STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded to summon Hugh Windbigler and Jeff Shoots to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court to be held for said county at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the Complaint of Charles B. Logan.

WITNESS my	y hand	this 5/ d	lay o	£	112cc	, 1964.
			,		auch.	Kenedo.
		,			Clerk	

CHARLES B. LOGAN,	X			
Plaintiff,	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF		
Vs.	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA		
HUGH WINDBIGLER AND JEFF SHOOTS, jointly and sev-	X	AT LAW		
erally,	X	(5997)		
Defendants.	X	S Comment of the state of the s		

I.

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of \$125,000.00 as damages, for that heretofore on, to-wit, the 25th day of June, 1963, at approximately 2/10 mile East of the limits of the Town of Elberta, Alabama, on the right of way of U. S. Highway 98 in Baldwin County, Alabama, the Defendant, Jeff Shoots, who was a servant, agent or employee of the Defendant, Hugh Windbigler, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such, so negligently operated the motor vehicle he was driving so as to collide with the Plaintiff's motor vehicle, and as a direct and proximate result of the negligence aforesaid, the Plaintiff was injured and damaged as follows: He sustained fractures of the left femur and fibula, deep lacerations of left lower leg, multiple lumatomas of left upper thigh, chip fracture of left tebial plateau, Paresthesia of right hand and fingers, traumatic shock; he was bruised, wrenched and sprained about the body and he was permanently injured; and that his whole physical being was shaken and shocked, and he was made sick and sore and was caused

to suffer great physical and mental anguish. He was caused to lose time from his usual and customary duties and activities; that the Plaintiff was caused to incur great expenses in and about procuring doctors, medicine, medical aid and attention, and hospital care and treatment of said injuries and that he will be required to do so indefinitely. That the Plaintiff's motor vehicle was bent and damaged about the rear end and the left side was bent and broken, the frame was bent and the motor vehicle was a total loss.

TT.

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of \$125,000.00 as damages, for that heretofore on, to-wit, the 25th day of June, 1963, at approximately 2/10 mile East of the limits of the Town of Elberta, Alabama, on the right of way of U. S. Highway No. 98 in Baldwin County, Alabama, the Defendant, Jeff Shoots, who was a servant, agent or employee of the Defendant, Hugh Windbigler, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such, so wantonly operated the motor vehicle he was driving so as to wantonly collide with the Plaintiff's motor vehicle, and as a direct and proximate result of the wanton negligence aforesaid, the Plaintiff was wantonly injured and damaged as follows: He sustained fractures of the left femur and fibula, deep lacerations of left lower leg, multiple lumatomas of left upper thigh, chip fracture of left tebial plateau, Paresthesia of right hand and fingers, traumatic shock; he was bruised, wrenched and sprained about the body and he was permanently injured; and that his whole physical being was shaken and shocked, and he was made sick and sore and was caused to suffer great mental and physical anguish. He was caused to lose time from his usual and customary duties and activities; that the Plaintiff was caused to incur great expenses in and about procuring doctors, medicine, medical aid and attention, and hospital care and treatment of said injuries and that he will be required to do so indefinitely. That the Plaintiff's

motor vehicle was bent and damaged about the rear end and the left side was bent and broken, the frame was bent and the motor vehicle was a total loss.

WILTERS & BRANTLEY

BY:_

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

Defendant address. Foly, Ola.

FULED

MAR SI 1964

AUT L DUN, CLERK REGISTER

C 101/1/2/21

CHARLES B. LOGAN.

Plaintiff,

VS.

HUGH WINDBIGLER and JEFF SHOOTS, jointly and severally,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW NO. 5997

DEMURRER

Now come the defendants, separately and severally, and demur to the complaint heretofore filed in this cause and to each count thereof, separately and severally, and as grounds for said demurrer assign the following, separately and severally:

- 1. It does not state a cause of action.
- 2. The place where the alleged accident occurred is not described with sufficient certainty.
- 3. From aught appearing from the complaint the plaintiff was a trespasser at the time and place of the alleged accident.
- 4. The plaintiff does not allege where he was at the time and place the alleged accident occurred.
- 5. The allegation that the motor vehicle of the plaintiff was a total loss is a mere conclusion of the plaintiff.
- 6. It is not alleged that the defendants or either of them wantonly injured the plaintiff.
- 7. It is not alleged that the defendant, Hugh Windbigler wantonly injured the plaintiff.
- 8. It is not alleged that the defendant, Jeff Shoots, wantonly injured the plaintiff.
 - J. B. BLACKBURN and JAMES R. OWEN, Attorneys for Defendants.

