E. G. RICKARBY

392 FAIRHOPE AVENUE FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA 36532

November 15, 1965








Mrs. Alice Duck Clerk of the Circuit Court Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Union Oil Company of California, etc., S. R. David Vs:

Our File: 65-232



Please process and oblige. Am also sending clients check for \$25.00 deposit for costs.

Yours very truly,

EGR/jlb Encls. cc: Client Dup.

11-25-65

CODE 205 Telephone: 928-9836

E. G. RICKARBY

35 SOUTH SECTION STREET FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA 36532

December 30, 1965

Mrs. Alice Duck Clerk of the Circuit Court Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Inre: Union Oil Company

Vs: S. R. David
Case No. 6761
Our File: 65-232

Our File: 65-232

Service was perfected on November 27th.

Would you put the papers on Judge Mashburn's desk and have him issue Judgment on an itemized and verified statement of account for \$591.36 principal, and \$16.70 interest, making a total of \$607.06 and send a copy of Judgment down to the Probate Court to be recorded at my expense, and oblige.

Yours very truly,

EGR/jlb

cc: Mr. Jack Sprinkle

cc: Petroleum Collection Agency

1-20-66

STATE OF ALABAMA, COUNTY OF BALDWIN.

CIRCUIT		BALDWIN	COUNTY	,
NO. 67	61			
			TERM,	1965.

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

WITNESS my hand this 16 day of

You are Hereby Commanded to Summon S. R. DAVID to appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against S. R. DAVID, Defendant by UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, a Corporation, as successor to the PURE OIL COMPANY, Plaintiff.

UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, a Corporation, as successor to the PURE OIL COMPANY

Plaintiff,

VS.

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Count I.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant FIVE HUNDRED NINETY ONE AND 36/100 (\$591.36) DOLLARS due from him by account, on, to-wit, the 8th day of July, 1965, which sum of money, with the interest thereon is still unpaid and is the property of the Plaintiff. The account sued on is evidenced by an itemized and verified statement filed herewith.

Count II.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of FIVE HUNDRED NINETY ONE AND 36/100 (\$591.36) DOLLARS due from him for Petroleum products sold by THE PURE OIL COMPANY to the Defendant between the 6th day of November, 1964, and the 8th day of July, 1965, which sum of money with the interest thereon is still unpaid and is the property of the Plaintiff.

E. G. RICKARBY, Attorney for Plaintiff.

Defendant's address is 513 General Gibson Drive, Spanish, Fort, Alabama; Defendant is employed by the Springhill Broadcasting Station.

NOV 16 1985

13 steneral W. 6741 Union Oil Co. TAYLOR WILKINS Sheriff

E. G. Richarly

MOYALY PUBLIC, FRANKLIN COUNTY, OHIO MY COMMISSION EXPIRES SEPT. 28 1969

STATEMENT

PURE OIL COMPANY, A DIVISION OF UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA

	OUTON OTT	OMPANY -	OF CALIFORNIA	
	S. R. David			
	513 General Gi	bson Drive	9	
·	Spanish Fort,	Alabama		
		Service	Station Accou	
DATE	ITEM	CHARGES	11	T
11-6-64	Potroloum Products 100.32 S.C. 5.73	106 05		BALANCE
12-7-64	106.11 7.32			
1-7-65	44.88 3.29			╫-┼-┼-┼-
2-4-65	87.62 4.01			
3-9-65	107.65 5.38			
4-7-65	53.99 7.08	61.07		
5-6-65	20.73 8.00	28 73		
5-8-65		9.75		
7-8-65		19.50		
		1 12120		591.36
STATE OF OF	ITO) GG			+++
COUNTY OF F	- 1			+++
ublic who	personally appeared deposes and says on	before the	undersigned	Notant
anager of	Puno Osla da Lina III	papir onat	HIMP IS COLLAR	71100
nd unoaid	and that	accomit TS	Just, Itme	dial
iscount or	and that no part of otherwise, except as	same has	been paid by	offset,
	-	PULE OT	LCO A DIVI	de la
this lit	and sworn to before	ON TON O	II. CO. OF CAL	IFORN LA
			1	
1.11.00	and for		1130cl	
	The same of the sa	Coll	ection Manage	Jr

UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, A Corporation, as	Ŏ	
Successor to the PURE OIL COMPANY,	Ŏ	
Plaintiff,	Q	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
VS.	Q	DAT DUITE COURSE
S. R. DAVID,		BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,
Defendant.	Ŏ	AT LAW.
SPRINGHILL BROADCASTING	X	
COMPANY, INC., A Corporation,	Q	CAST NO GEOM
Garnishee.	Ø	CASE NO. 6761%

Comes the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and shows to the Court that the Garnishee in this case has failed to answer said Garnishment in accordance with the orders of this Honorable Court;

Wherefore, Planntiff moves for a Judgment Nisi against the Garnishee.

