STATE OF ALABAMA) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COUNTY OF BALDWIN) BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded to summon LEONARD A. HAMM to appear and answer, plead or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to a Bill of Complaint filed in this Court by S & W CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, as Plaintiff, against Leonard A. Hamm, as Defendant, and at the same time make return of your actions hereunder.

REGISTER

S & W CONSTRUCTION CO.,)

Plaintiff) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

-vs-) OF BALDWIN COUNTY,

LEONARD A. HAMM,) ALABAMA

Defendant)

Witness my hand this 14 day of (1pril

COUNT ONE:

Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of, to-wit FOUR THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY-ONE and 54/100 (\$4,581.54) DOLLARS, with interest thereon at the rate of Six Percent per annum from January 1, 1956, for that on September 26, 1961, Plaintiff recovered a Judgment against Defendant in the Berea Municipal Court, City of Berea, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, in the sum of Four Thousand Five Hundred Eighty-One and 54/100 (\$4,581.54) Dollars, for materials and labor furnished to the Defendant and also for cost in said proceedings as appears more fully on records of said Court.

Plaintiff avers that said Judgment remains in full force and effect and has not been reversed, satisfied or vacated.

Plaintiff further avers that said Judgment was had and obtained pursuant to the Statutes and Laws of the State of Ohio, in such cases made and provided, which permits the making and entering of a Judgment in the amount stated and attaches herewith to the original Bill of Complaint a certificate of the Berea Municipal

Court as evidence of such Judgment.

COUNT TWO:

Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of Four Thousand
Five Hundred Eighty-one and 54/100 (\$4,581.54) Dollars, with
interest thereon at the rate of Six Percent per annum from January
1, 1956, for that on September 26,1961, Plaintiff recovered a
Judgment against the Defendant in the sum aforesaid in the Berea
Municipal Court, City of Berea, Chuyahoga County, Ohio; which said
Judgment, with interest at the rate of Six Percent (6%) per annum,
is still unsatisfied, due and unpaid.

Plaintiff avers that said Judgment was had and obtained for material and labor furnished to the Defendant, at his request, and said Court had jurisdiction of the subject matter, and acquired jurisdiction of the person of the Defendant.

ULED

APR 24 1964

ALUSE I DUCK, CLERK REGISTER

Ex-4-27-64

ceived day of aprolesses day of aproved a copy of the within A Common approved a copy of the copy of the common approved a copy of the cop

TAYLOR WILKING Sho

Elle

Sheriff claims Wilkins at

Ten Cents per mile Total \$...

TAYLOR WILKINS. Sheriff

BEPUTY SHERIFF

S. & W. CONSTRUCTION CO.,

Plaintiff

VS-

LEONARD A. HAMM,

Defendant

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

Defendant resides at Rt. # 1, Box 28, Elberta, Alabama

FILED

APR 14 1964

MICE & DUCK, CLERK REGISTER

Ernest M. Bailey, Attorney for Plaintiff

THE STATE OF OHIO CUYAHOGA COUNTY, CITY OF BEREA,

In The Berea Municipal Court

S & W	Construction Co.	}	Januar/ 1963	Term, 19
inonst	VS.	No	408	
,)		

Sopremoer 20, 1961

Case called and counsel present. Trial had. Witnesses sworn and testified, on evidence adduced, court finds there is due plaintiff from defendant, Leonard Hamm the sum of Four Thousand Five Hundred Eighty One Dollars and fifty four cents, (4,581.54) together with interest at 6% from the 1st of January 1956 and costs of this action.

No record.

THE STATE	√	¥.	Gary C.Haberman	Clerk of the Berea	Municipal
CUNAHOGA	COUNTY SS.	Court and in w	hose custody the File	s. Journals and Recor	de-of-said
	And the second s	tate of Ohio, to be kept	, hereby certify that t	he foregoing entr	w as
copied from Journ	nal No1	Page 199	of the proceeding	ngs of the said Municip	al Court,
and that said for	egoing entry ha	s been compared	by me with the orig	inal Journal and that	the same
is correct.					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1 4	VY L	TINE	20. AA 12	EXIV	Or. 1	nere	no subscribe	my na	те отпешцу	, ឧភុប ខ	irnx the
eal	of	said	Court.	at E	Berea.	this	llthay	of	Tuly	A.D.	10 13.
		2 je 2 je						Gar	C.Ha.erma	. Ti	Clork
		20 th		13	, (. ((7)				



WHAT I OF ORIU, CUYARDAA CJUMTY, CITY OF BUGAR,

II THE BARKA MANIOIBAL COURT

NO. 408

S & W MANDERUCTION (NO., 700 lkonarb &. Hass, et al, Defendants,

ALOW ALL MAN BY THESE PRESENTS, that the undersioned Judge of the Berea candisipal Court, is the only qualified and acting Judge of said Court in the City of Berea. County of Cop hoga and State of Ohio. That, pursuant to the statutes and laws of the State of Ohio, in such cases made and provided, which cermit the making and the entering of a judgment in the amount shown in the Certificate of Judgment on the reverse side hereof, a judgment was duly entered by this Court as set forth in such Certificate and that the attestation of the same by the Clerk on the reverse side hereof is in due form.