Ву

The defendants demand a trial by jury of this cause.

J. B. BLACKBURN and JAMES R. OWEN, Attorneys for Defendants.

Ву______

FILED

クリア

MAY 6 1964

ALUE I DION, CLERK REGISTER

Levola 4-864 Charles B. Logan

By March Congress D. S.

Hugh Windbigler
Teff Shoots

CHARLES B. LOGAN,	X
Plaintíff	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
vs	X
HUGH WINDBIGLER and JEFF	AT LAW
SHOOTS, jointly and	NO.
severally,	χ ———
Defendants	X

Comes now the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and amends his Bill of Complaint to read as follows:

l.

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$125,000.00) as damages, for that heretofore on, to-wit, the 25th day of June, 1963, at approximately 2/10 mile East of the corporate limits of the Town of Elberta, on the right of way of U. S. Highway #98, in Baldwin County, Alabama, the Plaintiff was standing between his motor vehicle and the motor vehicle of another which were parked off the paved portion of the said Highway, a place where he had a right to be; at which time and place, the Defendant, Hugh Windbigler, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such, so negligently operated the motor vehicle he was driving so as to collide with the rear of the Plaintiff's motor vehicle pinning the Plaintiff between his motor vehicle and the other motor vehicle above mentioned, and as a direct and proximate result of the negligence aforesaid, the Plaintiff was injured and damaged as follows: sustained fractures of the left femur and fibula, deep lacerations of left lower leg, multiple lumatomas of left upper thigh, chip fracture of left tibial plateau, paresthesia of right hand and fingers, traumatic shock; he was bruised,

wrenched and sprained about the body and he was permanently injured; and that his whole physical being was shaken and shocked, and he was made sick and sore and was caused to suffer great physical and mental anguish. He was caused to lose time from his usual and customary duties and activities; that the Plaintiff was caused to incur great expenses in and about procuring doctors, medicine, medical aid and attention, and hospital care and treatment of said injuries and that he will be required to do so indefinitely. That the Plaintiff's motor vehicle was bent and damaged about the rear end and the left side was bent and broken, the frame was bent and the motor vehicle was a tital loss.

2.

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$125,000.00) as damages, for that heretofore on, to-wit, the 25th day of June, 1963, at approximately 2/10 mile East of the corporate limits of the Town of Elberta, on the right of way of U. S. Highway #98, in Baldwin County, Alabama, the Plaintiff was standing between his motor vehicle and the motor vehicle of another which were parked off the paved portion of the said highway, a place where he had a right to be, and which time and place the Defendant, Jeff Shoots, who was a servant, agent or employee of the Defendant Hugh Windbigler, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such, so wantonly operated the motor vehicle he was driving so as to wantonly collide with the rear of the Plaintiff's motor vehicle, pinning the Plaintiff between his motor vehicle and the other motor vehicle, above mentioned, and as a direct and proximate result of the wanton

negligence, the Defendant, Jeff Shoots, wantonly injured and damaged the Plaintiff as follows: He sustained fractures of the left femur and fibula, deep lacerations of left lower leg, multiple lumatomas of left upper thigh, chip fracture of left tebial plateau, Paresthesia of right hand and fingers, traumatic shock; he was bruised, wrenched and sprained about the body and he was permanently injured; and that his whole physical being was shaken and shocked, and he was made sick and sore and was caused to suffer great mental and physical anguish. He was caused to lose time from his usual and customany duties and activities; that the Plaintiff was caused to incur great expenses in and about procuring doctors, medicine, medical aid and attention, and hospital care and treatment of said injuries and that he will be required to do so indefinitely. That the Plaintiff's motor vehicle was bent and damaged about the rear end and the left side was bent and broken, the frame was bent and the motor vehicle was a tital loss.

WILTERS & BRANTLEY

س: ۲

Attorneys for the Plainti

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

CHARLES B. LOGAN,

Plaintiff

vs

HUGH WINDBIGLER and JEFF SHOOTS, jointly and severally,

Defendants

AMENDED COMPLAINT

WILTERS & BRANTLEY Attorneys at Law Bay Minette, Alabama

MAY 4 1985

Retaile & Market Common

CHARLES B. LOGAN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

HUGH WINDBIGLER and JEFF
SHOOTS, jointly and severally,

Defendants.

