G. H. JACKSON,

Plaintiff,

VS.

COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, ET AL,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW NO. 6453

#### MOTION

Now comes the defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company,
Inc., and shows unto the court and your Honor that it has meritorious
defense to this action, which said defense consists of the fact
that the driver of the vehicle alleged to be negligent at the time
and place of the action complained of in this cause was not an
agent, servant or employee of Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc.,
acting within the line and scope of his authority as such agent,
servant or employee.

Wherefore, the defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., moves the court to set aside the judgment by default hereto-fore entered on February 17, 1966. This motion is filed simultaneously with a motion to set aside judgment by default in this cause.

INGE, TWITTY, DUFFY & PRINCE and JAMES R. OWEN

Attorneys for Defendant,
Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc.

STATE OF ALABAMA )

\*\*
BALDWIN COUNTY )

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared James R. Owen, who first being duly and legally sworn deposes and says: That he is one of the attorneys for Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc.; that he has read over the foregoing motion and that the facts stated therein are true.

Sworn to and subscribed before me on this the A day of March, 1966.

Notary Public, Baldwin County, Alabama

TILE D

MAR 8 1966

ALGE LEGISTER

G. H. JACKSON,

Plaintiff.

VS.

COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, ET AL,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW NO. 6453

MOTION TO SET ASIDE JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT

Now comes the defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., and shows unto the court and your Honor as follows:

- l. That heretofore on to-wit, October 28, 1965, this
  Honorable Court sustained a motion to strike as to clauses designated
  as B, C and E of the plaintiff's complaint and the demurrer of
  each defendant in this cause was sustained.
- 2. On November 24, 1965, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint in said cause.
- On November 30, 1965, the docket sheet shows that in this cause a motion was filed, however, the original motion is not in the court file in this case and cannot be located by the attorneys representing the defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc. said defendant alleges that Thomas E. Twitty, one of the attorneys representing the said defendant, mailed to Alice J. Duck on November 29, 1965, a motion of defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., to strike certain portions of the complaint and demurrer to complaint as last amended and the said defendant alleges that this is the instrument which is shown on the docket sheet to be filed on November 30, 1965. A copy of the letter from the said T. E. Twitty to Mrs. Alice Duck, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County dated November 29, 1965, is attached hereto marked Exhibit "A" and made a part of this motion as if set out fully herein. A copy of motion of defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., to strike certain portions of the complaint and demurrer to complaint as last amended and which was mailed to the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, on November 29, 1965, is attached hereto marked Exhibit "B" and made a part hereof as though fully incorporated herein.

- 4. That this court has never made any ruling on the motion of defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., to strike certain portions of the complaint and neither has the court made any ruling on the said demurrer to the complaint as last amended, which said motion and demurrer was filed in this court on November 30, 1965.
- That on to-wit, June 30, 1965, the plaintiff propounded certain interrogatories to the defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc.; that the said defendant answered the said interrogatories and the said plaintiff on to-wit, October 8, 1965, filed a motion with this court for a judgment by default on the grounds that the said defendant had failed and refused to answer interrogatories 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13. The defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., alleges that this Honorable Court has never made any ruling on whether or not the said defendant should answer the said interrogatories.

WHEREFORE, the defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., moves the court to set aside the said judgment by default heretofore entered on February 17, 1966.

INGE, TWITTY, DUFFY & PRINCE and JAMES R. OWEN

ES H. UWDIN

Attorneys for Defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc.,

STATE OF ALABAMA ) MOBILE COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Thomas E. Twitty, who first being duly and legally sworn, deposes and says: That he is one of the attorney's for Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc.; that he has read over the foregoing motion and that the facts stated therein are true.

Sworn to and subscribed before me on this the // day of March, 1966.

Mobile County, Alabama

379

EXHIBIT "A" November 29, 1965 Mrs. Alice Duck, Clerk Circuit Court, Baldwin County Eay Minette, Alabama Dear Mrs. Duck: Re: C. H. Jackson vs. A mstrong Equipment Co. No. 6458 I am enclosing a motion to strike and a demurrer to the complaint as last amended, which I request that you please file in behalf of the defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc. About a month ago, we argued a demurrer to the complaint and also argued a motion of the plaintiff to compet the defendant armstron; Equipment Company to answer certain interrogatories. We argued that this defendant should not be required to answer Interrogatories Nos. 11, 12 and 13 because the cases hold that a defendant will not be compelled to disclose the names and addresses of his witnesses, and in support of this we cited Ex Parto Noien, 223 Ala. 213, 135 So. 337, and Montgomery Light & Railroad Co. vs. Harris, 197 Alz. 358, 72 So. 619. We also cited Sibley vs. Eutchison, 218 Ala. 440, 118 So. 638, and Section 482 of Title 7 of the Code for the proposition that interrogatories calling for hearsay testimony need not be answered. Judge Mashburn sustained our demorrer to the complaint and the plaintiff has recently amended the complaint. Judge Mashburn at the recent hearing took under submission the question of whether or not this defendant should be required to answer any of the interrogatories referred to above, and we presume that he has not yet had an opportunity to study the matter further and rule on it as we have not received a copy of any order on the plaintiff's said motion. With kindest regards, Cordinity, T. E. TWITTY. P. S. While in court on the previous hearing, I inadvertently picked up and brought back with me our demurrer to the amendedomplaint which was filed with you on the 21st day of Sept., 1965. I am returning it to you berewith. encl.

C. E. JACKSON,	
Plaintiff	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, ET AL.	AT LAW
	NO. 6458

MOTION OF DEFENDANT ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC., TO STRIKE CERTAIN PORTIONS OF THE COMPLAINT.

Comes now the defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., separately and severally, leave of Court first had and obtained, and moves to strike from Count Four of the Complaint as last amended the following allegations pertaining to alleged damages to the plaintiff, i. e.: "and plaintiff's business suffered a loss", and for separate and several grounds of motion in support of the foregoing motion to strike, this defendant accigns the following:

- 1. The mid item of damage is not a proper element of recoverable damages under mid count.
- 2. The plaintiff cannot recover herein for alleged loss suffered by the plaintiff's business as alleged in said count.
- 3. The alleged loss of business suffered by the plaintiff is a mere espelusion of the pleader.
- 4. The alleged loss of business is remote and speculative and is not the natural result of the alleged breach of duty in said count.

# DEMORRER TO COMPLAINT AS LAST AMENDED

Comes now the defections Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., a composition, separately and severally, and without waiving its fore-going Motion to Strike Certain Portions of the Complaint as last amended (but expressly insisting thereon), and demurs to the complaint therein as

inglamented, and to each count thereof separately and severally, and for grounds of demorrer re-flee and re-assigns each of the separate and several grounds of demorrer heretofore filed and assigned to the complaint by this defendant, and assigns the following separate and several additional grounds of democres, i.e.:

- 8. The allogation therein that an "automobile truck, tractor and trailer bearing the name, Complete Auto Transit Company, Dora-ville, Georgia, and operated by its agent, servent or employee, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., an Alabama corporation whose address is 4601 First Avenue, North, Birmingham, Alabama, in his capacity as such, at the request of Complete Auto Transit Company, said corporation acting in the line and scope of its employment" are more combinations of the pleader and do not sufficiently allege that the gald tractor and trailer at the time and place of the matters complained of was operated by an agent or servent or employee of this defendant white acting within the time and scope of his employment as such.
- 10. The words appearing therein "in his capacity as such" are more constitutions of the pleader and are not sufficient to charge that any agent, nervent or employee of this defendant, while acting within the line and scope of his employment was driving or operating the said truck, tractor and trailer at the time and place complained of.
- 11. It is not negligence as a matter of law to operate a vehicle without brakes.
- 12. For aught appearing therefrom, neither this defendant nor any agent, servant or employee of this defendant, while acting within the line and scope of his employment, was guilty of any breach of duty to the plaintiff with respect to operating said vehicle at the time and phase complained of in said count.
- 18. The allegation in said count pertaining to causal connection between the alleged breach of duty and the alleged injuries and damages

do not sufficiently charge that the alleged injuries and damages were a proximate result or consequence of the alleged breach of duty therein.

- 14. For aught appearing therefrom, the said truck trailer was not being operated by an agent, servant or employee of this defendant at the time and place of the matters complained of.
- 15. The allegation therein that an agent, servant or employee of this defendant "withily or wantonly damaged plaintiff's property, to-wit, said building, by running into said building with a truck, tractor and trailer" does not sufficiently allege that the said agent, servant or employee at said time and place was driving or operating the said truck, tractor and trailer.

/s/ THOMAS E. TWITTY
INGE, TWITTY, DUFFY & PRINCE

Attorneys for defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc.

G. H. JACKSON,

Plaintiff,

VS.

COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, ET AL,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW NO. 6453

## DEMURRER TO AMENDED TO COMPLAINT

Now comes the defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., a corporation, separately and severally, and demurs to the complaint therein, as amended, and to each count thereof, separately and severally, on the following separate and several grounds:

- l. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to constitute a cause of action against this defendant.
- 2. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show the existence of any legal duty owing by this defendant to the plaintiff with respect to the matters alleged therein.
- 3. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show any breach of any legal duty owing by this defendant to the plaintiff with respect to matters alleged therein.
- 4. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show a sufficient causal connection between the alleged breach of duty of this defendant and the alleged injuries and damages.
- 5. It does not sufficiently appear therefrom that the driver of the said automobile truck tractor and trailer which allegedly did the damage complained of therein was at the time and place of the matters referred to therein an agent, servant or employee of this defendant and was acting within the line and scope of his employment by this defendant as such.
- 6. There does not sufficiently appear therefrom that the alleged injuries and damages proximately resulted from the alleged breach of duty of this defendant or the alleged breach of duty of an agent, servant or employee of this defendant while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such.
- 7. There is a misjoinder of causes of action in this same count.

