COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MOBILE, ALABAMA 36604

Fred G. Collins Thomas M. Galloway M. Thomas Murphy (1924-1956)

JAMES H. LACKEY

February 8, 1965

P. O. BOX 4492 TELEPHONE 432-0568 AREA CODE 205

Mrs. Alice V. Duck, Clerk Circuit Court Baldwin County Court House Bay Minette, Alabama

Re: Hannis Harville

vs: Julia Olson Stiles

Case No. 6371

Dear Mrs. Duck:

We enclose demurrer in the referenced case which we would appreciate your filing for us on behalf of the Defendant.

Sincerely yours,

COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY

FGC/brm

Encl.

COLLINS. GALLOWAY & MURPHY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

958 DAUPHIN STREET

MOBILE, ALABAMA 36604

FRED G. COLLINS THOMAS M. GALLOWAY M. THOMAS MURPHY (1924-1956)

JAMES H. LACKEY

May 3, 1965

P. O. BOX 4492 TELEPHONE 432-0568 AREA CODE 205

Mrs. Alice Duck Clerk, Circuit Court Baldwin County Court House Bay Minette, Alabama

Re: Hannis Harville vs: Julia Olson Stiles

Case No. 6371

Dear Mrs. Duck:

I enclose herewith a motion to strike in the above referenced matter which I would appreciate your filing on behalf of the defendant.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY

BY:

Fred G Collins

FGC/brm

Encls.

COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
958 DAUPHIN STREET
MOBILE, ALABAMA 36604

FRED G. COLLINS THOMAS M. GALLOWAY M. THOMAS MURPHY (1924-1956)

JAMES H. LACKEY

September 21, 1965

P. O. BOX 4492 TELEPHONE 432-0568 AREA CODE 205

Mrs. Alice Duck Clerk, Circuit Court Baldwin County Court House Bay Minette, Alabama

> Re: Hannis Harville vs: Julia Olson Stiles Case No. 6371

Dear Mrs. Duck:

I enclose copy of answer in the referenced case.

Sincerely yours,

COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY

red G. Collins

FGC/brm

Encl.

HANNIS HARVILLE	X	
Plaintiff	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
JULIA OLSON STILES	X	AT LAW NO. 6371
Defendant	X	

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause and moves to strike Pleas 2 and 3 filed therein and as grounds for said motion shows unto this Honorable Court as follows:

- 1. That the negligence of the driver can not be imputed to the passenger in respect to a third party.
- 2. That the allegations of Plea 2 constitute an allegation of the workings of plaintiff's mind and further allege a duty upon the said plaintiff to maintain a constant lookout for sudden or unexpected dangers which the law does not require, there being no duty on the passenger under all circumstances to keep a constant lookout for sudden dangers.
- 3. That the allegations of Plea 3 constitute an allegation of the workings of plaintiff's mind and further allege a duty upon the said plaintiff to maintain a constant lookout for sudden or unexpected dangers which the law does not require, there being no duty on the passenger under all circumstances to keep a constant lookout for sudden dangers.
- 4. That Plea 3 alleges a duty upon the said plaintiff which is not placed upon said plaintiff by the law.
- 5. And further as to Plea 2, said plea alleges a duty to protest, but the requirement to protest is not a necessary duty.
- 6. And further that said Plea 2 alleges that plaintiff knew the automobile in which he was ridingwas being driven at an excessive and dangerous rate of speed without alleging that plaintiff had an opportunity to know.
- 7. And further that said Plea 3 alleges that plaintiff knew the automobile in which he was riding was being driven at an excessive and dangerous rate of speed without alleging that plaintiff had an opportunity to know.

- 8. And further that the said Plea 2 fails to allege that the circumstances demanded said plaintiff's watchful attention and that he actually observed the dangerous speed alleged by the said defendant.
- 9. And further that the said Plea 3 fails to allege that the circumstances demanded said plaintiff's watchful attention and that he actually observed the dangerous speed alleged by the said defendant. Wherefore, this motion.

Attorney for plaintiff.

PILED DEC 1965 AUCE L DUCK, RESIDER

Plaintiff, : BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS: :

JULIA OLSON STILES, AT LAW

Defendant. : CASE NO. 6 3 7 1

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause and amends her answer heretofore filed by adding thereto the following separate and several pleas.

2. The Plaintiff aught not to have and recover of the Defendant in this cause for at the time and place described in the Complaint the Plaintiff was himself guilty of negligence which proximately contributed to the injuries complained of in that the Plaintiff was on to-wit, February 15, 1964, riding in an automobile being then and there operated by James Barlow on U. S. Highway 90 at a point just east of the Tensaw River Bridge in Baldwin County, Alabama, which said highway is a public highway, and at said time and place the said automobile in which the Plaintiff was riding was being operated by James Barlow at an excessive and dangerous rate of speed, and Defendant avers that the Plaintiff knew or by the exercise of reasonable care should have known that the automobile in which he was riding was being driven by the said James Barlow at an excessive and dangerous rate of speed, yet the Plaintiff himself negligently failed and neglected to take any steps to prevent the said James Barlow from driving said automobile while he was a passenger therein at a highly excessive and dangerous rate of speed, and as a direct and proximate result of such contributory negligence on the part of the Plaintiff, the automobile in which he was riding was involved in a three-way collision described in the Complaint.