DEMURRER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT

Now come the defendants, each separately and severally, and demur to the complaint as last amended (the amended complaint filed on May 4, 1965), and as grounds of such demurrer assign, separately and severally, the following:

- 1. It does not state a cause of action.
- 2. There is a misjoinder of causes of action.
- 3. There is a misjoinder of parties defendant.
- 4. The plaintiff does not seek to recover from each defendant under each count of the complaint.
- 5. The allegations of the amended complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain.
- 6. The allegations of the amended complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain in that it does not apprise the defendants of what acts of negligence they are called upon to defend.
- 7. The allegations of the amended complaint are conclusions of the pleader.
- 8. No facts are alleged to show for whom Hugh Windbigler was acting at the time and place of the alleged accident.
- 9. No facts are alleged to show that the defendants wil-fully injured the plaintiff.
- 10. No facts are alleged to show that the defendants wantonly injured the plaintiff.
- ll. No facts are alleged to show that the defendants wilfully or wantonly injured the plaintiff.
- 12. No facts are alleged to show that either of the said defendants wilfully injured the plaintiff.

- 13. No facts are alleged to show that either of the said defendants wantonly injured the plaintiff.
- 14. No facts are alleged to show that either of the said defendants wilfully or wantonly injured the plaintiff.

J. B. BLACKBURN JAMES R. OWEN Attorneys for Defendants

By J. 73-Blackeur

FULED

JUN 10 1985)

AME I DUN, CLERK

CHARLES B. LOGAN, χ IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF Plaintiff X X BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA VS. HUGH WINDBIGLER and JEFF AT LAW X SHOOTS, jointly and severally, NO. X Defendants

Comes now the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and amends his amendment to read as follows:

٦_

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$125,000.00) as damages, for that heretofore on, to-wit, the 25th day of June, 1963, at approximately 2/10 mile East of the Corporate limits of the Town of Elberta, on the right of way of U. S. Highway #98, in Baldwin County, Alabama, the Plaintiff was standing between his motor vehicle and the motor vehicle of another which were parked off the paved portion of the said Highway, a place where he had a right to be; at which time and place, the Defendant, Jeff Shoots, who was a servant, agent or employee of the Defendant, Hugh Windbigler, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such, so negligently operated the motor vehicle he was/driving so as to collide with the rear of the Plaintiff's motor vehicle pinning the Plaintiff between his motor vehicle and the other motor vehicle above mentioned, and as a direct and proximate result of the negligence aforesaid, the Plaintiff waslinjured and damaged as follows: He sustained fractures of the left femur and fibula, deep lacerations of left lower leg, multiple lumatomas of left upper thigh, chip fracture of left tibila plateau, paresthesia of right hand and fingers, traumatic

shock; he was bruised, wrenched and sprained about the body and he was permanently injured; and that his whole physical being was shaken and shocked, and he was made sick and sore and was caused to suffer great physical and mental anguish. He was caused to lose time from his usual and customary duties and activities; that the Plaintiff was caused to incur great expenses in and about procuring doctors, medicine, medical aid and attention, and hospital care and treatment of said injuries and that he will be required to do so indefinitely. That the Plaintiff's motor vehicle was bent and damaged about the rear end and the left side was bent and broken, the frame was bent and the motor vehicle was a total loss.

2.

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$125,000.00) as damages, for that heretofore on, to-wit, the 25th day of June, 1963, at approximately 2/10 mile East of the Corporate limits of the Town of Elberta, on the right of way of U. S. Highway #98, in Baldwin County, Alabama, the Plaintiff was standing between his motor vehicle and the motor vehicle of another which were parked off the paved portion of the said Highway, a place where he had a right to be, at which time and place the Defendant, Jeff Shoots, who was a servant, agent or employee of the Defendant, Hugh Windbigler, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such, so wantonly operated the motor vehicle he was driving so as to wantonly collide with the rear of the Plaintiff's motor vehicle, pinning the Plaintiff between his motor vehicle and the other motor vehicle, above mentioned, and as a direct and proximate result of the wanton negligence, the Defendant, Jeff Shoots, wantonly injured and damaged the Plaintiff as follows: He sustained fractures of

the left femur and fibula, deep lacerations of left lower leg, multiple lumatomas of left upper thigh, chip fracture of left tibial plateau, paresthesia of right hand and fingers, traumatic shock; he was bruised, wrenched and sprained about the body and he was permanently injured; and that his whole physical being was shaken and shocked, and he was made sick and sore and was caused to suffer great mental and physical anguish. He was caused to lose time from his usual and customary duties and activities; that the Plaintiff was caused to incur great expenses in and about procuring doctors, medicine, medical aid and attention, and hospital care and treatment of said injuries and that he will be required to do so indefinitely. That the Plaintiff's motor vehicle was bent and damaged about the rear end and the left side was bent and broken, the frame was bent and the motor vehicle was a total loss.