- 8. There is a misjoinder of parties defendant.
- 9. The allegations therein that an "automobile truck, tractor and trailer bearing the name, Complete Auto Transit Company, Doraville, Georgia, and operated by its agent, servant or employee, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., an Alabama corporation whose address is 4601 First Avenue, North, Birmingham, Alabama, in his capacity as such, at the request of Complete Auto Transit Company, said corporation acting in the line and scope of its employment" are mere conclusions of the pleader and do not sufficiently allege that the said tractor and trailer at the time and place of the matters complained of was operated by an agent or servant or employee of this defendant while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such.
- are mere conclusions of the pleader and are not sufficient to charge that any agent, servant or employee of this defendant, while acting within the line and scope of his employment was driving or operating the said truck, tractor and trailer at the time and place complained of.
- ll. It is not negligence as a matter of law to operate a vehicle without brakes.
- 12. For aught appearing therefrom, neither this defendant nor any agent, servant or employee of this defendant, while acting within the line and scope of his employment, was guilty of any breach of duty to the plaintiff with respect to operating said vehicle at the time and place complained of in said count.
- 13. The allegation in said count pertaining to causal connection between the alleged breach of duty and the alleged injuries and damages do not sufficiently charge that the alleged injuries and damages were a proximate result or consequence of the alleged breach of duty therein.
- 14. For aught appearing therefrom, the said truck trailer was not being operated by an agent, servant or employee of this defendant at the time and place of the matters complained of.

employee of this defendant "wilfully or wantonly damaged plaintiff's property, to-wit, said building, by running into said building with a truck, tractor and trailer" does not sufficiently allege that the said agent, servant or employee at said time and place was driving or operating the said truck, tractor and trailer.

INGE, TWITTY, DUFFY & PRINCE and JAMES R. OWEN

Attorneys for defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc.



#### AMENDED COMPLAINT

G. H. JACKSON	χ	
Plaintiff	χ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
VS	χ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, a non-resident corporation also known as COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT COMPANY and ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC., Birmingham, Alabama, and JOHN DOE, alias GENE PARAVICINI, as agent, servant or employee of said corporation		AT LAW NO. 6453
Defendants	χ	

Comes your plaintiff and amends his complaint as last amended in said cause to read as follows:

-1-

Plaintiff claims of defendants, Armstrong Equipment Company, a corporation and John Doe, alias Gene Paravicini, its agent, servant or employee, jointly and severally, Nine Thousand (\$9,000.00) Dollars as damages for that heretofore on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. the 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of an ice cream or dairy bar and sandwich drive-in business located on plaintiff's property fifty feet from highway R/O/W on the West side of U. S. Highway No. 31 near the intersection of the Perdido road about 12 miles from Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, at which time and place an automobile truck tractor and trailer bearing the name, Complete Auto Transit Company, Doraville, Georgia, and operated by John Doe, alias Gene Paravicini, agent, servant or employee of Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., an Alabama corporation whose address is 4601 First Avenue, North, Birmingham, Alakama, in its capacity as such, at the request of Complete Auto Transit Company, said corporation acting in the line and scope of its employment, while operating the said automobile truck tractor and trailer of Complete Auto Transit Company, negligently operated said vehicle without brakes and as a proximate consequence thereof, crashed said truck tractor and trailer into the building structure of the said plaintiff noted herein, which building was greatly damaged, and as a proximate consequence thereof, said plaintiff was put to great expense for removing the said truck tractor and trailer

from its collision position within and without the said building; damaging said building greatly in that the walls, roof and supporting structures were damaged, hence this suit.

-2-

Plaintiff claims of defendants, Armstrong Equipment Company, a corporation, and John Doe, alias Gene Paravicini, its agent, servant or employee, jointly and severally, the sum of Nine Thousand Dollars (\$9,000.00) for that plaintiff avers that, on to-wit, about 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of a building housing a milk or dairy bar and sandwich shop drive-in, situate on his individually owned real property fifty feet from the highway R/O/W on the West side of Highway 31 near the intersection of the Perdido paved road turn-off, and about 12 miles from the City of Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, and on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, at the request of Complete Auto Transit Company, defendant Armstrong Equipment Company through John Doe, alias Gene Paravicini, its agent, servant or employee, while acting within the line and scope of his employment, with reckless disregard to consequence, being conscious at that time that his conduct in so doing would probably result in disaster, wilfully or wantonly damaged plaintiff's property, to-wit, said building by wilfully and wantonly running a truck tractor and trailer into said building and as the proximate result and consequence of said wilful or wanton conduct, plaintiff's building was severely damaged and for all of which he claims damages in the sum aforesaid.

I hereby certify that I have this day forwarded U. S. Postage prepaid a copy of the foregoing complaint as last amended to Honorable John Chason, Attorney for Complete Auto Transit Company and to Honorable James R. Owen, attorney for Armstrong Equipment Company at their proper addresses.

Attorney

FILED

MAY 7 1986

AME A DUCK, GLERK

384

for plaint;

G. H. JACKSON,

Plaintiff,

VS.

COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, ET AL,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW NO. 6453

DEMURRER TO COMPLAINT AS LAST AMENDED

Now comes the defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company,
Inc., a corporation, and demurs to the complaint as last amended
and to each count thereof, separately and severally, on the following separate and several grounds:

- 1. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to constitute a cause of action against this defendant.
- 2. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show the existence of any legal duty owing by this defendant to the plaintiff with respect to the matters alleged therein.
- 3. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show any breach of any legal duty owing by this defendant to the plaintiff with respect to matters alleged therein.
- 4. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show a sufficient causal connection between the alleged breach of duty of this defendant and the alleged injuries and damages.
- 5. It does not sufficiently appear therefrom that the driver of the said automobile truck tractor and trailer which allegedly did the damage complained of therein was at the time and place of the matters referred to therein an agent, servant or employee of this defendant and was acting within the line and scope of his employment by this defendant as such.
- 6. There does not sufficiently appear therefrom that the alleged injuries and damages proximately resulted from the alleged breach of duty of this defendant or the alleged breach of duty of an agent, servant or employee of this defendant while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such.
- 7. There is a misjoinder of causes of action in this same count.

- 8. There is a misjoinder of parties defendant.
- 9. The allegations therein that an "automobile truck, tractor and trailer bearing the name, Complete Auto Transit Company, Doraville, Georgia, and operated by John Doe, alias Gene Paravicini, agent, servant or employee of Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., an Alabama corporation, whose address is 4601 First Avenue, North, Birmingham, Alabama, in its capacity as such, at the request of Complete Auto Transit Company, said corporation acting in the line and scope of its employment" are mere conclusions of the pleader and do not sufficiently allege that the said tractor and trailer at the time and place of the matters complained of was operated by an agent or servant or employee of this defendant while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such.
- are mere conclusions of the pleader and are not sufficient to charge that any agent, servant or employee of this defendant, while acting within the line and scope of his employment was driving or operating the said truck, tractor and trailer at the time and place complained of.
- ll. It is not negligence as a matter of law to operate a vehicle without brakes.
- 12. For aught appearing therefrom, neither this defendant nor any agent, servant or employee of this defendant, while acting within the line and scope of his employment, was guilty of any breach of duty to the plaintiff with respect to operating said vehicle at the time and place complained of in said count.
- 13. The allegation in said count pertaining to causal connection between the alleged breach of duty and the alleged injuries and damages do not sufficiently charge that the alleged injuries and damages were a proximate result or consequence of the alleged breach of duty therein.
- 14. For aught appearing therefrom, the said truck trailer was not being operated by an agent, servant or employee of this defendant at the time and place of the matters complained of.

- employee of this defendant "wilfully or wantonly damaged plaintiff's property, to-wit, said building, by wilfully and wantonly running a truck tractor and trailer into said building" does not sufficiently allege that the said agent, servant or employee of this defendant at said time and place was driving or operating the said truck tractor and trailer.
- 16. The said count does not allege how the plaintiff's said property was damaged.
- 17. The alleged damages suffered by the plaintiff is not set forth with sufficient certainty as to inform this defendant as to what it is to defend against.

By\_

INGE, TWITTY, DUFFY & PRINCE and JAMES R. OWEN

Attorneys for defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., a corporation.

