent as surety, and as its act an not to exceed the s | id deed: any and al | ll bonds and une | dertakings, each | in a penalty |
| | | | _ | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| And the execution of such said Company, as fully and edged by the regularly elect persons. | l amply, to all intents and | d purposes, as if they | had been duly execute | d and acknowl- |
| The said Assistant Secretary said Company, and is now in for | y does hereby certify that the | e aforegoing is a true co | py of Article VI, Section 2, | of the By-Laws of |
| In WITNESS WHERE their names and affixed the | or, the said Vice-Preside Corporate Seal of the s | said Fidelity and I | Deposit Company of M | nto subscribed IARYLAND, this |
| ATTEST: | day of FIDELITY | | OMPANY OF MARY | YLAND |
| (SIGNED) M A | KET.T.Y | T CT |) ד. אוורעז דף | |
| (SEAL) STATE OF MARYLAND CITY OF BALTIMORE M. A. SEAL) SSEAL | 1133 b3 batto Decrebary | Dy | Vice | :-President |
| On this 16th day of Maryland, in and for the City of Secretary of the FIDELITY AND D in and who executed the precedir severally and each for himself de to the preceding instrument is to officers were duly affixed and suf | January, A. f Baltimore, duly commission EPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLA ng instrument, and they each poseth and saith, that they a he Corporate Seal of said Co | acknowledged the execu acknowledged the execu are the said officers of the ompany, and that the sa ant by the authority and | own to be the individuals and tion of the same, and being company aforesaid, and their did Corporate Seal and their direction of the said Corpo | d officers described by me duly sworn, nat the seal affixed signatures as such tration. |
| (SIGNED) | | | FRANK G. MEURER | |
| (SEAL) | CER | Notary Public | Commission Expires | 1ay 3, 1965 |
| r, R D | GILLISS | Assistant Secretar | y of the Fidelity and Dei | POSIT COMPANY OF |
| MARYLAND, do hereby certify tha Chester Hilliard, e is a true and correct copy and the date of this certificate; and I do | tal nat same has been in full force further certify that the said. | e and effect since the da | of Pensacola, Flore te thereof and is in full force BUCKLER | ida and effect on the and |
| m. A. KELLY respectively, were on the date of retary of the FIDELITY AND DEPO was one of the additional Vice-l authorize any person or persons releases of mortgages, contracts, Section 2 of the By-Laws of the | the execution of the attached SIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, Presidents specially authoriz to execute on behalf of the (agreements and policies, and | d Power of Attorney the and that the saided by the Board of Dir Company any bonds, recto affix the seal of the Co | duly elected Vice-President D. L. BUCKLER ectors to appoint any Atto cognizances, stipulations, un | and Assistant Sec- |
| | have hereunto subscribed and day of | | he corporate seal of the sa | did Company, this |
| L1419—Ctf. | | | IN OU | ellen Stant Secretary |

| HOWARD H. JONES, | Ĭ | IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF | |
|------------------|---|-------------------------|--|
| PLAINTIFF | Ĭ | BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA | |
| νs | Ĭ | AT LAW | |
| JULIA BROADDUS, | Ĭ | m / C 0 0 | |
| DEFENDANT | Ŏ | NO. <u>6523</u> | |

1.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of SEVEN HUNDRED SEVENTY-EIGHT DOLIARS (\$778.00), due from her by account on the 15th day of March, 1965, which sum of money, with the interest thereon is still unpaid.

2.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of FIVE HUNDRED FIFTY-EIGHT DOLLARS (\$558.00), due from her for merchandise, goods and chattels sold by the Plaintiff to the Defendant on the 15th day of March, 1965, which sum of money, with the interest thereon is still unpaid.

-3

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of TWO HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$200.00), as rent for fixtures and equipment leased to the Defendant on the premises known as "The Beacon" 218 Fairhope Avenue, Fairhope, Alabama, which sum of money, with the interest thereon is still unpaid.

MAN 19 1965 ME I MUN CLERK REGISTER

WILTERS, BRANTLEY & NESBIT

Attorney for the Plaintiff

Def. no. 7 hope

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

CASE NO.

HOWARD H. JONES,

Plaintiff

the first of the state of the s

JULIA BROADDUS,

Defendant

WILTERS & BRANTLEY
Attorneys at Law
Bay Minette, Alabama

| | Circuit Court, Baldwin County |
|--|--|
| STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY | No |
| | TERM, 19 |
| TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF | ALABAMA: |
| You Are Hereby Commanded to Summon _ | Julia Broaddus |
| | |
| Market Ma | MARKET TO THE PARTY OF THE PART |
| | |
| | |
| | n thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed |
| in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State | e of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against |
| Julia Broaddus | |
| byHowardHJones | |
| | Plaintiff |
| Witness my hand thisd | ay ofMay |
| | aliee J. Duck Clerk |
| | |

E./

FVOL 66 PAGE 93

5-21-65

| (i) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | 1 | |
|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| No. 6523. | Page | | Defendant lives at |
| | OF ALABAMA dwin County | | |
| CIRCU | JIT COURT | | Received In Office |
| Howard | / N. Jones | | may 19 1965 |
| | Plaintiffs | | I have executed this summons |
| Julia | Proadus | dynodawanie i mającie niemo | this May 2/ 1925 by leaving a copy with |
| 1 | Defendants | and the second s | Julia Broadus |
| SUMMONS | AND COMPLAINT | A Land Control of the | |
| Filed | 19 | energie en de la grand de la della grand della grand de la della grand della grand de la della grand d | |
| | 1965 Clerk CLERIG EGISTER | | 10 miles at |
| | | To the control of the | BY DEPUTY SHERIFF |
| | | | |
| Wilters, 2 | Plaintiff's Attorney | bit | Laylor Willam Sheriff |
| | Defendant's Attorney | | Roy Randall Deputy Sheriff |
| | | (a) | F Yype |
| | *** | | |

HOWARD H. JONES,

Plaintiff

VS

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

JULIA BROADDUS,

Defendant

CASE NO. 6523

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the Plaintiff's Complaint and each count thereof separately and severally says:

L

The Complaint does not state the amount of interest due and for aught that appears the interest may be usurious.

2.

The Complaint does not state what merchandise, goods and chattels were sold by the Plaintiff to the Defendant.

3.

The Complaint does not state whether the lease referred to was oral or written for aught that appears the lease referred to in the Bill of Complaint was a written lease, a copy of which is not attached to the Bill of Complaint.

ERNEST M. BAILEY

July 7 188

ALL A SEAL BROOKS

DEMURRER

HOWARD H. JONES,

Plaintiff

VS

JULIA BROADDUS,

Defendant

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW

CASE NO. <u>6523</u>

.

: .

.

i.