WILTERS & BRANTLEY

JAN 98 1986

ALE L DICK, CLERK REGISTER CHARLES B. LOGAN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

HUGH WINDBIGLER and JEFF
SHOOTS, jointly and severally,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW NO. 5997

Defendants.

DEMURRER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT

Now come the defendants, each separately and severally, and demur to the complaint as last amended (the amended complaint filed on January 28, 1966), and as grounds of said demurrer assign, separately and severally, the following:

- 1. It does not state a cause of action.
- 2. There is a misjoinder of causes of action.
- 3. There is a misjoinder of parties defendant.
- 4. The plaintiff does not seek to recover from each defendant under each count of the complaint.
- 5. The allegations of the amended complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain.
- 6. The allegations of the amended complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain in that it does not apprise the defendants of what acts of negligence they are called upon to defend.
- 7. The allegations of the amended complaint are conclusions of the pleader.
- 8. No facts are alleged to show for whom Hugh Windbigler was acting at the time and place of the alleged accident.
- 9. No facts are alleged to show that the defendants wilfully injured the plaintiff.
- 10. No facts are alleged to show that the defendants wantonly injured the plaintiff.
- ll. No facts are alleged to show that the defendants wilfully or wantonly injured the plaintiff.
- 12. No facts are alleged to show that either of the said defendants wilfully injured the plaintiff.
- 13. No facts are alleged to show that either of the said defendants wantonly injured the plaintiff.

- 14. No facts are alleged to show that either of the said defendants wilfully or wantonly injured the plaintiff.
- 15. The place where the alleged accident occurred is not described with sufficient certainty.
- 16. It does not allege that the defendant, Jeff Shoots, was an agent, servant or employee of the defendant, Hugh Windbigler, at the time and place of the alleged accident.
- 17. The allegation that the plaintiff will be required indefinitely to incur great expense in and about procuring doctors, medicine, medical aid and attention, and hospital care and treatment of his alleged injuries is a mere conclusion of the plaintiff.
- 18. The allegation that the plaintiff will be indefinitely required to incur great expenses in and about procuring doctors, medicine, medical aid and attention, and hospital care and treatment of his alleged injuries is speculative.

J. B. BLACKBURN JAMES R. OWEN Attorneys for Defendants

FILED

FEB 1986

AUF I WOL CLERK

CHARLES B. LOGAN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

HUGH WINDBIGLER and JEFF SHOOTS, jointly and severally,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW NO. 5997

PLEA

Now come the defendants in the above styled cause and for plea to the complaint heretofore filed in said cause and to each count thereof separately and severally say, separately and severally:

- 1. Not guilty.
- 2. At the time and place complained of in the complaint the plaintiff was himself guilty of negligence, which proximately contributed to his injuries and damages, hence he should not recover.

Something the second of the first

J. B. BLACKBURN and JAMES R. OWEN

FILED

DEC 9 1966

AUE I MIN CLERK REGISTER

By Attorneys for Defendants

We the server find in favor to the defendants.

Thu D. Cerche

12-13-66

CHARLES B. LOGAN,	X	
Plaintiff,	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs.	χ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
HUGH WINDBIGLER and JEFF SHOOTS, jointly and	X	AT LAW
Severally,	X	CASE NO. 5997
Defendants.	χ	

DEMURRER

Comes now the Plaintiff, in the above styled cause and demurs to the Defendant's Plea #2, and for grounds therefor says:

That this is an attempt to plead contributory negligence; and that said plea does not set out contributory negligence with sufficient particularity.

WILTERS & BRANTLEY

Filed 12.12-66 acceptance

12-12-66

-12-66 Demeetrer Overruled. Defairt. maslebron Andze.