FILED

1966 C. 1966

ALLE I DICK CLERK REGISTER

#### AMENDED COMPLAINT

G. H. JACKSON	χ				
Plaintiff	<b>Y</b>	IN :	PHE	CIRCUIT (	COURT OF
vs	χ	BALI	OWIN	COUNTY,	ALABAMA
COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, a non-resident corporation also	χ	AT I	LAW	NO.	6453
known as COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT COMPANY and ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT	χ				
COMPANY, INC., Birmingham, Alabama, and JOHN DOE, alias GENE PARAVICINI, as agent, servant or	χ				
employee of said corporation	χ		Aleganin /	emmergetite the specific energy and presents	
Defiendants	χ				

Comes your plaintiff and amends his complaint as last amended in said cause to read as follows:

-3-

Plaintiff claims of defendants, Armstrong Equipment Company, a corporation and John Doe, alias Gene Paravicini, its agent, servant or employee, jointly and severally, Nine Thousand (\$9,000.00) Dollars as damages for that heretofore on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. the 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of an ice cream or dairy bar and sandwich drive-in business located on plaintiff's property fifty feet from highway R/O/W on the West side of U. S. Highway No. 31 near the intersection of the Perdido road about 12 miles from Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, at which time and place an automobile truck tractor and trailer bearing the name, Complete Auto Transit Company, Doraville, Georgia, and operated by John Doe alias Gene Paravicini, an agent, servant or employee of Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., an Alabama corporation, whose address is 4601 First Avenue, North, Birmingham, Alabama, which in its capacity as such agent, servant or employee, at the request of Complete. Auto Transit Company, said Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., acting in the line and scope of its employment under a garage repair employment with Complete Auto Transit Company, through the said agent, servant or employee of Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., while acting in the line and scope of his employment operating the said automobile truck tractor and trailer of

Complete Auto Transit Company, said Gene Paravicini alias John Doe, negligently operated said vehicle without brakes and as a proximate consequence of said megligence, crashed said truck tractor and trailer into the building structure of the said plaintiff noted herein, which building was greatly damaged as a proximate consequence thereof, said plaintiff was put to great expense for moving the said truck tractor and trailer from its collision position from within and without said building and said collision damaged said building greatly in that the masonry walls were broken and damaged; the roof was shaken and caused to leak and supporting timbers were broken and made useless and the building was generally damaged, hence this suit.

-2-

Plaintiff claims of defendants, Armstrong Equipment Company, a corporation, and John Doe, alias Gene Paravicini, its agent, servant or employee, jointly and severally, the sum of Nine Thousand Dollars (\$9,000.00) for that plaintiff avers that, on to-wit, about 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of a building housing a milk or dainy bar and sandwich shop drive-in, situate on his individually owned real property fifty feet from the highway R/O/W on the West side of Highway 31 near the intersection of the Perdido paved road turn-off, and about 12 miles from the City of Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, and on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, at the request of Complete Auto Transit Company and under a garage repair employment, defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., through John Doe, alias Gene Paravicini, its agent, servant or employee, while acting within the line and scope of his employment, with reckless disregard to consequence, being conscious at that time that his conduct in so doing would probably result in disaster, wilfully or wantonly damaged plaintiff's property, to-wit, said building by wilfully and wantonly running a truck tractor and trailer into said building and as the proximate result and consequence of said wilful or wanton conduct, plaintiff's building was greatly damaged in that the masonry walls were broken and damaged; the roof was shaken and caused to leak and supporting timbers were broken

s -

and made useless and the building was generally damaged, and for all of which he claims damages in the sum aforesaid.

Actorney for plaintiff

I hereby certify that I have this forwarded U. S.

Postage prepaid a copy of the foregoing amended complaint
to Honorable John Chason, attorney for Complete Auto Transit
Company and to Honorable James R. Owen, attorney for Armstrong
Equipment Company at their proper addresses.

Attorney for plaintiff

C. II. JACKSON,	Section of the sectio	
Plaintif		IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
To.	X ST	OF BALDWIN COUNTY,
COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, a corporation, also known as COMPLETE		ALABAMA.
AUTU TRANSPORT COMPANY, and ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY.		AT LAW NO. 6453
DVC., a corporation,	1	
Defendants		errore en

MOTION BY DEFENDANT ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC. TO STRIKE CERTAIN PORTIONS OF THE COMPLAINT.

Comes now Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., a corporation, separately and severally, and moves to strike certain portions of each Count of the Complaint, said portions being stated separately and severally as follows:

- (a) The allegation that "said plaintiff was put to great expense for removing the said truck, tractor and trailer from its collision position within and without the said building".
- (b)" For wages to said plaintiff's employees who are unable to perform their services due to the damaged condition of said building."
- (c) "For loss of income to said business while said building is being repaired."
- (d) "And to such additional expense as the said damage has incurred upon your plaintiff."
  - (e) "And plaintiff's business suffered a loss" occurring in Count Two.

And for separate and several grounds of motion in support of the foregoing Motion to Strike each of the foregoing separate and several portions of each of said Counts, this defendant assigns the following:

1. The said item of damage is not a proper element of recoverable

damage under said Count.

- 2. The plaintiff in said Count cannot recover for any expense or pecuniary loss of the type described in such language.
- 3. The plaintiff cannot recover for any expense for removing the said truck, tractor and trailer from its alleged collision position.
- 4. The plaintiff cannot recover for wages to plaintiff's employees who were allegedly unable to perform their services due to the damaged condition of said building.
- 5. The plaintiff cannot recover herein for alleged loss of income to said business while said building is being repaired.
- 6. The plaintiff cannot recover herein for alleged additional expenses as the daid damage has incurred upon your plaintiff as alleged.
- 7. The plaintiff cannot recover herein for alleged loss suffered by the plaintiff's business as alleged in said Count.

Attorneys for defendent Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., a corporation.

Co

LAWYERS

THOS. E. TWITTY
FRANCIS H. INGE (1902-1959)
RICHARD H. INGE
THOS. E. TWITTY, JR.
JAMES J. DUFFY, JR.
SYDNEY R. PRINCE, III

MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

MOBILE,ALABAMA

36601

September 28, 1965

MAILING ADDRESS:

P. O. BOX 1109 MOBILE, ALA. 36601

ABLE ADDRESS TWINING TELEPHONE 433-5441

Mrs. Alice Duck, Clerk
Baldwin County Circuit Court
Bay Minette
Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Re: C. H. Jackson vs. Complete Auto Transit Company, et al. At Law No. 6453

I am enclosing Answers of defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., to Interrogatories, with two copies thereof, and request that you please file the same.

Cordially yours,

T. E. TWITTY

TET:k encls.

LAWYERS

THOS. E. TWITTY FRANCIS H. INGE (1902-1959) RICHARD H. INGE THOS. E. TWITTY, JR. JAMES J. DUFFY, JR. SYDNEY R. PRINCE, 111 MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

MOBILE, ALABAMA

36601

September 20, 1965

MAILING ADDRESS: P. O. BOX 1109 MOBILE.ALA, 36601

CABLE ADDRESS: TWINING TELEPHONE 433-5441

Mrs. Alice Duck, Clerk
Baldwin County Circuit Court
Bay Minette
Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Re: C. H. Jackson vs. Complete Auto Transit Company, et al. At Law No. 6453.

I am enclosing the original and two copies of Motion to Strike and Demurrer of the defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., addressed to the Complaint, as amended, for filing and service upon opposing counsel.

With kindest regards,

Cordially,

T. E. TWITTY.

TET:k encls.

LAWYERS

THOS. E. TWITTY
FRANCIS H. INGE (1902-1959)
RICHARD H. INGE
THOS. E. TWITTY, JR.
JAMES J. DUFFY, JR.
SYDNEY R. PRINCE, III

MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

MOBILE, ALABAMA

36601

November 29, 1965

MAILING ADDRESS:

MOBILE, ALA

CABLE ADDRESS; TWINING TELEPHONE 433-5441

Mrs. Alice Duck, Clerk Circuit Court, Baldwin County Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Re: C. H. Jackson vs. Armstrong Equipment Co. No. 6453

I am enclosing a motion to strike and a demurrer to the complaint as last amended, which I request that you please file in behalf of the defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc.

About a month ago, we argued a demurrer to the complaint and also argued a motion of the plaintiff to compel the defendant Armstrong Equipment Company to answer certain interrogatories.

We argued that this defendant should not be required to answer interrogatories Nos. 11, 12 and 13 because the cases hold that a defendant will not be compelled to disclose the names and addresses of his witnesses, and in support of this we cited Ex Parte Nolen, 223 Ala. 213, 135 So. 337, and Montgomery Light & Railroad Co. vs. Harris, 197 Ala. 358, 72 So. 619. We also cited Sibley vs. Hutchison, 218 Ala. 440, 118 So. 638, and Section 482 of Title 7 of the Code for the proposition that interrogatories calling for hearsay testimony need not be answered.

Judge Mashburn sustained our demurrer to the complaint and the plaintiff has recently amended the complaint.

Judge Mashburn at the recent hearing took under submission the question of whether or not this defendant should be required to answer any of the interrogatories referred to above, and we presume that he has not yet had an opportunity to study the matter further and rule on it as we have not received a copy of any order on the plaintiff's said motion.

With kindest regards,

r. E. TWITTY.

P. S. While in court on the previous hearing, I inadvertently picked up and brought back with me our demurrer to the amended complaint which was filed with you on the 21st day of Sept., 1965. I am returning it to you herewith.

encl.

LAWYERS

THOS. E. TWITTY
FRANCIS H. INGE (1902-1959)
RICHARD H. INGE
THOS. E. TWITTY, JR.
JAMES J. DUFFY, JR.
SYDNEY R. PRINCE, III

MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

MOBILE, ALABAMA

36601

May 4, 1965

MAILING ADDRESS:

P. O. BOX 1109 MOBILE, ALA. 36601

CABLE ADDRESS; TWINING TELEPHONE HEMLOCK 3-5441

Mrs. Alice Duck, Clerk Circuit Court Bay Minette Ala.

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Re: G. H. Jackson vs. Complete Auto Transit Company, et al.

We are enclosing the original and one copy of Motion by defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc. to strike certain portions of the Complaint and request that you please file the same. We are sending a copy of this Motion to Mr. J. LeNoir Thompson with a copy of this letter.

With kindest regards,

Cordially,

T. E. TWITTY.

TET:k encl.

cc - Mr. Thompson.

C. H. JACKSON,	)
Plaintiff	) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs.	) BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, ET AL,	) AT LAW )
Defendants.	) NO. 6453

MOTION OF DEFENDANT ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC., TO STRIKE CERTAIN PORTIONS OF THE COMPLAINT.

