JOHN DUBROCK	χ	
Plaintiff	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
BORDEN'S DAIRY, a division of THE BORDEN COMPANY	X	AT LAW NO. 5794
	χ	
Defendant	χ̈́	
	T	

The plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of Nine Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$9,500.00) damages for the conversion by them on August 21, 1963, of the following personal property, to-wit:

A milk base of the gallonage of Fifty-three (53) gallons of milk per day, deliverable in Baldwin County, Alabama, to a tank truck of the said defendant for drayage by the said defendant to the Pensacola, Florida plant of the said defendant.

-2-

Plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of Nine Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$9,500.00) for the conversion by the defendant of the following personal property, to-wit: a milk base of Fifty-three (53) gallons per day, deliverable in Baldwin County, Alabama, to a tank truck operated by the defendant for transportation of said milk to the Pensacola, Florida plant of the said defendant.

Plaintiff avers that said conversion by the said defendant of the milk base aforesaid was the denial by the said defendant of the plaintiff's right to sell said milk base for a valuable consideration as noted by the said defendant through its agent, servant, officer, or employee, on August 21, 1963, as set out in a letter from the said defendant's agent, servant or employee to said plaintiff's attorney.

OCT 5_ 1963

THOMPSON & WHITE

: Attornated for all interest

ARE L DICK CLERK REGISTER

JOHN DUBROCK	χ	
Plaintiff	χ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs	χ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
BORDEN'S DAIRY, a division	χ	AT LAW NO
of the BORDEN COMPANY	χ	
Defendant	χ	

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause and propounds the following interrogatories to the defendant's agent, servant or employee, Lamar Garrett:

- l. Are you Lamar Garrett?
- 2. Are you branch manager of the Pensacola plant?
- 3. How long have you been employed in that plant as manager?
- 4. Do you know John DuBrock, the plaintiff in this cause?
- 5. How long have you known the plaintiff?
- 6. Do you know whether or not he had a milk base with Borden's?
- 7. Was this milk base with the Borden Pensacola plant?
- 8. Did you keep the milk base for Borden's Dairy in Pensacola?
- 9. What was the amount of the plaintiff's milk base in December, 1960; July, 1961; July, 1962; and July, 1963?
- 10. When was the last time your company sent the amount of the milk base to the plaintiff?
- 11. State the amount of the base on that date and attach a copy of the form used to notify the producer.
- 12. Calculate the percentage of milk that the producer was entitled to furnish as a milk base under the Alabama-Florida compact during the months of July and August, 1963.
- 13. Is the percentage alloted the plaintiff herein under which Alabama-Florida milk compact as it existed during the month of August, 1963, a percentage of the milk which the Pensacola branch of the Borden Company sells in Alabama?
- 14. Do you have access to the records of the Borden Company showing the gallonage of milk purchased from the plaintiff at his address in Baldwin County, Alabama, for defendant, the Pensacola, Florida branch?
- 15. If your answer is "yes", state the gallonage purchased from the plaintiff for said Pensacola branch for each month during the twelve months preceding September, 1963.

- 16. State the milk base alloted by the said defendant to John DuBrock, plaintiff in this cause for the year September 1, 1962, to September 1, 1963.
- 17. State the name of any producer who was alloted since August 21, 1963, additional milk base.
- 18. State the amount of additional milk base alloted each producer getting an increase since August 21, 1963.
- 19. If your answer to interrogatory fourteen above is "no", state the name and address of the agent, servant, employee or official of the said defedant corporation who has access to the records of the said corporation.
- 20. State, if you know, the date when the Borden Company last changed the requirements for transferring milk bases.
- 21. State, if you know, what other milk bases or percentages of Alabama produced milk has Borden transferred from one Alabama producer to another Alabama producer since August 21, 1963.
 - 22. Do you know W. J. Barritt, Jr.,?
- 23. If your answer is "yes", what is his capacity with Borden's Dairy, a division of the Borden Company in Florida.
- 24. Was the Borden Company through its Pensacola branch purchasing or transporting milk from any milk producers in Alabama, which milk is hauled to the Pensacola branch of the said defendant corporation during the month of August, 1963?
- 25. If your answer is "yes", name the counties in Alabama in which milk producers are located whose milk is transported by the Borden Company or for use in the Borden Company's Pensacola branch plant.
- 26. If your answer to the preceding question is "yes", name the producers in Baldwin County, Alabama, from whom the Borden Company Obtained milk in August 21, 1963.
- 27. Give the total gallonage of milk purchased from each Alabama producer during the months of June, July and August, 1963.

