MERLE R. WOOD,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

WOODROW BISHOP AND ELLIOTT POWE,

JOINTLY AND INDIVIDUALLY,

DEFENDANTS

) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,

O AT LAW

NO. JOINTLY

DEFENDANTS

)

COUNT ONE

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of Five Hundred Eighty and 63/100 Dollars (\$580.63) as damages for that heretofore, on to-wit: The 5th day of July, 1963, the Plaintiff's automobile was being operated along and upon Highway Numbered U. S. 98, travel ling in an easterly direction, at a point thereon one-half mile East of Highway Numbered U. S. 98 and Greeno Road, being then and there a public highway in Baldwin County, Alabama, where she had a right to be, and while Plaintiff's said automobile was being operated and driven along the said U. S. Highway Number 98, a farm tractor pulling a plow and disc and which was being driven by the Defendant, Elliott Powe, agent, servant or employee of the Defendant, Woodrow Bishop, and acting within the line and scope of his employment, so negligently operated the said farm tractor as to allow or cause the plow or disc to become disengaged from the said tractor, and run loose upon the said Highway Number U. S. 98, and as a proximate result of the said negligence, another automobile swerved into the Plaintiff'f automobile and as a proximate consequence thereof, Plaintiff[‡]s automobile was damaged in that the left front fender was knocked into the cowl, that the windshield was broken, that it was otherwise bent, torn and smashed, all as a proximate result of the negligence of the Defendants, as aforesaid.

COUNT TWO

Plaintiff claims of the Defendant, Elliott Powe, the sum of Five Hundred Eighty and 63/100 Dollars (\$580.63) as damages for that heretofore and on, to-wit: The 5th day of July, 1963, while the Plaintiff's automobile was being operated along and upon Highway Numbered U. S. 98, at a point thereon one-half mile East of the intersection of Highway Number U. S. 98 and Greeno Road, which was then and there a public highway in Baldwin County, Alabama, where

she had a right to be, the Defendant, Elliott Powe, so negligently operated a farm tractor that was pulling a disc and plow as to cause or allow the disc and plow to become disengaged from the said farm tractor, and break and run loose upon said Highway U. S. 98, and as a proximate result thereof, another automobile swerved into said Plaintiff's automobile and as a proximate consequence of the negligence of the Defendant, Elliott Powe, Plaintiff's automobile was damaged in that the left front fender was knocked into the cowl, that the windshield was broken and smashed, and that the Plaintiff's said automobile was otherwise bent, torn and smashed, all as a proximate result of the negligence of the Defendant, Elliott Powe, hence this suit.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Plaintiff respectfully demands

a trial by Jury.

Attorney for Plaintiff

FILEM

JAN 20 1964

ALCE I WOK, CLERK REGISTER

MERLE R. WOOD,

Plaintiff,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

VS.

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

WOODROW BISHOP and ELLIOTT
POWE,

AT LAW

NO. 5895

Defendants.

DEMURRER:

Come now the Defendants in the above styled cause, by their attorneys, and demur to the complaint heretofore filed against them and to each count thereof, separately and severally, and assign the following separate and several grounds in support thereof:

- 1. The complaint fails to state a cause of action.
- 2. "COUNT ONE" of the complaint fails to state a cause of action.
- 3. "COUNT TWO" of the complaint fails to state a cause of action.
- 4. The complaint fails to allege any negligence on the part of the Defendant Elliott Powe, as agent, servant or employee of the Defendant Woodrow Bishop while acting within the line and scope as his employment as such.
- 5. The complaint fails to allege any duty on the part of either of the Defendants to the Plaintiff and a breach of that duty proximately resulting in the alleged damages.
- 6. The complaint and each count thereof attempts to set out the quo modo of the negligence of the Defendant Elliott Powe but fails to allege sufficient facts upon which negligence could be based as a matter of law.
- 7. For aught that appears from the allegations of the complaint the Plaintiff's damages were the proximate result of the negligence of the operator of the automobile which allegedly

swerved into the Plaintiff's automobile.

- 8. The complaint fails to allege that the collision with the Plaintiff's automobile was the proximate consequence and result of any negligence on the part of either of the Defendants.
- 9. The allegations of the complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain.
- 10. It affirmatively appears from the allegations of the complaint that the Plaintiff's damages were the proximate result of an intervening cause.

Respectfully submitted,
CHASON, STONE & CHASON

FILED

JAN 29 1994

ALIGE I DUCK, CLERK REGISTER MERLE R. WOOD, * IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

Plaintiff, * OF EALDWIN COUNTY,

Vs. * ALABAMA

WOODROW BISHOP and ELLIOTT POWE,
jointly and individually, * AT LAW

Defendants. * CASE NO:_______

Comes now the Plaintiff and amends Count One of his Complaint heretofore filed herein by changing said Count One to read as follows:

COUNT ONE

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of Five Hundred Eighty and 63/100 (\$580.63) Dollars, as damages, for that heretofore and on, to-wit, the 5th day of July, 1963, while Plaintiff's automobile was being operated along and upon Highway Numbered U. S. 98, travelling in an Easterly direction, at a point thereon, to-with, one half mile East of the intersection of Highway Numbered U. S. 98 and Greeno Road, said Highway 98 being then and there a public highway in Baldwin County, Alabama, where said Plaintiff's automobile had a right to be, the Defendants so negligently operated a tractor, pulling a plow and disc, as to run said plow or disc into the path of Plaintiff's said motor vehicle thereby necessitating the driver of Plaintiff's said motor vehicle to turn Plaintiff's said motor vehicle from said path in order to avoid hitting the Defendant's plow or disc, and in so doing the Plaintiff's said motor vehicle was struck by a motor vehicle heading in the opposite direction along U. S. Highway 98, and as a proximate result thereof Plaintiff's automobile was bent, broken, crushed and severely damaged, the automobile depreciated greatly in value, and the Plaintiff lost the use thereof for a long period of time.