CHARLES B. LOGAN,	χ	
Plaintiff,	χ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs.	χ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
HUGH WINDBIGLER & JEFF SHOOTS, jointly and	X	AT LAW
severally,	X	CASE NO. 5997
Defendants.	χ	

APPEAL BY PLAINTIFF

Now comes the Plaintiff and appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Alabama from a final judgment for the Defendants rendered in this cause in and by the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, Law Side, on, to-wit, the 13th day of December, 1966.

Dated this 24th day of May, 1967.

WILTERS & BRANTLEY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1 do he eby sertify that I have on this H day of 1967 served a copy of the foregoing pleading on connect to 19 parties to 11 is proceeding by mailing the same by United Size Mail, properly addressed, and first class postage prepaid.

WILTERS & BRANTLEY

MAY 24 1967

AME A MUY CLERK SEGISTER

CHARLES B. LOGAN,	χ	
Plaintiff,	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs.	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
HUGH WINDBIGLER & JEFF	X	AT LAW
SHOOTS, jointly and severally,	χ	CASE NO. 5997
Defendants.	χ	

SECURITY FOR COSTS

I, the undersigned, do hereby acknowledge myself as security for costs of the Appeal taken by the Plaintiff in this cause.

Taken and approved

this 14 day of

May, 1967.

Clerk, Circuit Court Baldwin County, Ala

T XXXX XXXXX XXXX

a⊈a deo la Poetix j

THE STATE OF ALABAMA - - - - JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA
OCTOBER TERM, 1967-68

Charles B. Logan

1 Div. 457

v.

Hugh Windbigler and Jeff Shoots, Jointly and Severally

Appeal from Baldwin Circuit Court

COLEMAN, JUSTICE.

Plaintiff appeals from a judgment for defendants in an action for personal injury sustained by plaintiff as the result of a collision between plaintiff's truck and a motor vehicle owned by one defendant and being operated by the other defendant. The allegations of the complaint are that plaintiff was standing between his truck and a third vehicle when one of the defendants drove the other defendant's vehicle into the rear of plaintiff's truck, thereby crushing plaintiff.

Defendants filed a plea of the general issue and a plea of contributory negligence. The latter plea recites:

"2. At the time and place complained of in the complaint the plaintiff was himself guilty of negligence, which proximately contributed to his injuries and damages, hence he should not recover."

Plaintiff demurred to the plea of contributory negligence on the following ground:

> "That this is an attempt to plead contributory negligence; and that said plea does not set out contributory negligence with sufficient particularity."

The court overruled plaintiff's demurrer. The case was submitted to the jury who returned a verdict for defendants.

Plaintiff's assignment of error is that the court erred in overruling his demurrer to the plea of contributory negligence.

Defendants appear to admit that their plea of contributory negligence is subject to appropriate demurrer and we are inclined to agree. To support a claim of contributory negligence, the plea must aver facts which raise a duty to defendant imposed on plaintiff by law and a negligent breach of that duty which must be shown by the allegations of the plea to have been a proximate contributing cause of plaintiff's injuries. Walker v. Bowling, 261 Ala. 46, 49, 72 So. 2d 841; Williams v. Pope, ____ Ala. ___, 203 So. 2d 105, 107.

In the instant case, defendants' plea does not aver any facts but states as a conclusion that plaintiff was himself guilty of negligence which proximately contributed to his injuries. No facts are averred to show a duty owed by plaintiff to defendants and no facts are alleged to show a breach of that duty.

Defendants say, however, that the court ought not to be put in error for overruling the demurrer because the demurrer does not specify how or in what manner the substance of the plea was objectionable. Defendants rely on § 236, Title 7, Code 1940, which recites:

"No demurrer in pleading can be allowed except as to matters of substance, which the party demurring specifies; and no objection can be taken or allowed which is not distinctly stated in the demurrer."

Defendants rely also on principles stated in opinions of this court as follows. Under the statute, § 236, supra, our consideration is limited to the grounds of demurrer which are distinctly stated, and it was error to sustain demurrers which

failed to point out the real objections to the pleas.

Fruitticher Electric Co. v. Birmingham Trust and Savings Co.,

201 Ala. 676, 677, 79 So. 248. Our statute requires specification of defects. The consideration on demurrer to a

plea susceptible of healing amendment is restricted to

grounds assigned within the rule of the cited statute.