Comes now the defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., separately and severally, leave of Court first had and obtained, and moves to strike from Count Four of the Complaint as last amended the following allegations pertaining to alleged damages to the plaintiff, i. e.: "and plaintiff's business suffered a loss", and for separate and several grounds of motion in support of the foregoing motion to strike, this defendant assigns the following:

- 1. The said item of damage is not a proper element of recoverable damages under said count.
- 2. The plaintiff cannot recover herein for alleged loss suffered by the plaintiff's business as alleged in said count.
- 3. The alleged loss of business suffered by the plaintiff is a mere conclusion of the pleader.
- 4. The alleged loss of business is remote and speculative and is not the natural result of the alleged breach of duty in said count.

### DEMURRER TO COMPLAINT AS LAST AMENDED

Comes now the defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., a corporation, separately and severally, and without waiving its foregoing Motion to Strike Certain Portions of the Complaint as last amended (but expressly insisting thereon), and demurs to the complaint therein as

last amended, and to each count thereof separately and severally, and for grounds of demurrer re-files and re-assigns each of the separate and several grounds of demurrer heretofore filed and assigned to the complaint by this defendant, and assigns the following separate and several additional grounds of demurrer, i.e.:

- 9. The allegation therein that an "automobile truck, tractor and trailer bearing the name, Complete Auto Transit Company, Doraville, Georgia, and operated by its agent, servant or employee, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., an Alabama corporation whose address is 4601 First Avenue, North, Birmingham, Alabama, in his capacity as such, at the request of Complete Auto Transit Company, said corporation acting in the line and scope of its employment" are mere conclusions of the pleader and do not sufficiently allege that the said tractor and trailer at the time and place of the matters complained of was operated by an agent or servant or employee of this defendant while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such.
- 10. The words appearing therein "in his capacity as such" are mere conclusions of the pleader and are not sufficient to charge that any agent, servant or employee of this defendant, while acting within the line and scope of his employment was driving or operating the said truck, tractor and trailer at the time and place complained of.
- 11. It is not negligence as a matter of law to operate a vehicle without brakes.
- 12. For aught appearing therefrom, neither this defendant nor any agent, servant or employee of this defendant, while acting within the line and scope of his employment, was guilty of any breach of duty to the plaintiff with respect to operating said vehicle at the time and place complained of in said count.
- 13. The allegation in said count pertaining to causal connection between the alleged breach of duty and the alleged injuries and damages

do not sufficiently charge that the alleged injuries and damages were a proximate result or consequence of the alleged breach of duty therein.

- 14. For aught appearing therefrom, the said truck trailer was not being operated by an agent, servant or employee of this defendant at the time and place of the matters complained of.
- 15. The allegation therein that an agent, servant or employee of this defendant "wilfully or wantonly damaged plaintiff's property, to-wit, said building, by running into said building with a truck, tractor and trailer" does not sufficiently allege that the said agent, servant or employee at said time and place was driving or operating the said truck, tractor and trailer.

Attorneys for defendant Armstrong ment Company, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsel for all parties to this proceeding, by mailing the same to each by -list illoss United states Mail, properly accressed and postege precaid on this day

of [202]

G. H. JACKSON, χ Plaintiff, vs. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, a non-resident corporation, also BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA known as COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT X COMPANY and ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC., Birmingham, Alabama, and JOHN DOE, as agent, NO. 6453 AT LAW servant or employee of said corpora-X tions or either of them, χ Defendants. χ

#### DEMURRER

Comes the Defendant, Complete Auto Transit Company, and demurs to the Complaint filed in said cause as last amended and to each and every count thereof, separately and severally, and assigns the following separate and several grounds, viz:

- 1. That said Complaint does not state a cause of action.
- 2. That there is a misjoinder of parties defendant in counts 1 and 2 of said Complaint.
- 3. That there is a misjoinder of causes of action in counts 1 and 2 of said Complaint.
- 4. That count 1 of said Complaint claims damages against each named defendant and their agents, servants or employees without alleging what agent, servants or employees are being sued.
- 5. That count 1 of said Complaint claims damages against the agents, servants or employees of the defendants without stating the names of such agents, servants or employees.
- 6. That count 1 of said Complaint avers that the Armstrong Equipment Company in its corporate capacity was operating the tractor which caused the damages to the Plaintiff.

- 7. That count 2 of said Complaint claims damages of such defendant for the willful or wanton misconduct of another corporation without showing that this defendant corporation had properly authorized or ratified the conduct of Armstrong Equipment Company.
- 8. That each defendant in said Complaint is not being sued in each count of such Complaint.
- 9. That counts 3 and 4 of said Complaint do not claim damages of this defendant.
- 10. That counts 3 and 4 of said Complaint affirmatively show that this defendant is not a proper party in this suit.

FILED

DEC I 1985

ALKE I. DUCK. SLEWK

G. H. JACKSON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, et al,

Defendants.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

DEMURRER

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Manual Control of the Control of the

DEC 1 10

Will I Willy Sleak Region

Riginies

. . .

G. H. JACKSON	χ
Plaintiff	X
VS	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, a	X BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
non-resident corporation, also known as COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT	X AT LAW NO. 6453
COMPANY and ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC., Birmingham, Alabama, and JOHN DOE, as agent,	X
servant or employee of said cor- porations or either of them	Ĭ.
Defendants	χ
	Χ

Comes your plaintiff and amends his complaint as last amended in said cause to read as follows:

-1-

Plaintiff claims of defendants, Complete Auto Transit Company, a non-resident corporation and Armstrong Equipment Company, a corporation and John Doe, agent, servant or employee of either or both, jointly and severally, Nine Thousand (\$9,000.00) Dollars as damages for that heretofore on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. the 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of an ice cream or dairy bar and sandwich drive-in business located on plaintiff's property fifty feet from highway R/O/W on the West side of U.S. Highway No. 31 near the intersection of the Perdido road about 12 miles from Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, at which time and place an automobile truck tractor and trailer bearing the name, Complete Auto Transit Company, Doraville, Georgia, and operated by its agent, servant or employee, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., an Alabama corporation whose address is 4601 First Avenue, North, Birmingham, Alabama, in its capacity as such, at the request of Complete Auto Transit Company, said corporation acting in the line and scope of its employment, while operating the said automobile truck tractor and trailer of Complete Auto Transit Company, negligently operated said vehicle without brakes and as a proximate consequence thereof, crashed said truck tractor and trailer into the building structure of the said plaintiff noted herein, which building was greatly damaged, and as a proximate consequence thereof, said plaintiff was put to great expense for removing the said truck tractor and trailer

from its collision position within and without the said building; damaging said building greatly in that the walls, roof and supporting structures were damaged, hence this suit.

-2-

Plaintiff claims of defendants, Complete Auto Transit Company, a non-resident corporation and Armstrong Equipment Company, a corporation, jointly and severally, the sum of Nine Thousand Dollars (\$9,000.00) for that plaintiff avers that, on to-wit: about 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of a building housing a milk or dairy bar and sandwich shop drive-in, situate on his individually owned real property fifty feet from the highway R/O/W on the West side of Highway 31 near the intersection of the Perdido paved road turn-off, and about 12 miles from the City of Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, and on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, at the request of defendant, Complete Auto Transit Company, defendant Armstrong Equipment Company as the agent, servant or employee of said corporation, while acting within the line or scope of its employment, with reckless disregard to consequence, being conscious at that time that its conduct in so doing would probably result in disaster, wilfully or wantonly damaged plaintiff's property, to-wit, said building by wilfully and wantonly running a truck tractor and trailer into said building and as the proximate result and consequence of said wilful or wanton conduct, plaintiff's building was severely damaged and for all of which he claims damages in the sum aforesaid.

-3-

Plaintiff claims of the defendants Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., its agents, servants or employees and John Doe, jointly and severally Nine Thousand (\$9,000.00) Dollars as damages for that heretofore on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. the 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of an ice cream or dairy bar and sandwich drive-in business located on plaintiff's property fifty feet from highway R/O/W on the West side of U. S. Highway No. 31 near the

intersection of the Perdido Road about 12 miles from Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, at which time and place an automobile truck tractor and trailer bearing the name, Complete Auto Transit Company, Doraville, Georgia, and operated by an agent, servant or employee of Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., an Alabama corporation whose address is 4601 First Avenue, North, Birmingham, Alabama, in his capacity as such, while operating the said automobile truck tractor and trailer of Complete Transit Company, a non-resident corporation, negligently operated said vehicle without brakes and as a proximate consequence thereof, crashed said truck tractor and trailer into the building structure of the said plaintiff noted herein, which was greatly damaged and as a proximate consequence thereof, said plaintiff was put to great expense for removing said truck tractor and trailer from its collision position within and without the said building, and for damages to said building.

-4-

Plaintiff claims of the defendants Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., and John Doe, jointly and severally, the sum of Nime Thousand Dollars (\$9,000.00) for that plaintiff avers that, on to-wit: about 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of a building housing a milk or dairy bar and sandwich shop drive-in, situate on his individually owned real property fifty feet from the highway R/O/W on the West side of Highway 31 near the intersection of the Perdido paved road turn-off and about 12 miles from the City of Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, and on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, defendant Armstrong Equipment Company's agent, servant or employee, while acting within the line or scope of his employment, with reckless disregard to the consequence, being conscious at that time that his conduct in so doing would probably result in disaster, wilfully or wantonly damaged plaintiff's property, to-wit, said building by running into said building with a truck tractor and trailer and as the proximate result and consequence of said wilful or wanton conduct, plaintiff's building was

severely damaged, for all of which he claims damages in the sum aforesaid.