- 28. Were you notified when the Borden Company through the actions of one, W. J. Barritt, Jr., President of the Borden's Dairy, in Florida, stated in writing that Borden's would not recognize any purported transfer of plaintiff's milk base by the Borden Company?
- 29. Is the Borden Company, defendant in this cause, purchasing or transporting milk from any milk producers in Alabama which milk is hauled to the Pensacola branch of the said defendant corporation?
- 30. If youranswer is "yes", name these producers as they were on August 21, 1963, and give the gallonage of milk which was purchased from each producer during the preceding month.
- 31. Name the producers from which the said defendant is purchasing milk in Alabama for the defendant's Pensacola branch as of the 21st day of September, 1963, and give the gallonage purchased from each of said producers during that period.
- 32. Do you have a copy of the allotment breakdown of milk base by producers furnished to the State of Alabama Milk Control Board on or about May 13, 1963?
- 33. If your answer to the preceding question is "yes", attach a copy showing the percentage of Alabama milk designated as the producers' base for each of the Alabama producers.
- 34. Did W. J. Barritt, Jr., or anyone in authority in the Borden Company, give you any instructions concerning the transfer of Alabama milk base from a producer to a purchaser of such base during the year 1963?
- 35. If your answer to the preceding question is "yes", state the name or identification of the person instructing you on milk base transfers and attach a copy of the instructions if same are in writing.

THOMPSON & WHITE

STATE OF ALABAMA

BALDWIN COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned Notary Public, in and for said State and County, personally appeared C. LeNoir Thompson, who being by me first duly sworn, deposes on oath and says as follows:

My name is C. LeNoir Thompson and I am one of the Attorneys of Record for the plaintiff in the above entitled cause, and as such, I am authorized to make this affidavit. I further state that the answer of the said witness to the foregoing interrogatories will, if truthfully made, be material evidence for the plaintiff on the trial of said cause.

Chenoir Thompson

Subscribed and sworn to before me by the said C. LeNoing Thompson on this the <u>31d</u>day of <u>December</u> 1963.

FILED

DEC 3 1963

AUG I DUCK, CLERK

JOHN DUBROCK	χ	
Plaintiff	χ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
VS	χ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
BORDEN'S DAIRY, a division of the BORDEN COMPANY	χ	AT LAW NO.
	χ	
Defendant ·	χ	

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause and propounds the following interrogatories to the defendant's agent, servant or employee, W. J. Barritt, Jr.:

- (1) What is the defendant's correct name?
- (2) If the defendant is a corporation, in what state was the defendant incorporated?
- (3) Is the defendant qualified under the laws of Alabama to conduct business within the State of Alabama?
- (4) If the defendant is a non-resident or Foreign corporation qualified to conduct business within the State of Alabama, state the name and address of all agents designated by defendant for service of court process.
- (5) Did the defendant purchase milk from producers in Baldwin County, Alabama, during the months of June, July and August, 1963?
- (6) Did the defendant transport this milk from the dairy farms of producers in Baldwin County to defendant's plant in Pensacola, Florida, during and prior to the month of August, 1963?
- (7) Did the following plaintiffs: Claude Creighton, R. A. Flowers, A. V. Campbell, W. J. Campbell and John DuBrock, individually, or through their attorney advise you that they wished to sell or transfer their milk bases with Borden's in accordance with the allocation as indicated under the Alabama-Florida compact in effect during the month of August, 1963?
- (8) If your answer is "yes" to the foregoing interrogatory, did you authorize the sale or transfer of any of the following named milk bases, including that of the plaintiff herein: R. A. Flowers, Claude Creighton, A. V. Campbell, W. J. Campbell and John DuBrock?
- (9) If your answer is "no" to the preceding interrogatory, did you advise the said plaintiff or plaintiffs and/or the attorney of such that the said bases could be transferred and outlined the procedure for said transfer of sale?
 - (10) If your answer is "no" to the authorization of sale and

transfer, then attach a copy of the letter or letters which you wrote to the plaintiff herein or his attorney. C. LeNoir Thompson in reply to a request for the transfer by your company of a sale of the milk base.