3. The Plaintiff aught not to have and recover of the Defendant in this cause for at the time and place complained of in the Complaint the Plaintiff was himself guilty of negligence which proximately contributed to the injuries complained of in that the

Plaintiff was on to-wit, February 15, 1964 riding in an automobile being then and there operated by James Barlow on U. S. Highway 90 at a point just east of the Tensaw River Bridge in Baldwin County, Alabama, which said highway is a public highway, and at the said time and place there was not less than two people immediately to the east of the place of the collision hereinafter described, and described in the complaint and being the collision which the Plaintiff alleges proximately caused his alleged injuries, who with the use of flashlights were flagging down and warning traffic approaching the point of the collision described in the complaint, of an obstruction in said road, and the said James Barlow, driver of the vehicle in which the Plaintiff was riding as a passenger, failed to immediately slow down when approaching said two people using flashlights to flag down and warn approaching vehicular traffic, and the Defendant avers that the Plaintiff knew or by the exercise of reasonable care should have known, that the automobile in which he was riding was being driven in a negligent manner by failing to immediately slow down when it was approaching said two people with flashlights warning approaching vehicles of an obstruction in said road, and the Plaintiff himself negligently failed and neglected to take any steps to prevent the said James Barlow from continuing to drive the automobile in which Plaintiff was a passenger upon and along said U. S. Highway 90 at a point just east of the Tensaw River Bridge, without reducing the speed of said vehicle, and as a direct and proximate result of such contributory negligence on the part of the Plaintiff, the automobile in which he was riding was caused to be involved in the three car collision described in the complaint.

COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY

BY: Jeel Waller

Fred G. Collins

Thomas M. Galloway

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

foregoing pleading on counsel for all parties to this proceeding by mailing the same by United States mail, properly addressed, and first class postage prepaid.

James H Lac

COMPLAINT AS LAST AMENDED

HANNIS HARVILLE	χ	
Plaintiff	χ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs	χ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
JULIA OLSON STILES	χ 2	at LAW No. <u>637/</u>
Defendant	χ	

Comes your plaintiff and amends his complaint heretofore filed in said cause to read as follows:

COUNT ONE:

The plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of Ten Thousand and no/100 Dollars (\$10,000.00) for damages for that on to-wit, February 15, 1964, at about 6:50 P.M. the defendant so negligently operated a motor vehicle on U. S. Highway numbered 90 at a point just East of the Tensaw River Bridge of said Highway in Baldwin County, Alabama, where said highway is a public highway, as to negligently cause a three way collision which involved the motor vehicle in which your plaintiff was then and there riding at said time and place as a passenger, and as a direct and proximate result and consequence of the aforesaid negligence of the defendant, plaintiff suffered severe cuts, bruises, lacerations and wounds and was made sick, sore and lame and suffered severe pain and mental anguish and was permanently injured and permanently scarred immediately above his eyes and on his face and plaintiff was caused to expend great sums of money for medical, hospital, doctor and nurses care in and about the treatment of his injuries; and plaintiff lost much time from his employment as the result of his injuries; hence this suit.

Actorney for plaintiff,

Plaintiff, : BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

vs:

AT LAW

JULIA OLSON STILES,

Defendant.

ES, :

CASE NO. 6 3 7 1

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause and for answer to the Complaint as last amended says as follows:

1. Not guilty.

COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY

BY: Fred G. Collins

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

SEP 22 1965

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

and the second second

Plaintiff, : BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS:

AT LAW

JULIA OLSON STILES,

Defendant. : CASE NO. 6 3 7 1

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause and moves to strike from the Complaint as last amended the following allegation:

"and plaintiff lost his job as a truck driver carrying the U. S. Mail as the result of his injuries;"

And in support of said motion respectfully shows unto the Court as follows:

- 1. Said allegation seeks the recovery of damages which are not recoverable.
- 2. Said allegation seeks the recovery of damages which as a matter of law are not recoverable by the Plaintiff.
- 3. Said allegation seeks the recovery of damages which would be purely speculative in nature.
- 4. Said allegation apparently seeks damages for the loss of a job and does not seek damages for lost wages or income as a proximate result of any injuries allegedly received.

COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY

Fred G. Collins
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS



AMENDED COMPLAINT

HANNIS HARVILLE *

Plaintiff X IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

vs * BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

julia olson stiles X at law no.637/

Defendant *

Comes your plaintiff and amends his complaint heretofore filed in said cause to read as follows:

COUNT ONE:

The plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of TEN THOUSAND AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$10,000.00) for damages for that on to-wit, February 15, 1964, at about 6:50 P.M. the defendant so negligently operated a motor vehicle on U. S. Highway numbered 90 at a point just East of the Tensaw River Bridge of said Highway in Baldwin County, Alabama, where said highway is a public highway, as to negligently cause a three way collision which involved the motor vehicle in which your plaintiff was then and there riding at said time and place as a passenger, and as a direct and proximate result and consequence of the aforesaid negligence of the defendant, plaintiff suffered severe cuts, bruises, lacerations and wounds and was made sick, sore and lame and suffered severe pain and mental anguish and was permanently injured and permanently scarred immediately above his eyes and on his face and plaintiff was caused to expend great sums of money for medical, hospital, doctor and nurses care in and about the treatment of his injuries; and plaintiff lost his job as a truck driver carrying the U. S. Mail as the result of his injuries; hence this suit.