Attorney for plaintiff.

I hereby certify that I have this day forwarded U.S. Postage prepaid a copyof the foregoing complaint as last amended to Honorable John Chason, attorney for Complete Auto Transit Company and to Honorable Thomas Twitty, attorney for Armstrong Equipment Company at their proper addresses.

Attorney for plaintiff

Profesion of Milks creeking the

#### G. H. JACKSON

PLAINTIFF

I IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

VS.

I BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT
COMPANY, a non-resident
corporation of Dadeville,
Georgia,

DEFENDANT

I LAW SIDE

#### REPLEVY BOND

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that we, Complete Auto Transit, Inc., a non-resident corporation of Michigan, who is being sued in the above styled cause as Complete Auto Transport Company, a non-resident corporation of Dadeville, Georgia, as Principal, and the undersigned as Surety, are held and firmly bound unto G. H. Jackson in the sum of Eighteen Thousand Dollars (\$18,000.00) for the payment of which, well and truly to be made, we jointly and severally bind ourselves, our successors and assigns.

Sealed with our seals and dated this 8th day of April, 1965.

THE CONDITIONS OF THE ABOVE OBLIGATION ARE SUCH that whereas a Write of Attachment was issued by Alice J. Duck as Clerk of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, at the suit of the above named G. H. Jackson against the estate of the above named Complete Auto Transit, Inc., a non-resident corporation of Michigan, returnable before the next term of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, for the sum of Nine Thousand Dollars (\$9,000.00), which has been placed in the hands of Taylor Wilkins as Sheriff of Baldwin County, Alabama, and has been levied by him upon the following personal property, to-wit: A Transport Truck and Trailer, Equipment Truck No. 1437, Trailer No. 1607 with Truck Tag No. GA 8J 440; Trailer Tag No. GA 22 917.

And whereas the property has been delivered to the Complete Auto Transit, Inc., a non-resident corporation of Michigan, upon its entering into this bond.

NOW, THEREFORE, if the Defendant shall fail in said action, it or its surety shall return the specific property attached and above-mentioned, to the said Sheriff, within 10 days after judgment against said Defendant in this suit, then this obligation shall be void, otherwise to remain in full force and effect.

COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT, INC.

a Corporation

(SEAL)

Resident Agent

Taken and approved

this the 4th day

of April, 1965.

#### THE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

#### POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That THE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation of New Jersey, does hereby make, constitute and appoint

Cecil A. Pool, D. V. Wyckoff, George Whiting, H. R. Oldroyd and Jack Meagher, all of Atlanta, Georgia, EACH

its true and lawful Attorney(s)-in-Fact, with full power and authority, for and on behalf of the Company as surety, to execute and affix the seal of the Company thereto, if a seal is required, and deliver

Any and all bonds, undertakings, recognizances or other written obligations in the nature thereof

and the execution of such bonds or undertakings in pursuance of these presents shall be as binding upon said Company, as fully and amply, to all intents and purposes, as if they had been duly executed and acknowledged by the regularly elected officers of the Company at its office in Newark, New Jersey, in their own proper persons.

This appointment is made under and by authority of a certain Instrument of Authority and pursuant to Article VIII, Sections 30 and 31 of the By-Laws of the Company, shown on the reverse side hereof, which Instrument of Authority and by-laws are now in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, THE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY has caused these presents to be signed and its corporate seal to

be hereunto affixed on September 16, 1964

THE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

(CORPORATE SEAL)

BY

ROBERT R. SCOTT

State of New Jersey, County of Essex

{ ss:

On September 16, 1964 , before me appeared the above-named officer of THE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, to me personally known, who, being by me duly sworn, did say that he is the individual and officer described in and who executed the preceding instrument, and that the seal affixed to said instrument is the corporate seal of said Company, and that said instrument was signed and sealed on behalf of said Company by authority and direction of said Company, and the said officer acknowledged said instrument to be the free act and deed of said Company.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto-set-my hand and affixed my official seal, the day and year first above written.

(SIGNED) (SEAL)

My Commission Expires

June 28, 1966

TERESA S. FARINA Notary Public of New Jersey

#### CERTIFICATE

State of New Jersey, County of Essex

ss:

I, PHILIP B. SHEFFER , Resident Assistant Secretary of THE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of a Power of Attorney, executed by a Secretary of the said Company, which is still in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Company on

April 8, 1965

Resident Assistant Secretary

92186 (HO) -TA-9-64

#### ARTICLE VIII

Appointment and Authority of Resident Assistant Secretaries, and Attorneys-in-Fact, and Agents to Accept Legal Process and Make Appearances.

Section 30. Appointment. The Chairman of the Board of Directors, the President, any Vice President or any other person authorized by the Board of Directors, the Chairman of the Board of Directors, the President or any Vice President, may, from time to time, appoint Resident Assistant Secretaries and Attorneys-in-Fact to represent and act for and on behalf of the Corporation and Agents to accept legal process and make appearances for and on behalf of the Corporation.

Section 31. Authority. The authority of such Resident Assistant Secretaries, Attorneys-in-Fact, and Agents shall be as prescribed in the instrument evidencing their appointment, and any such appointment and all authority granted thereby may be revoked at any time by the Board of Directors or by any person empowered to make such appointment.

#### INSTRUMENT OF AUTHORITY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: that ROBERT R. SCOTT, Secretary of THE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, in hereby vested with full power and authority to appoint and revoke Resident Assistant Secretaries and Attorneys-in-Fact of this Corporation and Agents to accept process and make appearances for and on behalf of this Corporation under and pursuant to Article VIII, Sections 30 and 31, of the By-laws of this Corporation.

THE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

Ву	WILLIAM W. LAUBER	
	Vice President	

G. H. JACKSON, Plaintiff,

-vs-

COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT CO., a non-resident corporation of Dadeville, Georgia, Defendant.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

REPLEVY BOND

\*

CHASON, STONE & CHASON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P. O. BOX 120
BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

## THE STATE OF ALABAMA | Baldwin County

CIRCUIT COURT AT BAY MINETTE, ALA.

, of	the County of Baldwin	
are held and firmly bound unto		
are held and firmly bound unto		
Section 1995	,	
n the sum of		
pe paid to the said 22 222		
heirs, executors, administrators, or assigns, selves and each of us, our and each of our hoy these presents.		
Sealed with our seals and dated the	day of	, 19
The Condition of this Obligation is	such:	
That whereas, the above bounden		
		ha, on the day of the date
nereof, prayed an Attachment at the suit of	and for the form that a facility of the form of the fo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5		
<u> </u>		against the estate of above named
to the second se	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
or the sum of		
nd hath obtained the same, returnable to the	ne Circuit Court of Baldw	in County:
Now, if the said		
should prosecute said Attachment to effect, a may sustain by the wrongful or vexatious su		
roid; othewise to remain in full force and en		it, then the above obligation to be
And we and each of us hereby waive or may hereafter have, under the Constitution		
Signed, Sealed, and delivered the date	above written.	н (1 - <sub>19</sub> - н - <sup>1</sup> Син <sub>Си</sub> н н <sub>С</sub> ин - 19 - н н н (19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19
		(Seal)
		(Seal)
		(Sea1)
		(Seal)
Approved, thisday of		, 19

### THE STATE OF ALABAMA (Baldwin County

### CIRCUIT COURT AT BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

Before me,	
in and for said County, pers	nally appeared_G_HJackson
who, being duly sworn, on o	ath saith that Complete Auto Transport Co., a non-resident
corporation of Dad	eville, Georgia justly indebted to
	justing industrial control of the co
G. H. Jackson	usand (\$9,000.00)
was a second of the second of the second	
which said amount is justly Auto Transport Co.	ue after allowing all just offsets and discounts, and that the said Complete, a non-resident corporation of Dadeville, Ga., is
	owner of an automobile transport truck and trailer into the Dairy Bar of the complainant on to-wit,
	, 1965, doing great damage in the amount of \$9,000.00
	ot sued out for the purpose of vexing or harassing the Defendant, or other
improper motive.	J. K. John
Subscribed and sworn	before me this day of kure fra 1965
AND SECTION	lle french
ekana nagara saka gipan ya panza saki kata mangana manana na na naga ya pangana na manana ya sa manana ya sa n Tangan saka na	
	day day
A A	FIDAVI  Altorne
A A W	19 - 19 - 1
STATE OF ALABA Baldwin County IRCUIT CO At Bay Minette, Ala.	
	AND
T Tin Min	
O O I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
Ba Ba	e e
S A S	HHM is th
STATI B CIRC	ATTACHMENT BOND Filed this the
	ATT Of

# THE STATE OF ALABAMA,

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

whereas, G-	H. Jackson		···		
1000 A					
and produces a second sections are second as a second seco	Conference of the minimum of the second of t				1.70
ath complained on oath to	me, ALICE J. D	UCK, Clerk o	f Circuit Cour	t of Baldwin Cou	inty, Ala., tha
Complete Auto Tr	ansport Comp	pany, a n	on-reside	nt corporat	tion of
Dadeville, Georg	ia "				
justly indebted to the Pla	+:ff	. Jackson			
in a series of the series of the series	11316,111				
		- IVERUE			
	San				
the sum of Nine T	nousand (\$9,	000.00)-			Dollars, and
G. M. Jacks	<b>~</b>				
required by law, in such				made affidavit :	
Dadeville, <b>Geo</b> rg equipment truck trailer tag Ga.	No. 1437, tr	automobi ailer No	ie transp . 1607 wi	ort truck a	and traile ag Ga. 854
will be of value sufficient	to satisfy said deb	ot and costs, a	ccording to th	e complaint; and	such estate, so
tached unless replevied, so					
d by the Circuit Court of					
ounty, on		_Monday of_			19
xt; when and where you r	nust make known	to said Court	how you have	executed this W	rit.
FMATTOO	2 9	77	7 is mail	· · · · · ·	. /
ITNESS, my hand, this	———day	of	minien	A. D.,	19-65.
. 3 43	e de la companya de l		alie	A ra Car	e de a :
w.t.	A Charles				Clerk.
				1965	•
		4	Drann-		
$P_{\mathcal{A}_{i}}$			- 400		