- (11) Were you advised as an officer of Borden's, Inc., at Tampa, Florida, concerning the appearance of the said plaintiff herein at the office of Borden's, Inc., of Pensacola, Florida, and of a request personally and by attorney for the said plaintiff herein to Mr. Jay Strubel, an agent, servant or employee of the said Borden's Inc., as to the required proceedings of Borden's, Inc., under which plaintiff's milk base could be sold or transferred?
- (12) What instructions on the sale of milk base by Baldwin County, Alabama, producers, if any, did you issue to the said Jay Strubel or to Lamar Garrett, both being agents, servants or employees of Borden's, Inc., at Pensacola, Florida?
- (13) Attach copies of instructions issued to either or both Jay Strubel and/or Lamar Garrett.
- (14) Attach a copy of any letters written C. LeNoir Thompson, attorney for said plaintiff together with a copy of the letter or letters written to Borden's by the said C. LeNoir Thompson, in his capacity as attorney for plaintiff.

THOMPSON, & WHITE

Attorneys

and the state of t

plaintif

W MALON

County,

Alabama

STATE OF ALABAMA

BALDWIN COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned Notary Public, in and for said State and County, personally appeared C. LeNoir Thompson, who being by me first duly sworn, deposes on oath and says as follows:

My name is C. LeNoir Thompson and I am one of the Attorneys of Record for the plaintiff in the above entitled cause, and as such I am authorized to make this affidavit. I further state that the answer of the defendant to the foregoing interrogatories will, if truthfilly made be material evidence for the plaintiff on the trial of said cause.

Subscribed and sworn to before me by the said C. LeNoirThompson on this the 3nd day of December . 1963.

Notary Public; Baldsin

FILED

DEC 3 1963

ALDE L BUN, REGISTER

The State of Alabama, Baldwin County.	No. 5.294	Court, Baldwin County TERM, 19
TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE	STATE OF ALABAMA	
You Are Commanded to Summon	BORDEN'S DAIRY,	a division of THE BORDEN
to appear and plead, answer or dem	ur, within thirty days from	the service hereof, to the complaint filed in
the Circuit Court of Baldwin Count	y, State of Alabama, at Bay	y Minette, against _BORDEN'S_DAIRY,
a division of THE BO	rden Company	, Defendant
byJOHN_DUBROCK		
Witness my hand this		, Plaintiff ! 1963

~ '	j
	10011
***) /7H
Noc	Z_JL

Page ._____

Defendant lives at

STATE of ALABAMA

Baldwin County

							_	_		_	_
	_	_	_	4 .			C	\sim	7 2		K.
_			_	B #		4		9 3	1 5	1.2	Ŗ
ē .		-	•	B B	4	*	· ·	\sim	\sim		

JOHN DUBROCK

Plaintiffs

vs.

THE BORDEN COMPANY

Defendants

and Complaint Summons

How Fred S. Ball or How Richard a Sall 717 First Not'l Sanker Bldg Montgomery, Ola.

Plaintiff's Attorney

Defendant's Attorney

	PROSEIVER IN OFFICE	
	6C 35 10039 (CB	
. (V)		
	M. S. BUTLER, Sheriff Sheri	ff.
I	have executed this summons	
	- na	F

by lea	wing 36	opy5with			4
2	2	Ball	as	axi	ul
Lee	, 5h	Bor	Den (turp	auy
$U_{\underline{}}$					_/
					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

_	************	 	 	
-				
_				
_				

		 ~		
-		 	 	

•:	٠.	
-		Sheriff.
	Deputy	Sheriff.

JOHN DUBROCK	χ		
Plaintiff	χ	IN THE CIRC	CUIT COURT OF
vs	χ	BALDW IN CO	INTY, ALABAMA
BORDEN'S DAIRY, a Division of the BORDEN COMPANY	X	AT LAW	NO.
	χ		
Defiendant	. χ	A 1890	

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause and for replication to defendant's Pleas 3 and 4 as amended in said cause shows as follows:

1. That on to-wit, the 8th day of May, 1963, the Alabama Milk Control Board and the Florida Milk Commission entered into an agreement in accordance with copy attached hereto and marked plaintiff's Exhibit "A", which agreement was for the specific benefit of your said plaintiff and others setting out the names of the said beneficiaries of said agreement which list of producers is marked plaintiff's Exhibit "B" and attached hereto and made a part of this replication.