Attorney for Plaintiff.

1967
UNN 18 1967
UNN REGISTER

Mailing Address P.O. BOX 471

CODE 205 Telephone: 928-9336

E. G. RICKARBY

35 SOUTH SECTION STREET FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA 36532

January 5, 1967

Mrs. Alice Duck Clerk of the Circuit Court Bay Minette, Alabama 36507

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Inre: The Pure Oil Company

Vs: S. R. David

File No. 65-232

With this we are handing you motion for a Judgment Nisi and Decree of Court granting Judgment Nisi.

The garnishee is the Springhill Broadcasting Company, I think a corporation, incorporated in Springhill, Louisiana, with offices in Mobile.

In any event, when they ran this garnishment, the Sheriff's report shows that Springhill Broadcasting Company was served by service on Mr. S. R. David, the defendant in the garnishment proceedings, who I understand is the local manager of the Springhill Broadcasting Company in Alabama.

I would appreciate it, if, in addition to having the notice served on the garnishee in Mobile, that you mail an extra copy of this Writ, together with an extra opy of this letter, to the Springhill Broadcasting Company in Springhill, Louisiana, so that they can arrange to have this taken care of, and probably we won't have to go ahead and take the Judgment against the garnishee.

Yours very truly,

EGR/jlb

Encl.

cc: Petroleum Collection Agency 2-6-67

Dorres 67

Our File No. _____

Law Offices

E. G. RICKARBY

35 SOUTH SECTION STREET FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA 36532

CODE 205 Telephone: 928-9836

Mailing Address P. O. BOX 471

May 24, 1968

Mrs. Alice Duck Clerk of the Circuit Court Bay Minette, Alabama 36507

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Inre: Union Oil Co. (Pure Oil) vs. S.R.David Court Case No. 6761 1/2

Here is the corrected copy of the Decree. Thanks for calling my attention to it.

Yours very truly,

EGR/jlb

Encl.

6-5-68

UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, X A Corporation, as Successor to the PUREOIL COMPANY, X Plaintiff, X IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF I VS. BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA, X S. R. DAVID, AT LAW. X Defendant, CASE NO. 67611/2 SPRINGHILL BROADCASTING COMPANY, χ INC., a Corporation, X Garnishee. X

FINAL JUDGMENT AGAINST GARNISHEE AFTER JUDGMENT NISI (Code Section 7, 1019)

This day came the Plaintiff and by attorneys and it appearing to the Court that on the 3rd day of January, 1966, in the above stated cause, the Plaintiff recovered a judgment against the defendant for the sum of SIX HUNDRED SEVEN AND 06/100 (\$607.06) DOLLARS, besides the sum of TWENTY AND 90/100 (\$20.90) DOLLARS, costs of suit; and it further appearing to the Court that a writ of garnishment was duly issued and served upon the garnishee, and said garnishee having failed to file its answer as required by law, a judgment was rendered on the 13th day of January, 1967, in favor of the Plaintiff and against the garnishee for the sum of THREE HUNDRED EIGHTY-SEVEN AND 96/100 (\$387.96) DOLLARS, and the sum of TWENTY AND 90/100 (\$20.90) DOLLARS, costs of original suit, as also the cost of this garnishment; and it further appearing to the Court that a copy of said judgment nisi was served upon said garnishee on January 17, 1967, as required by law requiring said garnishee to appear and show cause why said judgment should not be made final and absolute, and said garnishee being called, came not but made default; and on motion of the plaintiff, after hearing the evidence, the Court being of the opinion, it is considered and ordered by the Court and it is the judgment of the Ocurt, that said judgment be and the same is hereby made final and absolute in favor of the Plaintiff and against the garnishee in the sum of THREE HUNDRED EIGHTY-SEVEN AND 96/100 (\$387.96) DOLLARS.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that the Plaintiffs have and recover of the garnishee the sum of THREE HUNDRED

Page 2, Two Pages, Union Oil Co. vs. S.R. David.