And Plaintiff avers that all of the said damages to his automobile were caused by and as a proximate result of the negligence of the Defendants in and about their operation of said farm tractor at said time and place.

FILED

SEE GALL

415

Attorney for Plaintiff

HIEL NEW COSTER

MERLE R. WOOD, * IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

Plaintiff, * OF BALDWIN COUNTY,

Vs. * ALABAMA

WOODROW BISHOP and * AT LAW
ELLIOTT POWE, jointly and individually, *

Defendants.

Comes now the Plaintiff and amends Count Two of his Complaint

×

CASE NO:

Attorneў for

heretofore filed herein by changing said Count Two to read as follows:

COUNT TWO

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of Five Hundred Eighty and 63/100)(\$580.63) Dollars, as damages, for that heretofore and on, to-wit, the 5th day of July, 1963, while Plaintiff's automobile was being operated on and along Highway Numbered U. S. 98, travelling in an Easterly direction, at a point thereof, to-wit, one half mile East of the intersection of Highway U. S. 98 and Greeno Road, said Highway 98 being then and there a public highway in Baldwin County, Alabama, where said Plaintiff's automobile had a right to be, the Defendants and Eugene C. Meyer so negligently operated motor vehicles as to cause or allow said motor vehicle being driven by Eugene C. Meyer to collide with the Plaintiffs vehicle, and as a proximate result of the negligence as aforesaid, the Plaintiff's automobile was badly bent, broken and crushed, it depreciated greatly in value, Plaintiff lost the use thereof for a long period of time, all to his damages in the sum aforesaid, hence this suit.

on manyorphysics.	RECIPIENT: Write your reply	at bottom, mail	back white sheet and k	eep the pink.
+ 0	Mrs. Alice J. Duck Clerk of Circuit Court Bay Minette, Alabama		R Atto	& RICHARD C. LACEY Princys At Law Magnolia Avenue OPE, ALABAMA H
BJECT:	Merle R. Wood Vs Woodrow Bishop & Elliott Pow		DATE: 1-17-64 NAL. YOUR IMPRESSION N COPIES BENEATH.	Message - Reply
	Dear Miss Alice: Enclosed are Summons and Com		the above. Sincerely,	
		DATE OF REPLY:	SIGNED: REPLY TO: John	V. Duck W.
		DATE OF REPLY:	REPLY TO:	V. Duck W.

MERLE R. WOOD, X Plaintiff, IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF X Ĭ BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA VS. Ĭ WOODROW BISHOP and ELLIOTT AT LAW NO. 5895 Ĭ POWE, X Defendants. X

DEMURRER

Come now the Defendants in the above styled cause, by their attorneys, and demur to the amended complaint heretofore filed against them and to each count thereof, separately and severally, and assign the following separate and several grounds in support thereof:

- 1. The complaint fails to state a cause of action.
- 2. The complaint fails to allege any duty on the part of either of the Defendants to the Plaintiff and a breach of that duty proximately resulting in the alleged damages.
- 3. The complaint and each count thereof attempts to set out the quo modo of the negligence of the Defendant Elliott Powe but fails to allege sufficient facts upon which negligence could be based as a matter of law.
- 4. For aught that appears from the allegations of the complaint the Plaintiff's damages were the proximate result of the negligence of the operator of the automobile which allegedly swerved into the Plaintiff's automobile.
- 5. The complaint fails to allege that the collision with the Plaintiff's automobile was the proximate consequence and result of any negligence on the part of either of the Defendants.
- 6. The allegations of the complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain.
- 7. It affirmatively appears from the allegations of the complaint that the Plaintiff's damages were the proximate result of an intervening cause.
- 8. It affirmatively appears that the party guilty of the negligence which was the proximate cause of the accident is

not made a party defendant to the cause.

Respectfully submitted, CHASON, STONE & CHASON

V: Attorneys for Defend

FILED

NOV 10 1964

ALICE I. DUCK, CLERK REGISTER

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA	
You Are Commanded to Summon WOODROW BISHOP AND ELLIOTT POWE	
to appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint fil	ed in
the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against WOODROW_BIS	<u> 10P</u>
AND ELLIOTT POWE, JOINTLY AND INDIVIDUALLY	t s
byMERLE_RWOOD	
Plaintii	f
Witness my hand this 20 day of January 1964	
	Clerk

No. 245 Page	
STATE of ALABAMA Baldwin County CIRCUIT COURT	Defendant lives at WOODROW BISHOP: Route 2, Fairhope Alabama ELLIOTT POWE: 461 Twin Beach Rd Fairhope Alabama Received In Office
MERLE R. WOOD	(Jano, 1964)
Vs. WOODROW BISHOP AND ELLIOTT POWE, JOINTLY AND INDIVIDUALLY Defendants Summons and Complaint	Sheriff. I have executed this summons this 2 2 1 for 19 / 19 / 19 / 19 / 19 / 19 / 19 / 19
Filed	
ALICE I, DUCK, CLERK REGISTER	Sharly claims 20.0 miles at You Contained mile Tural S 2.0.0. TAYLOR WILKINS, Shariff BY SEPUTY SHERIFF
Plaintiff's Attorney Defendant's Attorney	Jayley Wilfain Leef Jukel Deputy Sheriff.