And further, that said agreement allocated to the said Alabama producers of which your plaintiff was one, a specified portion of the milk sales to the defendant herein.

2. As to Plea 4 as amended of said answer of the defendant, said plaintiff shows that said defendant denied said plaintiff the right to sell or dispose of the milk base owned by your said plaintiff and guaranteed or protected under the said agreement between the Alabama Milk Control Board and

NOV 1 1965

Attorney for plaintiff.

I hereby Certify I have this 1st day of
Tovember, 1965, mailed a copy of the fortgoing replication to Honorable John Chason
attorney for desendant 11.5. Pastage preupaid to his address in Buy Minette,
Ala.

AGRESMENT

The Committee representing the Florida Milk Commission and the Alabama Milk Control Board agrees, in light of the emergency situation existing in the Pensacola milk marketing area that a temporary solution to the plan be adopted by the Alabama State Milk Control Board and immediately recommended for adoption by the Florida Milk Committee, said emergency temporary agreement to be as follows:

- (i) All sales of Borden Company in Alabama to be allocated to Alabama producers.
- (2) Thirty per cent (30%) of the Florida sales to be allocated to Alabama producers.
- (3) The remaining Florida sales of Borden Company (70%) shall be allocated to Florida base earned producers.
- (4) All sales of Alabama produced milk by the Borden plant within the State of Florida shall be subject to all price restrictions and regulations of the Florida Milk Commission.
- (5) All Alabama sales of Alabama produced milk by Borden Company shall be subject to price restrictions and regulations of the Alabama State Milk Control Board.
- (5) The Florida Milk Commission agrees that the Alabama State Milk Control Board shall have all authority necessary to enforce compliance with this agreement within the State of Florida.
- (7) The Alabama State Milk Control Board agrees that the Florida Milk Commission shall have all authority necessary to enforce compliance with this agreement in the State of Alabama.
- (8) This agreement shall be in full force and effect from May 1, 1963, to August 1, 1963, and thereafter upon mutual consent of the parties.
- (9) It is the intent of the parties by this agreement to solve all problems existing between the respective states of Alabama and Florida as they relate to the dairy industry and this agreement contemplates the same or similar arrangement be made in order to protect the Florida or ducers selling their milk in the State of Alabama.

This agreement made and entered into at Tallahassee, Leon Cou. this the 8th day of May, $\lambda.D.$, 1963.

/s/	Dr. Wallage Miller
	Chairman of Alabama Board
/s/	J. H. Cone
	Chairman Florida committee

Due to the emergency conditions existing, the Alabama State Milk Control Board was present and acquiesced the Chairman's signature to the above agreement, and the Chairman of the Florida committee polled by telephone a majority of the Florida Milk Commission prior to execution of this agreement.

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the Agreement between the committee of the Florida Milk Commission and the Alabama Milk Control Board.

Executive Secretary

Sworn to and subscribed before me this _____ day of October, 1963.

Notary Public

Exhibit "B"

PRODUCTION CASE	32.52 2
The first state of the second state of the sec	
Abomme, J. W.	at a held think
ATKINGON, E. A.	2,8354
Campbell A. V.	3,4875
Campaell, W. C.	1.8780
Colmy, M. S.	A STATE OF S
Dabrock, John	1,1518
gilison, Zapa	3,4248
Emmons, Roy	2.1279
FRITAI, W. R.	Q 686Q
Flowers P. A.	1.0930
CERY, Summer	3.5483
Hugghins, Homer 5.	4,2659
ime, A. A.	\$ - 2945 D
	2.0236
Long, C. F.	3.7507
Ogens. T. O.	4.0013
Smith, Edger No. 2	5.7899
stons, B. M.	2,370%
#11#0m; 3- p.	2.5377
creagator classes to	1,3779
	,48.27 6 9
	an in a participan in contra modificação problem esti-
and the second	0000,000

receive u

M / 12 (230)

STATE OF ALSEAMA BLK CONTROL BOAMP MONTGOMENY, ALS

JOHN DUBROCK,	χ
Plaintiff,	X IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs.	X BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
BORDEN'S DAIRY, A Division of the BORDEN COMPANY,	X AT LAW NO. 5794
Defendant.	χ
berendame.	X ·