IN WILLESS WHEREOF, I nereunto subscribe my name as Judge of said Court at

ejka Jen

TO BOYOU MAINTENANCE MAINTENAN

Construction Company

Leonard Ragge et al

34

110

S & W CONSTRUCTION CO., X

Plaintiff, X IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

Vs. X BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

LEONARD A. HAMM, X AT LAW

Defendant. X CASE NO. 6026

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the Plaintiff's Complaint and each count thereof separately and severally says:

1.

That the Complaint does not state whether S. & W. Construction Co. is an individual, a partnership or a corporation.

WILTERS & BRANTLEY

BY;

torney for Defendent

FILED

MAY 25 1964

ALGE & WOK, CLERK

S. & W. CONSTRUCTION CO.,)	
Plaintiff,)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
-VS-)	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
LEONARD A. HAMM,)	AT LAW
Defendant)	CASE NO. 6026

AMENDED BILL OF COMPLAINT

Comes the Plaintiff and amends the Bill of Complaint heretofore filed in this cause to read as follows:

S. & W. CONSTRUCTION CO., a Corporation,)
Plaintiff) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
-vs-) BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
LEONARD A. HAMM,) AT LAW
Defendant) CASE NO. <u>6026</u>

COUNT ONE:

Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of, to-wit FOUR THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY-ONE and 54/100 (\$4,581.54) DOLLARS, with interest thereon at the rate of Six Percent per annum from January 1, 1956, for that on September 26, 1961, Plaintiff recovered a Judgment against Defendant in the Berea Municipal Court, City of Berea, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, in the sum of Four Thousand Five Hundred Eighty-one and 54/100 (\$4,581.54) Dollars, for materials and labor furnished to the Defendant and also for cost in said proceedings as appears more fully on records of said Court.

Plaintiff avers that said Judgment remains in full force and effect and has not been reversed, satisfied or vacated.

Plaintiff further avers that said Judgment was had and obtained pursuant to the Statutes and Laws of the State of Ohio, in such cases made and provided, which permits the making and entering of a Judgment in the amount stated and attaches herewith to the original Bill of Complaint a certificate of the Berea Municipal Court as evidence of such Judgment.

112

COUNT TWO:

Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of Four Thousand Five Hundred Eighty-one and 54/100 (\$4,581.54) Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of Six Percent per annum from January 1, 1956, for that on September 26, 1961, Plaintiff recovered a Judgment against the Defendant in the sum aforesaid in the Berea Municipal Court, City of Berea, Chuyahoga County, Ohio; which said Judgment, with interest at the rate of Six Percent (6%) per annum, is still unsatisfied, due and unpaid.

Plaintiff avers that said Judgment was nad and obtained for material and labor furnished to the Defendant, at his request, and said Court had jurisdiction of the subject matter, and acquired jurisdiction of the person of the Defendant.

Ernest M. Bailey, Attorney for Plaintiff

> FILED OCT ~ 1966

ALLE I DUK, CLERK

AMENDED BILL OF COMPLAINT

S. & W. CONSTRUCTION CO., a Corporation,

Plaintiff

VS

LEONARD A. HAMM,

Defendant

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW CASE NO. 6026

Ernest M. Bailey, Attorney for Plaintiff

Harry J. Wilters, Jr., Attorney for Defendant

S. & W. CONSTRUCTION CO., a Corporation	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
a corporation	Ö
Plaintiff	BALLWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
_	Ž
VS	AT LAW
LEONARD A. HAMM	CASE NO. 6026
American design of the control of th	Ø
Defendant	w.
	()

Comes now, the Defendant, Leonard A. Hamm, in the above styled cause and demurs to the Plaintiff's Complaint in each count thereof separately and severally says:

l.

That for ought appears from the Plaintiff's Ammended Complaint the S. & W. Construction Company, a Corporation is not the same Plaintiff as the S. & W. Construction Company, in the attached exhibits which alleges to be a Judgment Record filed with the original Bill of Complaint.

WILTERS & BRANTLEY

FILED

DEC 1 1984

ALIE I WIK REGISTER

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BAIDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAX

CASE NO. 6026

S. & W. CONSTRUCTION CO., a Corporation

Plaintiff

VS

LEONARD A. HAMM

Defendant

FILED

in the second

DEMUTALIO & MICK CLERK. REGISTE

WILTERS & BRANTLEY Attorneys at Law Bay Minette, Alabama

S & W CONSTRUCTION CO.	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
PLAINTIFF	In the Circuit Cooki or
VS	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
. ~	AT LAW
LEONARD A. HAMM,	Q CASE NO. 6026
DEFENDANT	Ŏ .

Comes now the Defendant, in the above styled cause and for answer to the Complainants Complaint thereof says:

l.

That he is not guilty of the matters alleged therein.

WILTERS & BRANTLEY

JAW 28 196

ATT L DISK, RELIGIER

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAT

CASE NO. 6026

S & W CONSTRUCTION CO.

Plaintiff

VS

LEONARD A. HAMM

Defendant

ANSWER JAN 25 1935

WILTERS & BRANTLEY Attorneys at Law Bay Minette, Alabama