Birmingham Ry. Light & Power Co. v. Barranco, 203 Ala. 639,

643, 84 So. 839. Defendants say the instant demurrer does

not in any way sufficiently specify the objection to the

plea, and, therefore, the demurrer was properly overruled.

In a case where this court reversed for error in sustaining demurrer to pleas, this court said:

"The first ground of demurrer was:

'Said plea is no answer to the third and fourth counts of the complaint.' Nothing could be more general than this objection. The second was: 'Said plea does not set out such facts as constitute a traverse or a confession and avoidance of the facts stated in the third and fourth counts of said complaint.' This, also, is a mere general objection to the plea without pointing out distinctly the ground of objection. The plea may be defective, but the ground of demurrer carefully fails to point out in what the defect consists. The reason for requiring by statute the causes

In <u>Scharnagel v. Furst</u>, 215 Ala. 528, 531, 112 So. 102, this court said:

"... The assigned ground that 'the plea is no answer to the complaint' is but a general demurrer, and is not available for impeachment of the plea, however defective it may be. (Citations Omitted.)"

The instant demurrer does allege that the defendants' plea does not set out contributory negligence with sufficient particularity, but the demurrer fails to state wherein the allegations are deficient.

In <u>McWilliams v. Birmingham Southern R. Co.</u>, 204 Ala. 53, 85 So. 293, plaintiff sued for wrongful death of her intestate. This court considered the rulings of the court on demurrer to the complaint. With respect to count 4, which charged that defendant was guilty of negligence, this court said:

".... The only ground urged in brief as against count 4 was ground 7; and it was general." (204 Ala. at page

Ground 7 of the demurrer recited:

"The facts set forth in said complaint as constituting negligence on
the part of the defendant or its servants
or agents do not, as matter of law, charge
negligence." (204 Ala. at page 54)

Ground 7 stated that the facts set forth as constituting negligence do not, as matter of law, charge negligence. In the instant case, the demurrer states that the plea does not set out contributory negligence with sufficient particularity. Both ground 7, supra, and the instant demurrer state, in substance, that the facts alleged do not constitute negligence, and we are of opinion that the instant demurrer is also general and was properly overruled under § 236, Title 7, because the instant demurrer fails to specify in what particular the instant plea failed to set out contributory negligence.

Error not being shown, the judgment is affirmed.

AFFIRMED.

Livingston, C. J., and Lawson and Goodwyn, JJ., con-

I, J. O. Sentell, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Alabama, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the instrument(s) herewith set out as same appears of record in said Court.

Witness my hand this day of mw 19

Clerk Supreme Court of Alabama

THE STATE OF ALABAMA...JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

October Term, 19 67-68

To the	Clerk	of the	Circuit	Court,
- managarita	The control of the second seco	Baldwin	C	ounty—Greeting:
Where	eas, the Record and	l Proceedings of the	Circuit	Court
of said co	ounty, in a certai	n cause lately pend	ing in said Cou	rt between
way may spirit and the last production to the state of th		Charles B	. Logan	, Appellant,
Hugh				and Severally , Appellee ,
wherein b	y said Court it wo	s considered adverse	ly to said appe	llant, were brought before our
Supreme	Court, by appeal	taken, pursuant to le	aw, on behalf of	said appellant:
NOW.	IT IS HEREBY C	ERTIFIED, That it we	is thereupon co	nsidered, ordered, and adjudged by
our Supre	me Court, on the	14th day of	March	, 19. 68, that said
	Judgment		of said Cir	cuit Court be in all things
		Jr., surety on		

	, pp		()	
the costs o	uccruing on said ap		d in the Court l	pelow, for which costs let execution
			J. 0	. Sentell
ar yan a yamamina anga		and the speaking of the second	•	dentificant, Clerk of the Supreme
			Court of Al	abama, at the Judicial Department
			Building, th	us the 14th day of
			Mar Clerk	the Supreme Court of Alabama.
			Clerko	i we supreme Court of Alabama.

CHARLES B. LOGAN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

HUGH WINDBIGLER and JEFF SHOOTS, jointly and severally

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW
NO. 5997

PLEA

Now come the defendants in the above styled cause and for plea to the complaint heretofore filed in said cause and to each count thereof separately and severally say, separately and severally:

- 1. Not guilty.
- 2. At the time and place complained of in the complaint the plaintiff was himself guilty of negligence, which proximately contributed to his injuries and damages, hence he should not recover.