Comes the Defendant in the above styled cause and for plea to count "-l-" of the complaint filed in said cause, says:

- 1. Not guilty.
- 2. That the allegations of the complaint are untrue.
- The complaint claims damages for the conversion of a milk base on August 21, 1963. The Defendant is a milk distributor with its plant located in Pensacola, Florida, at which plant the so-called conversion of the milk base occurred. That on October 25, 1962, prior to the date of the alleged conversion, the Florida Milk Commission adopted a base fixing order for Borden's Pensacola Plant, which order allocated all bases in Borden's Pensacola Plant to Florida producers serving such plant and which order required the Defendant to obey such base order under penalty of having its license as a distributor suspended or revoked. Plaintiff, with other producers, filed a suit in the Circuit Court of Leon County, Florida, against the Florida Milk Commission challenging the validity of such base order. The Circuit Court of said County rendered judgment in favor of the Florida Milk Commission and against the Plaintiff in this case, and others who were joint Plaintiffs therein. Such case was appealed to the Supreme Court of Florida and is now pending before such Court. Such order of the Florida Milk Commission still remains in full force and effect, and, therefore, the Plaintiff John Dubrock had no

milk base with the Defendant which would be the subject of any conversion on August 21, 1963, hence the Plaintiff should not recover in this suit.

- 4. The complaint claims damages for the conversion of a milk base on August 21, 1963. Subsequent to that date, and until August 30, 1963, the Plaintiff continued to deliver milk to the Defendant and such deliveries continued until August 30, 1963, at which time the Plaintiff voluntarily ceased selling milk to the Defendant and thereby voluntarily abandoned any so-called milk base which he may have had at any time prior to that date, with the Defendant, hence he should not recover in this suit.
- 5. The complaint claims damages for the conversion of a milk base on August 21, 1963. On that date the Plaintiff was not a licensee of the Alabama State Milk Control Board. A milk base in the State of Alabama becomes personal property, which is the subject of a conversion, only when a producer is selling to a distributor, and both are licensed by the Alabama State Milk Control Board. The Plaintiff was not the owner, on August 21, 1963, of personal property which would be the subject of any conversion.

Astorneys for the Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing pilling has been served upon counsel for all parties to this proceeding, by highlight same to each by First Class United States Mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid on this and day

lason

AUG 3 1966

ALLE L. Marin, GLESS

JOHN DUBROCK, Plaintiff,

vs.

BORDEN'S DAIRY, A Division of the BORDEN COMPANY, Defendant.

PLEAS

NUG 2 1000

MILL A WIN GLEAK REGISTER

JOHN DUBROCK	Ĭ				
Plaintiff	Ĭ				
vs.	Ĭ	IN THE CIRC	CUIT COURT OF		
V 5 •	X	RAYDWIN COI	COUNTY, ALABAMA		
BORDEN'S DAIRY, a division of THE BORDEN COMPANY	X	DHIDNER COC	, 1111111111111111111111111111111111111		
Defendant	X	AT LAW	NO		
per engan c	X				

DEMURRER:

Comes the Defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the complaint filed in said cause and each and every count thereof, separately and severally, and assigns the following separate and several grounds, viz:

- 1. That said complaint does not state a cause of action.
- 2. That said complaint does not allege any duty owing by the Defendant to the Plaintiff.
- 3. The allegation in each count of the complaint claims damages for the conversion of a milk base without alleging sufficient facts to show that such base is personal property.
 - 4. That each of said counts are vague and indefinite.
- 5. The allegations of the complaint are not sufficient to show any ownership of a milk base on the part of the Plaintiff.
- 6. For aught that appears from each count of the complaint the milk base referred to was simply a working arrangement between the parties and was not a property right in the Plaintiff.
- 7. No specific claim of ownership on the part of the Plaintiff to such milk base is sufficiently set out.
- 8. Said complaint claims damages for a tort and not for a breach of contract.
- 9. Count "-2-" of the complaint seeks to set out the quo modo of the negligence on the part of the Defendant without setting out sufficient facts to establish such negligence.
- 10. That count "-2-" of said complaint refers to a letter but the contents of such letter are not set out therein.