MAR 29 1965

TAYLOR WILKINS

TAYLOR WILKINS, Shariff

Ten Cents per mile Total \$ 2.6

**ATTACHMENT** 

trailor#1607 with tuck tag# ba 85440 tionlandag# ba 22917

G. H. JACKSON,	¥
Plaintiff,	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
۷s.	PALDWIN COINTING AT ADAMA
COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT COMPANY, a non-resident	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
corporation of Dadeville, Georgia,	LAW SIDE
Defendant.	¥
	The second secon

Comes the Defendant in the above styled cause, acting by and through John Chason of the firm of Chason, Stone & Chason, Bay Minette, Alabama, as its attorney, and makes this, its unqualified appearance in the above styled cause and submits itself to the jurisdiction of said court.

Dated this the 30th day of March, 1965.

As One of the Attorneys for the Defendant

### G. H. JACKSON, Plaintiff,

-VS-

COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT COMPANY, a non-resident corporation of Dadeville, Georgia

Defendant.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

UNQUALIFIED APPEARANCE

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

MAR 20 1965

1.0 1.0 1.0

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
LAW SIDE

TO: G. H. JACKSON, PLAINTIFF IN SAID CAUSE.

You are hereby notified that Complete Auto Transport Company, a non-resident corporation of Dadeville, Georgia, has this day made an unqualified appearance in the above styled cause. You are further notified that the attachment which you have had issued in said cause on the grounds that the Defendant is a non-resident of the State of Alabama, will be discharged unless, within 5 days after the service of this notice you make bond payable to the Defendant in double the amount sued for.

WITNESS my hand and seal as Clerk of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, on this the 30th day of March, 1965.

clerk Much

I, LeNoir Thompson, as attorney for the Plaintiff in the above styled cause do hereby accept service of a copy of the above and foregoing notice and a copy of the unqualified appearance filed by the Defendant in said cause and I, as attorney for such Plaintiff, do hereby waive further service or notice of the same.

Dated this 30th day of March, 1965.

Attorney for Plaintiff

G. H. JACKSON,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT COMPANY, a non-resident corporation of Dadeville, Georgia,

Defendant.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTICE

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

**MAR** 80 1985

ALIES J. DUON, REGISTER

ĺъ.

## THE STATE OF ALABAMA (Baldwin County

CIRCUIT COURT AT BAY MINETTE, ALA.

KNOW ALL MEN BY	THESE PRESENTS, That We, G. H. Jackson and
. : .	of the County of Baldwin State of Alabama
are held and firmly bound un	toComplete Auto Transport Company
in the sum of Eighteen	Thousand (\$18,000.00)
-	ete Auto Transport Company
	rs, or assigns, for which payment, well and truly to be made, we bind our- l each of our heirs, executors and administrators, jointly and severally, firmly
Sealed with our seals a	nd dated the, 19
The Condition of this C	bligation is such:
That whereas, the above	ve bounden <u>G. H. Jackson</u>
	ha\$, on the day of the date
hereof, prayed an Attachment	t at the suit of G. H. Jackson
<u> 25.5.2</u>	against the estate of above named
Complete Auto Tra	nsport Company
	ousand (\$9,000.00)
Now, if the saidG	. H. Jackson
	ment to effect, and pay the said Defendant all such damages as <u>he</u> or vexatious suing out said. Attachment, then the above obligation to b full force and effect.
	s hereby waive all rights of claims of exemption we or either of us have now the Constitution and Laws of the State of Alabama.
Signed, Sealed, and de	elivered the date above written.
	Geal (Seal
	Cartton't Byin (Seal
	Lordon W. M. M. Muschel (Seal
	(Seal
Approved, this5_	- day of
	alice I Musche Cler
en de	346

### THE STATE OF ALABAMA (Baldwin County

CIRCUIT COURT AT BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

Before	me,							
in and for sai	id County,	personally a	ppeared					
who, being d	uly sworn,	on oath sait	h that					****
•••••		**************************************		•••••			··· justly ind	lebted to
in the sum of		er un un un un un				and the first of the second se	The Control of the Co	Dollars
			r allowing all ji					
				.:				
and that this improper mot	Attachmen ive.	t is not sued	out for the pur	pose of vexin	g or ha	rassing the	Defendant,	or other
			*********	***************************************	***************************************	•		••••
Subscri	ibed and sw	vorn to before	me this	day of_				
and and the second seco	ANNOUNCE OF THE SECURITY OF SECURITY OF THE SE	gellen Managaga and San Carlot and Carlot an	and the second s	THE THIN BOX TO THE				
	<b>-</b>				111,	lay	erk	ley.
Ā	2				IDAV			Attorney
AM	J C				AFFI		10	
Page ABA	C C							
STATE OF ALABAN Baldwin County	CIRCUIT COU		0		ATTACHMENT BOND AND		1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
W.ii	I I		TO	Sec.	BON		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	27,124
E (	U Bay				NT			
(46) FATE Ba	O.#				IMIE	the		
ST					'ACI	Filed this the		
No.	U				ATT	Filec	Jo	

X G. H. JACKSON X Plaintiff IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF χ vs X BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, a non-resident corporation, also known as COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT χ AT LAW NO. COMPANY and ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC., Birmingham, Alabama, and JOHN DOE, as agent, servant or employee of said corporations or χ either of them. Defendants χ

-1-

Plaintiff claims of the defendants jointly and severally, their agents, servants or employees, Nine Thousand (\$9,000.00) Dollars as damages for that heretofore on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. the 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of an ice cream or dairy bar and sandwich drive-in business located on plaintiff's property fifty feet from highway R/O/W on the West side of U. S. Highway No. 31 near the intersection of the Perdido road about 12 miles from Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, at which time and place an automobile truck tractor and trailer bearing the name. Complete Auto Transit Company, Doraville, Georgia, and operated by an agent, servant or employee of Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., an Alabama corporation whose address is 4601 First Avenue, North, Birmingham, Alabama, in his capacity as such, while operating the said automobile truck tractor and trailer of one of the defendants negligently operated said vehicle without brakes and as a proximate consequence thereof, crashed said truck tractor and trailer in to the building structure of the said plaintiff noted herein, which was greatly damaged and as a proximate consequence thereof, said plaintiff was put to great expense for removing the said truck tractor and trailer from its collision position within and without the said building, and for repairs to said building and for wages to said plaintiff's employees who were unable to perform their services due to the damaged condition of said building; and for loss of income to said business while said building is being repaired and to such additional expenses

as the said damage has incurred upon your plaintiff.

-2-

Plaintiff claims of the defendants jointly and severally, the sum of Nine Thousand Dollars (\$9,000.00) for that plaintiff avers that, on to-wit: about 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March 1965, plaintiff was the owner of a building housing a milk or dairy bar and sandwich shop drive-in, situate on his individually owned real property fifty feet from the highway R/O/W on the West side of Highway 31 near the intersection of the Perdido paved road turn off and about 12 miles from the City of Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, and on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, defendants' agent, servant or employee, while acting within the line or scope of his employment, with reckless disregard to consequence, being conscious at that time that his conduct in so doing would probably result in disaster, wilfully or wantonly damaged plaintiff's property, to-wit, said building by running into said building with a truck tractor and trailer and as the proximate result and consequence of said wilful or wanton conduct, plaintiff's building was severely damaged and plaintiff's business suffered a loss; for all of which he claims damages in the sum aforesaid.

As attorney for Complete Auto Transit Company, I hereby acknowledge service of the foregoing complaint

plaintí

for said defendant.

.....TERM. 19....

### STATE OF ALABAMA

Circuit	Court,	Baldwin	County
No 6453			

1

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You Are Hereby Commanded to Summon Complete Auto Transit Co., a non-resident corporation, also known as Complete Auto Transport Co., and Armstrong Equipment Co., Inc., Birmingham, Alabama, and John Doe, as agent, servant or employee of said corporations or either of them.

to appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed

in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against Complete Auto Transit Co., a non-resident corp., also known as Complete Auto Transport Co., and Armstrong Equipment Co., Inc., Birmingham, Ala, and John Doe, as agent, servant or employee of said corporations or either of them.

by ...G. M. JACKSON.