J. B. BLACKBURN and JAMES R. OWEN

ALLED

DEC 9 1966

AUG A NULL SLEEK

Aztorneys for Defendants

Filed 12-12-66 leiefrench

THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

	October Te	rm, 19.67	<u>-</u> 68
	lst Div	., No. 45	7
:	Charles :	B. Loga	n
			Appellant,
€,)s.	
Hugh W	indbigle	r and J	eff
Shoots	, Jointl	y and S	everally Appellee. S
From	Baldwin	Circuit	Court.
C	no. 5997 ERTIFI AFFIR	MANC	E
Tl	ie Stille of L	Pabama,	ounty.
	MARy 318 19		19
	J. Diny		

BADWX PAINTING CO., MONTGOMERY

No5997			
THE STATE OF AL	ABAMA		
BALDWIN	_County.		
			, Clerk of the Circuit
Court of Baldwin		County, in	and for said State and
County, hereby cert	ify that the fore	egoing pages	numbered from one to
, bo	th inclusive, cor	ntain a full,	true and complete
transcript of the I	record and proceed	dings of said	Court in a certain
cause lately therei			
Cause Interes	*		
was plaintiff, and	hugh Windbigler &	: JEFF SHOOTS, J	ointlÿ & Severally
in said Court.			ame appears of record
	certify that the		
did on the 24th	_day of <u>May</u>	, 19_	67, pray for and obtain
an appeal from the	judgment of said	l Court to the	Supreme Court
And the second s	of Alaba	ama to reverse	e said judgment of said
Court upon enterin	g into bond with	Harry J. Wilter	ts, Ir.,
			n, which said bond has
been approved by m	e.		
		of said Circu	it Court of
Baldwin			this the 24th
day of May	<u> </u>		
		ali	ie I Duck
		Olombo of the	Circuit Court of
		Clerk of the	County, Alabama
		TOTAN TEF	Ountly, Arabama

(Code 1940, Title 7, Sec. 767)

4748 MARSHALL & BRUCE-NASHVILLE

THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

October Term, 19.67-68
1st Div., No. 457
Charles B. Logan
Appellant,
vs.
Hugh Windbigler and Jeff
Shoots, Jointly and Severally Appellee.
From Baldwin Circuit Court
No. 5997 CERTIFICATE OF AFFIRMANCE
The Still of Alabama, County.
this Mady of 1968 19
ALGE J. DION CLERK REGISTER

BROWN PRINTING CO., MONTGOMER

THE STATE OF ALABAMA Baldwin County - Circuit Court

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA — GREETING:

Whe	reas, at a Term of the C	Circuit Court of	Baldwin County	y, held on the	13th
man of the state o		West.	dayxixDece	mber	196_7, in a cer-
tain cause	in said Court wherein C	Charles B.	Logan		
		Plaintiff, and	Hugh Wind	bigler and	Jeff Shoots
joi	ntly and severall	<u>y</u>	efendant\$a judg	ement was rer	ndered against said
Plá:	intiff				
to reverse	which Judgme	ent , the sa	id Plainti	f.f.s	
man carter de la fair fair fair foi foi en versagement annument	Section 1995				
	200 200				
арриес тог	r and obtained from this	office an AFF1	mi, retainable t	O tite	
Term of o	our Supreme C	Court of the St	ate of Alabama,	to be held a	t Montgomery, on
the	day of		196	next, and tl	he necessary bond
	-				
having bee	en given by the saidH	iarry_uwl	lters, ur	Attorney	ror
w it	b				, sureties,
(4) 4) 10 15 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18					***************************************
	v. You Are Hereby Con				
nd Jeff	Shoots, jointly a	ınd sever ^a dı	ly J.B.	Blackburr	2
	, attorney, to	o appear at the	next	UA ERROR	Term of our
said Supre	eme Court, to defend aga	inst the said A	peal, if the	<u>y</u> th	ink proper.
Wit	ness, ALICE J. DUCK,	Clerk of the Ci	rcuit Court of s	said County, t	this 24
day of	May, A	D., 196_7			
	,				

Attest:

Clerk, Clerk

d on 29 day of May 1967 day of 27 day of 27 day of 27 day of 27 day of 37 day of 37 day of 38 da

service on....

TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff,
By W. A. Zelle, V.

W-2

CIRCUIT COURT
Baldwin County, Alabama

Charles B. Lugan

Vs. Citation in Appeal

Heigh Wandbigler

Issued_____, 196___