FILED

/PD 28 1965

ALIOE I DUCK CLERK

Plaintiff,

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS:

JULIA OLSON STILES, : AT LAW

Defendant. : CASE NO. 6 3 7 1

DEMURRER

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the complaint heretofore filed and as grounds for said demurrer sets down and assigns the following separate and several grounds:

- 1. Said Count fails to state a cause of action.
- 2. Said Count is vague, indefinite and uncertain in that from the allegations therein contained it is impossible to determine whether a collision occurred between the motor vehicle being operated by this Defendant and the motor vehicle in which the Plaintiff was riding.
- 3. It does not appear that there was any connection between the alleged collision of this Defendant and one motor vehicle and the alleged collision between that motor vehicle and the motor vehicle in which the Plaintiff was then and there riding.
- 4. It does not affirmatively appear from any allegations of said Count that there was any casual connection between the alleged collision of this Defendant's motor vehicle with one motor vehicle and the subsequent collision between some other motor vehicle and the motor vehicle in which the Plaintiff was then and there riding.
- 5. Said Count does not charge this Defendant with negligently causing a collision between said motor vehicle and the motor vehicle in which the Plaintiff was then and there riding.

- 6. It does not appear from any allegations of said
 Count that there was any causal connection between this
 defendant's alleged negligent operation of a motor vehicle
 motor
 and the alleged collision between some other/vehicle and the
 motor vehicle in which the Plaintiff was then and there riding.
- 7. The alleged negligence of this Defendant is but a conclusion of the pleader not supported by any allegations of fact.

8.

COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY

Fred G. Collins Attorneys for Defendant

i do hereby certify that I have on this day of 1965, served a copy of the foregoing pleading on counsel for all parties to this proceeding by mailing the same by United States mail, properly addressed, and first class postage prepaid.

FER 8 1965

FER STORY CLERY FER STER

64 PAGE 429

AOL

HANNIS HARVILLE	X		
Plaintiff	X	IN THE CIR	CUIT COURT OF
vs	Ŷ,	BALDWIN CO	UNTY, ALABAMA
Julia Olson Stiles	X	AT LAW	NO. 637/
Defendant	χ̈́		
	COMM ONTH		,

COUNT ONE

The plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of TEN THOUSAND AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$10,000.00) for damages for that on to-wit, February 15, 1964, at about 6:50 P.M. the defendant so negligently operated a motor vehicle on U.S. Highway numbered 90 at a point just East of the Tensaw River Bridge of said Highway in Baldwin County, Alabama, where said highway is a public highway, as to cause or allow said motor vehicle to collide with a motor vehicle thereby blocking the West bound lane of said highway 90 negligently causing a collision between said motor vehicle and the motor vehicle in which your plaintiff wasthen and there riding at said time and place as a passenger and as a direct and proximate result and consequence of the aforesaid negligence of the defendant, plaintiff suffered severe cuts, bruises, lacerations and wounds and was made sick, sore and lame and suffered severe pain and mental anguish and was permanently injured and permanently scarred immediately above his eyes and on his face and plaintiff was caused to expend great sums of money for medical, hospital, doctor and nurses care in and about the treatment of his injuries; and plaintiff lost his job as a truck driver carrying the W. S. Mail as the result of his injuries; hence this suit.

THOMPSON & WHITE

3Y : .

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Plaintiff demands a trial of

this cause by a Jury.

WE I WE STEEL

**			1.
STATE	OF	ALABA	ΜA
 BALI	OWIN	COUNTY	

Circuit Court, Baldwin County

.....TERM, 19....

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

by Hannis Harville

......Plaintiff.....

Vitness my hand this 27 day of 1965

. VOL

luck Clerk

1-65

64 PAGE 427

St 371	Z 62)
STATE OF A	
CIRCUIT C	COURT
HANNIS HARVILLE	
17/h vs.	Plaintiffs
JULIA OISON STI	ILES
	Defendants
SUMMONS AND	COMPLAINT
<i>f</i> -	19.6.2°

RECEIVED

JAN 28 1965

SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Plaintiff's Attorney

Defendant's Attorney

Defendant lives at 356 S. Broad St. Mobile, Ala.

RE Cocerce IN OFFICE

***************************************	-JAN-2	7.196	5	19)
************	TAYLOR	-MILKT	NS	[®] S	
this 7	h-				65
by leaving	x a copy		/_	Sty	De la companya de la
V		-			
	:		:	r	,¥*÷
					e Marijaje Soci
					91% -
·					
					:
Ruel	L	121	N.	L G	heriff
11/01	ræm	be	LB.		heriff