Witness my hand this day of life 1969 Lice Julie Cle

Grand of S

No. 6453 Page	Defendant lives at
STATE OF ALABAMA	
Baldwin County	
CIRCUIT COURT	Received In Office
C-11	Opene 13 1665
G. H. Jackson	Executed this the 15 day of PRI sheriff
Divisor	on Charles executed this summons
Plaintiffs vs.	this i alike Equipment
Complete AutoTransitService	by leaving a copy with
The state of the s	
Defendants	Haring Mountfull
SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT	
Filed 4-13 19.65	of said co MELVIN BAILEY, Sheriff
그 씨는 그런 반점을 가는 그 사람들이 되었다. 그는 그는 그를 가는 것이 되었다.	Jefferson County/ Negfora
Much Clerk	of Hudde
Clerk	- Jefferson County Sheriff of
To: armstrong Equipment lo	Claims \$1.50 each for serving
4601- lat au, 720.	Process(es) and \$1.00
1601- let all, Do.	process(cs) or a total of
D'ham	2,50 or a total of
	If this ally Sherife.
	The Chiral Sheriff.
Plaintiff's Attorney	Sheriff
Defendant's Attorney	Deputy Sheriff
Defendant's Attorney  4	
• v	

G. H. JACKSON,	χ
Plaintiff,	χ
vs.	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY,	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
a non-resident corporation, also known as COMPLETE AUTO	X AT LAW NO
-	X
ingham, Alabama, and JOHN DOE, as agent, servant or employee	χ
of said corporations or either of them.	χ
Defendants.	X

#### DEMURRER

Comes the Defendant, Complete Auto Transit Company, a non-resident corporation, and demurs to the Complaint filed in the above styled cause and each and every count thereof, separately and severally, and assigns the following separate and several grounds, viz:

- 1. That said Complaint does not state a cause of action against such Defendant.
- 2. That said Complaint does not show any duty owing by such Defendant to the Plaintiff.
  - 3. That said Complaint is vague and indefinite.
- 4. That Count 1 of said Complaint claims damages of such Defendant and its agents, servants or employees without stating which agents, servants or employees are being sued.
- 5. That Count 1 of said Complaint fails to give the names of the agents, servants or employees of whom the Plaintiff claims damages.
- 6. That Count 1 of said Complaint affirmatively shows that the vehicle which caused the damage which is the basis of this

suit was then and there being operated by an agent, servant or employee of the other Defendant and that such operator was not the agent, servant or employee of Complete Auto Transit Company.

- 7. For aught that appears in Count 1 of the Complaint the motor vehicle which was owned by Complete Auto Transit Company was, at the time of the accident, being operated by someone without the knowledge or consent of such Defendant.
  - 8. That Count 1 of the Complaint claims speculative damages.
- 9. That Count 1 of the Complaint claims damages for additional expenses without stating what additional expenses were referred to.
- 10. That Count 2 of the Complaint does not give the name of the agent, servant or employee who it is alleged caused the accident and fails to state which of the Defendants herein sued employed such agent, servant or employee.
- 11. That Count 2 of the Complaint does not allege that such Defendant willfully or wantonly injured the Plaintiff.
- 12. That Count 2 of the Complaint does not allege which Defendant's motor vehicle was driven into Plaintiff's building.
- 13. That Count 2 of the Complaint does not allege how or in what manner the Plaintiff's business suffered a loss.

Attorney for Defendant, Complete Auto Transit Company

Defendant, Complete Auto
Transit Company, demands
trial of this cause by jury.

Attorney for Complete Auto Transit
Company

4 P R + 6 1985

### G. H. JACKSON, Plaintiff,

-VS-

COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, et al., Defendants.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

DEMURRER

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Service Constitution of the Constitution of th

APR 16 1985

Aug a Dick Clean

G. H. JACKSON X Plaintiff X IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF χ BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, a non-resident corporation, also AT LAW NO. 6453 known as COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT X COMPANY and ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC., Birmingham, Alabama, and JOHN DOE, as agent, servant or employee of said corporations or either of them Defendants X

Comes your plaintiff and amends his complaint as last amended in said cause to read as follows:

-1-

Plaintiff claims of the defendants jointly andseverally, their agents, servants or employees, Nine Thousand (\$9,000.00) Dollars as damages for that heretofore on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. the 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of an ice cream or dairy bar and sandwich drive-in business located on plaintiff's property fifty feet from highway R/O/W on the West side of W. S. Highway No. 31 near the intersection of the Perdido road about 12 miles from Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, at which time and place an automobile truck tractor and trailer bearing the name, Complete Auto Transit Company, Doraville, Georgia, and operated by its agent, servant or employee, Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., an Alabama corporation whose address is 4601 First Avenue, North, Birmingham, Alabama, in his capacity as such, at the request of Complete Auto Transit Company, said corporation acting in the line and scope of its employment, while operating the said automobile truck tractor and trailer of Complete Auto Transit Company, negligently operated said vehicle without brakes and as a proximate consequence thereof, crashed said truck tractor and trailer in to the building structure of the said plaintiff noted herein, which building was greatly damaged, and as a

proximate consequence thereof, said plaintiff was put to great expense for removing the said truck tractor and trailer from its collision position within and without the said building; damaging said building greatly in that the walls, roof and supporting structures were damaged, hence this suit.

-2-

Plaintiff claims of the defendants jointly and severally, the sum of Nine Thousand Dollars (\$9,000.00) for that plaintiff avers that, on to-wit: about 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of a building housing a milk or dairy bar and sandwich shop drive-in, situate on his individually owned real property fifty feet from the highway R/O/W on the West side of Highway 31 near the intersection of the Perdido paved road turn off and about 12 miles from the City of Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, and on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, at the request of defendant, Complete Auto Transit Company or its agent, servant or employee, acting in the line and scope of his employment, defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, while acting within the line or scope of its employment, with reckless disregard to consequence, being conscious at that time that its conduct in so doing would probably result in disaster, wilfully or wantonly damaged plaintiff's property, to-wit, said building by wilfully and wantonly running a truck tractor and trailer into said building and as the proximate result and consequence of said wilful or wanton conduct, plaintiff's building was severely damaged and for all of which he claims damages in the sum aforesaid.

**-3** -

Plaintiff claims of the defendants Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., its agents, servants or employees and John Doe, jointly and severally Nine Thousand (\$9,000.00) Dollars as damages for that heretofore on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. the 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of an ice cream or dairy bar and sandwich drive-in business located on plaintiff's property fifty feet from highway R/O/W on the West side of U. S. Highway No. 31 near the

intersection of the Perdido Road about 12 miles from Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, at which time and place an automobile truck tractor and trailer bearing the name, Complete Auto Transit Company, Doraville, Georgia, and operated by an agent, servant or employee of Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., an Alabama corporation whose address is 4601 First Avenue, North, Birmingham, Alabama, in his capacity as such, while operating the said automobile truck tractor and trailer of one of the defendants negligently operated said vehicle without brakes and as a proximate consequence thereof, crashed said truck tractor and trailer in to the building structure of the said plaintiff noted herein, which was greatly damaged and as a proximate consequence thereof, said plaintiff was put to great expense for removing said truck tractor and trailer from its collision position within and without the said building, and for repairs to said building.

-4-

Plaintiff claims of the defendants Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., and John Doe, jointly and severally, the sum of Nine Thousand Dollars (\$9,000.00) for that plaintiff avers that, on to-wit: about 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of a building housing a milk or dairy bar and sandwich shop drive-in, situate on his individually owned real property fifty feet from the highway R/O/W on the West side of Highway 31 near the intersection of the Perdido paved road turn off and about 12 miles from the Cityof Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, and on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, defendant Armstrong Equipment Company's agent, servant or employee, while acting within the line or scope of his employment, with reckless disregard to the consequence, being conscious at that time that his conduct in so doing would probably result in disaster, wilfully or wantonly damaged plaintiff's property, to-wit, said building by running into said building with a truck tractor and trailer and as the proximate result and consequence of said wilful or wanton conduct, plaintiff's building was

severely damaged and plaintiff's business suffered a loss; for all of which he claims damages in the sum aforesaid.

Attorney for plaintiff

I hereby certify that I have this day forwarded U. S.

Postage prepaid a copyof the foregoing complaint as last

amended to Honorable John Chason, attorney for Complete

Auto Transit Company and to Honorable Thomas Twitty, attorney

for Armstrong Equipment Company at their proper addresses.

Attorney for plaintiff

FILED

NOV 04 1965

AUDE J. MING SERVE S

G. H. JACKSON,	)	
Plaintiff	)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
vs.	)	OF BALDWIN COUNTY,
COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, a corporation, also known as COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT COMPANY, and ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC., a corporation,		ALABAMA.
		AT LAW NO. 6453
Defendants	)	

MOTION BY DEFENDANT ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC. TO STRIKE CERTAIN PORTIONS OF THE COMPLAINT.

Comes now Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., a corporation, separately and severally, and moves to strike certain portions of each Count of the Complaint, said portions being stated separately and severally as follows:

- (a) The allegation that "said plaintiff was put to great expense for removing the said truck, tractor and trailer from its collision position within and without the said building".
- (b)" For wages to said plaintiff's employees who are unable to perform their services due to the damaged condition of said building."
- (c) "For loss of income to said business while said building is being repaired."
- (d) "And to such additional expense as the said damage has incurred upon your plaintiff."
  - (e) "And plaintiff's business suffered a loss" occurring in Count Two.

And for separate and several grounds of motion in support of the foregoing Motion to Strike each of the foregoing separate and several portions of each of said Counts, this defendant assigns the following:

1. The said item of damage is not a proper element of recoverable

damage under said Count.

- 2. The plaintiff in said Count cannot recover for any expense or pecuniary loss of the type described in such language.
- 3. The plaintiff cannot recover for any expense for removing the said truck, tractor and trailer from its alleged collision position.
- 4. The plaintiff cannot recover for wages to plaintiff's employees who were allegedly unable to perform their services due to the damaged condition of said building.
- 5. The plaintiff cannot recover herein for alleged loss of income to said business while said building is being repaired.
- 6. The plaintiff cannot recover herein for alleged additional expenses as the said damage has incurred upon your plaintiff as alleged.
- 7. The plaintiff cannot recover herein for alleged loss suffered by the plaintiff's business as alleged in said Count.