EIGHTY-SEVEN AND 96/100 (\$387.96) DOLLARS, together with the costs in this behalf expended, and for all of which execution may issue.

DONE THIS THE 27 day of man, 1968.

TELFAIR J. MASHBURN, Circuit Judge.

MAY 2 7 1968

ALIGE J. BUCK CLERK REOKYRA

UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, A Corporation, a	Š	
Successor to the PURE OIL	as Q	The matter of the control of
COMPANY,	Q	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Plaintiff,	Ŏ	
VS.	Ŏ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,
S. R. DAVID,	Ŏ	
Defendant,	Ŏ	AT LAW.
SPRINGHILL BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC., A Corporation		67611/2
Garnishee.	Q	

AFFIDAVIT FOR GARNISHMENT

Personally appeared before me, the undersigned Notary Public, in and for said County, in said State, E. G. RICKARBY, who being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above mentioned cause and that the Plaintiff, UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, A Corporation, as Successor to the PURE OIL COMPANY, on the 3rd day of January, 1966, recovered a Judgment against S. R. DAVID for the sum of SIX HUNDRED SEVEN AND 06/100 (\$607.06) DOLLARS and the further sum of TWENTY AND 90/100 (\$20.90) DOLLARS for suit and that he believes the process of garnishment is necessary to obtain satisfaction of said Judgment; and that the SPRINGHILL BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC., A Corporation, has, or is believed to have in its possession or under its control, money or effects belonging to the Defendant or that the SPRINGHILL BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC., A Corporation, is believed to be indebted to the Defendant or to be liable to him on a contract for the delivery of personal property or on a contract for the payment of money which may be discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property.

Affiant further states that at the present time, the Judg-ment and costs have been reduced to THREE HUNDRED EIGHTY-SEVEN AND 96/100 (\$387.96) DOLLARS.

E. G. RICKARBY, Affiant.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this the 3/2 day of

Notary Molic, Baldwin County,

254

UNION OIL COMPANY OF	Q	
CALIFORNIA, A Corporation, a Successor to the PURE OIL	Q	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
COMPANY,	Q	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Plaintiff,	Ŏ	
VS.	Ŏ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,
S. R. DAVID,	ð	
Defendant.	x x	AT LAW.
SPRINGHILL BROADCASTING	Ų.	
COMPANY, INC., A Corporation	, ≬	
Garnishee.	Q	CASE NO. 6761%

NOTICE OF JUDGMENT NISI (Code 1940, Tit.7, Sec. 1019)

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA, GREETINGS:

WHEREAS, on the /3 day of ________, 19_67, in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, the following order was made and judgment entered, to-wit, came the Plaintiff by Attorney, and the Garnishee having been duly served by a Writ of Garnishment on the II day of November, 1966, and having failed to file his Answer as required by law and it appearing to the Court that the Garnishee has failed to file his Answer to said Writ of Garnishment, as required by law, and that on, to-wit, the 3rd day of January, 1967, the Plaintiff recovered a judgment against the Defendant for the sum of SIX HUNDRED SEVEN AND 06/100 (\$607.06) DOLLARS, Plus TWENTY AND 90/100 (\$20.90) DOLLARS, Costs of suit;

IT IS, THEREFORE, considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the Garnishee the sum of THREE HUNDRED EIGHTY SEVEN AND 96/100 (\$387.96) DOLLARS, being the balance of the interest and costs of the original suit, now unpaid, and also the costs of this garnishment, unless at the present time of this Court, and within thirty (30) days after service of the rendition of this Judgment, the Garnishee appears and shows cause why this Judgment should not be made final and absolute, and a copy of this order be served upon the said Garnishee, as required by law.

There are, therefore, to command you, and make you make known the premises aforesaid to the said SPRINGHILL BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC., A Corporation, that it be and appear at the present time of said Court, at the place of holding the same, and within thirty days after service of the rendition of said judgment to show cause why said judgment should not be made final and absolute against SPRINGHILL BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC., A Corporation, and have you then and there this Writ with your endorsement thereon.

DONE THIS THE 13 day of fare, 1967.

ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk of the Circuit

EX-1-17-67

File No. 65-232

RECEIVED

JAN 13 1967

JAYLOR WILKINS

apon acono aple ante Calinera ataus Allering | Com y service on Recovered 213 CH

92230

and 5849 4 mv. 6761/2 Union Oil Company of California. Acorp

15 S. R. David

Springhill Breadcasting Co. 1 Farnisher my Daniel mg

18

奶,搬车加

The State of Alabama,	CIRCUIT COURT, BALDWIN COUNTY
Baldwin County	TERM, 19
To any Sheriff of the State	of Alabama, Greeting:
WHEREAS, at a regular	Term,19, of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County,
to-wit: On the3rdday of	January , 19 66 , being a regular day of
said term, UNION OIL COMPANY (OF CALIFORNIA, A Corporation, as Suc-
cessor to the PURE OIL COM	IPANY
recovered judgment against S. R.	DAVID
for the sum of SIX HUNDRED SEVE (Judgment reduced to THRES and affidavit having been made by E. C	
that process of garnishment is believed to b following named persons or corporations,	e necessary to obtain satisfaction of such Judgment, and that the
And the second s	MPANY, INC., a Corporation.
BERTMATTITI BROADCASTING CC	MPANY, INC., a Corporation,
Type	
has or is believed to have inits	possession, or underitscontrol money
Wind	R. DAVID or that it is, or
You Are Therefore Hereby Con	erty, or which is payable in personal property. unmanded to Summon
	e of the Circuit Court for Baldwin County, at the Court House Colombia in Classic A. D. 19,
then and there within the three first days o	f the term, to answer on oath, whether at the time of the service g_itsanswer, or at any time intervening the time of serv-
ing the garnishment, and making the answ	eritwas indebted to said defendant
SRDAVID, and whether it	will not be indebted in future to said defendant
is, or are, liable to said defendants for the o	ting, and whether by a contract then existing Garnishee delivery of personal property, or for the payment of money which had property, or which is payable in personal property, and
whetherithas not ini	tspossession or underitscontrol money or
	S. R. DAVID
Herein fail not, and have you then a	and there this Writ.
Witness, ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk of said C	Court, this 2 day of 722 , A.D., 196
Issued 2 day of 722-	ATTEST:
	Clerk.
Garnishee's address is 118	N. Royal Street, Mobile, Alabama.

255

STATE	of	ALAE	BAMA

Baldwin County

6V-11-3.66

TO S.R. David Defendant Defendant
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a Writ of Garnishment has been issued in the case of
Union Oil Company of California, a Corp. as Successor of Pure, Plaintiff
versus S.R. David Defendant
now pending in the Circuit-Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, Law Side, in which
Springhill Broadcasting Company, Inc., a Corp.
ha been named as Garnishee
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal on this the
2nd day of November, 19.66.
Clerk of the Circuit Court.
Clerk of the Circuit Court.

256

courses to the line of e & a deliment of the second of the MAY D. STINGS, THE 7.30 cc. 2 Color of the Septice on Received. and on-

w		<u>686</u>
Cirguit C	ourt, Baldwin	County
No	6161/2	
	o Willia G	
	R. Varrel	94.94 *
Issued	day of	19
	day of	19
		8
9	AN SE B DE MAN	Attornev

Printed by Moore Ptg. Co.

RECEIVED

NOV 2 1966

Beceived 3 Est of Marked 12 be on the on 2 mark to the service on the same of the service on the same of the service of the se

N	0	T	ğ	C	F
1.4	$\mathbf{\mathcal{L}}$	ĸ	2	•	منا

TO DEFENDANT OF GARNISHMENT

BY

CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

TO

S.R. DAVID

UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA,
A Corp., as Successor of the Pure
Oil Company

Plaintiff.....

VS.

S.R. DAVID

Defendant.....

Springhill Broadcasting Co., Garnishee

E.G. Rickarby, Atty.

Law Offices

Our File No. 65-232

Your File No.

E. G. RICKARBY

25 SOUTH SECTION STREET FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA 36522 Code 205. Telephone: 928-9836

Mailing Address P. O. BOX 471

October 27, 1969

Mrs. Alice Duck Clerk of the Circuit Court Bay Minette, Alabama 36507

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Inre: Union Oil Company of California versus S. R. David Case No. 6761

With this I am sending you \$9.40 costs in this matter. You stated that the costs in the original suit were \$24.40 and in the garnishment, \$12.00. On November 16, 1965, you issued receipt no. 5425 for \$25.00 deposit for costs.

Hope you find this alright. Please recall execution and mark judgment, "Satisfied".

Yours very truly,