Attorneys for defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., a corporation.

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsel for all parties to this proceeding, by mailing the same to each by First Class United States Mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid on this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day

Attorney for A Helenkans

MAY 5 1900

amendel

G. H. JACKSON	χ
Plaintiff	X IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
VS	X BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, a non-resident corporation, also	X AT LAW NO. 6453
known as COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT COMPANY and ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT	χ
COMPANY, INC., Birmingham, Alabama, and JOHN DOE, as agent, servant or employee of said corporations or	X
either of them	χ
Defendants	X

Comes your plaintiff and amends his complaint heretofore filed in said cause to read as follows:

-1-

Plaintiff claims of the defendants jointly and severally, their agents, servants or employees, Nine Thousand (\$9,000.00) Dollars as damages for that heretofore on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. the 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of an ice cream or dairy bar and sandwich drive-in business located on plaintiff's property fifty feet from highway R/O/W on the West side of U. S. Highway No. 31 near the intersection of the Perdido road about 12 miles from Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, at which time and place an automobile truck tractor and trailer bearing the name, Complete Auto Transit Company, Doraville, Georgia, and operated by an agent, servant or employee of Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., an Alabama corporation whose address is 4601 First Avenue, North, Birmingham, Alabama, in his capacity as such, at the request of Complete Auto Transit Company, its agent, servant or employee acting in the line and scope of his employment, while operating the said automobile truck tractor and trailer of one of the defendants negligently operated said vehicle without brakes and as a proximate consequence thereof, crashed said truck tractor and trailer in to the building structure of the said plaintiff noed herein, which was greatly damaged and as a proximate consequence thereof, said plaintiff was put to great expense for removing the said truck tractor and trailer from its collision position within and without the said building, and for repairs to said building and for wages to said plaintiff's employees who were unable to perform their services due to the damaged condition of said

building; and for loss of income to said business while said building is being repaired and to such additional expenses as the said damage has incurred upon your plaintiff.

-2-

Plaintiff claims of the defendants jointly and severally, the sum of Nine Thousand Dollars (\$9,000.00) for that plaintiff avers that, on to-wit: about 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, plaintiff was the owner of a building housing a milk or dairy bar and sandwich shop drive-in, situate on his individually owned real property fifty feet from the highway R/O/W on the West side of Highway 31 near the intersection of the Perdido paved road turn off and about 12 miles from the City of Bay Minette in Baldwin County, Alabama, and on to-wit, 11:30 P.M. 28th day of March, 1965, at the request of defendant Complete Auto Transit Company or its agent, servant or employee, acting in the line and scope of his employment, defendant, Armstrong Equipment Company's agent, servant or employee, while acting within the line or scope of his employment, with reckless disregard to consequence, being conscious at that time that his conduct in so doing would probably result in disaster, wilfully or wantonly damaged plaintiff's property, to-wit, said building by running into said building with a truck tractor and trailer and as the proximate result and consequence of said wilful or wanton conduct, plaintiff's building was severely damaged and plaintiff's business suffered a loss; for all of which he claims damages in the sum aforesaid.

FILED

SEP 1 1965

ALLE I. WIX, CLERK REGISTER

357

G. H. JACKSON,	Ĭ	{
Plaintiff,	Ĭ	( IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	Ĭ	
vs.	Ĭ	•
COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY,	Ĭ	AT LAW NO. 6453
a non-resident corporation, also known as COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT COMPANY and ARMSTRONG	Ĭ	<b>Q</b>
EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC., Birm-	Ĭ	<b>Y</b>
ingham, Alabama, and JOHN DOE, as agent, servant or employee	Ĭ	Karaman Ayaran Karamayan
of said corporations or either of them,	Ĭ	<b>X</b> constant
Defendants.	Ĭ	X Company

Comes the Defendant, Complete Auto Transit Company, and demurs to the complaint as amended and each and every count thereof, separately and severally, and assigns the following separate and several grounds, viz:

- 1. That such complaint claims damages of each
  Defendant and the agents, servants or employees without designating the names of the agents, servants or employees from whom the Plaintiff claims damages.
  - 2. That there is a misjoinder of parties Defendant.
- 3. That the place where the accident occurred is not sufficiently set out.
  - 4. That said complaint claims speculative damages.
- 5. That said complaint claims damages for loss of time to Plaintiff's employees who were unable to work because of such damages without the complaint alleging that the Plaintiff was required to pay for such services.
- 6. That said complaint fails to allege how long it took the Plaintiff to repair his building.
- 7. That said complaint claims damages for additional expenses without stating what such expenses consisted of.
- 8. That said complaint fails to allege that such Defendant willfully or wantonly injured the Plaintiff.
- 9. That said complaint fails to allege any duty owing by such Defendant to the Plaintiff.

10. That said complaint does not sufficiently set out in what manner the Plaintiff's building was damaged.

11. The allegation that Plaintiff's business suffered a loss is just a conclusion of the pleader.

CHASON, STONE & CHASON

Attorneys for Complete Auto Transit Co.

359

SEF 1-65

ANG 1 PUM, DECEMBED

### G. H. JACKSON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY, A non-resident corporation, also known as COMPLETE AUTO TRANSPORT COMPANY and ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC., Birmingham, Alabama, and JOHN DOE, as agent, servant or employee of said corporations or either of them,

Defendants.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW NO. 6453

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

DEMURRER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

C. H. JACKSON,	)	
Plaintiff	)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs.	)	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY,	)	AT LAW
et al,	)	NO. 6453
Defendants	)	

MOTION OF DEFENDANT ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC. TO STRIKE CERTAIN PORTIONS OF THE COMPLAINT.

Comes now the defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., separately and severally, leave of Court first had and obtained, and refiles to the complaint as amended, its motion to strike certain portions of the complaint heretofore filed to the original complaint in this cause.

### DEMURRER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT

Comes now the defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., a corporation, separately and severally, and without waiving its foregoing motion to strike certain portions of the complaint (but expressly insisting thereon) and demurs to the complaint therein as amended, and to each count thereof, separately and severally, on the following separate and several grounds:

- 1. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to constitute a cause of action against this defendant.
- 2. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show the existence of any legal duty owing by this defendant to the plaintiff with respect to the matters alleged therein.
- 3. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show any breach of any legal duty owing by this defendant to the plaintiff with respect to matters alleged therein.

- 4. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show a sufficient causal connection between the alleged breach of duty of this defendant and the alleged injuries and damages.
- 5. It does not sufficiently appear therefrom that the driver of the said automobile truck tractor and trailer which allegedly did the damage complained of therein was at the time and place of the matters referred to therein an agent, servant or employee of this defendant and was acting within the line and scope of his employment by this defendant as such.
- 6. There does not sufficiently appear therefrom that the alleged injuries and damages proximately resulted from the alleged breach of duty of this defendant or the alleged breach of duty of an agent, servant or employee of this defendant while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such.
  - 7. There is a misjoinder of causes of action in the same count.
  - 8. There is a misjoinder of parties defendant.

Attorneys for defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc.

SEP 21 1965 NICE L DUCK CLERK REGISTER

C. H. JACKSON,	
Plaintiff	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs.	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT COMPANY,	AT LAW
et al,	NO. 6453
Defendants.	SEL.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC. TO INTERROGATORIES.

Comes now the defendant Armstrong Equipment Company, Inc., and answers the interrogatories heretofore propounded to it by the plaintiff as follows:

- 1. R. H. Parsons.
- 2. Vice President.
- 3. Birmingham, Alabama.
- 4. We are dealers for Detroit Diesel Engines, a division of General Motors. We sell such Diesels and we also service such equipment. We have no contractural obligation, verbal or written, which would require us to service any such equipment, but we are in the business of selling and servicing the same, and when called upon to do so we endeavor to respond to such calls as soon as we can, in the regular course of our business, sending an authorized service man to the location of the customer's equipment.
  - 5. See answer to 4, above.
- 6. There is no one among our personnel who knows whether or not this is true. March 20, 1965, was a Saturday, and our establishment at Montgomery was closed. However, calls can be received by that office over the week-end, and when received, in order to receive attention, are relayed to our Service Manager at his home or wherever he can be found. The Service Manager was told by his wife that such a call had been received

at the office and had been relayed to him.

- 7. When the above mentioned call had been relayed to this defendant's Service Manager, as stated in answer to Interrogatory 6, the Service Manager had intended to send an authorized and competent repairman to answer that call the next business day. However, the accident occurred before any such employee could be sent, and no person was sent by this defendant or by its Service Manager or any other authorized agent or employee to answer said call. The accident happened before this could be done. If the call was answered by anyone who was then employed by this defendant, such employee was not authorized to answer such call and had no authority to do so, and in so doing was not acting within the line and scope of his employment by this defendant.
  - 8. Not applicable.
  - 9. No.
- 10, 11 and 12. This defendant, on the advice of counsel, declines to answer each one of said interrogatories on the ground that the defendant is not required to give the name and address of any witnesses or to produce any documents in response to answers to interrogatories, and that each of said questions separately and severally calls for evidence which is incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial.
- 13. Our records do not contain any such information and on the advice of counsel the defendant declines to answer the remainder of said interrogatory on the grounds stated above addressed to the next preceding three interrogatories.

ARMSTRONG EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC.

By

As its Vice-President

Subscribed and sworm to before me this do day of de file for the first the f

Notary Public, Jefferson County, Ala.

FILED
SEP 29 1965

ALUE & DUN RESISTER