DUMURRER

JOHN DUBROCK

Plaintiff

vs.

BORDEN'S DAIRY, a division of THE BORDEN COMPANY

Defendant

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

11. That the allegations as to damages are but conclusions of the pleader.

Attorneys for Defendant

Defendant demands a trial of this cause by a jury.

Attorneys for Defendant

FILED

DEC 16 1968

AUCE 1, MICK, SLERIER
REGISTER

entre entre

Market State State

DEMURRER

JOHN DUBROCK

Plaintiff

vs.

BORDEN'S DAIRY, a division of THE BORDEN COMPANY

Defendant

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

JOHN DUBROCK	χ	
Plaintiff	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
VS	χ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
BORDEN'S DAIRY, a Division	χ	AT LAW NO
of the BORDEN COMPANY	χ	
Defendant	χ	

comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause and amends his replication to defendant's Pleas 3 and 4 as amended in said cause to read as follows:

1. That on to-wit, the 5th day of May, 1963, the Alabama Milk Control Board and the Florida Milk Commission entered into an agreement in accordance with copy attached hereto and marked plaintiff's Exhibit "A", which agreement was for the specific benefit of your said plaintiff and others including your said plaintiff as one of said beneficiaries of said agreement which said agreement was in effect on August 21, 1963.

And further, that said agreement allocated to the said Alabama producers of which your plaintiff was one, a specified portion of the milk sales to the defendant herein.

2. As to Plea 4 as amended of said answer of the defendant, said plaintiff shows that said defendant denied said plaintiff the right to sell or dispose of hissaid milk base which said milk base amounted to 1.1516% of all sales of the defendant in Alabama to be allocated to Alabama producers, owned by your said plaintiff and guaranteed or protected under the said agreement between the Alabama Milk Control Board and the Florida Milk Commission, which said agreement was in effect on August 21, 1963.

FILED

MOVE A 1075

AIX I PRA CESTO

C O P

Exhibit "A"

C P

AGREEMENT

The Committee representing the Florida Milk Commission and the Alabama Milk Control Board agrees, in light of the emergency situation existing in the Pensacola milk marketing area that a temporary solution to the plan be adopted by the Alabama State Milk Control Board and immediately recommended for adoption by the Florida Milk Committee, said emergency temporary agreement to be as follows:

- (1) All sales of Borden Company in Alabama to be allocated to Alabama producers.
- (2) Thirty per cent (30%) of the Florida sales to be allocated to Alabama producers.
- (3) The remaining Florida sales of Borden Company (70%) shall be allocated to Florida base earned producers.
- (4) All sales of Alabama produced milk by the Borden plant within the State of Florida shall be subject to all price restrictions and regulations of the Florida Milk Commission.
- (5) All Alabama sales of Alabama produced milk by Borden Company shall be subject to price restrictions and regulations of the Alabama State Milk Control Board.
- (6) The Florida Milk Commission agrees that the Alabama State Milk Control Board shall have all authority necessary to enforce compliance with this agreement within the State of Florida.
- (7) The Alabama State Milk Control Board agrees that the Florida Milk Commission shall have all authority necessary to enforce compliance with this agreement in the State of Alabama.
- (8) This agreement shall be in full force and effect from May 1, 1963, to August 1, 1963, and thereafter upon mutual consent of the parties.
- (9) It is the intent of the parties by this agreement to solve all problems existing between the respective states of Alabama and Florida as they relate to the dairy industry and this agreement contemplates the same or similar arrangement be made in order to protect the Florida producers selling their milk in the State of Alabama.

This agreement made and entered into at Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida, on this the 8th day of May, A.D., 1963.

/s/	Dr. Wallace Miller
	Chairman of Alabama Board
/-/	I II Comp
/s/	I. H. Cone Chairman Florida committee

Due to the emergency conditions existing, the Alabama State Milk Control Board was present and acquiesced the Chairman's signature to the above agreement, and the Chairman of the Florida committee polled by telephone a majority of the Florida Milk Commission prior to execution of this agreement.

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the Agreement between the committee of the Florida Milk Commission and the Alabama Milk Control Board.

Executive Secretary

Sworn to and subscribed before me this ____ day of October, 1963.

Notary Public

JOHN DUBROCK,	χ	
Plaintiff,	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs.	χ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
BORDEN'S DAIRY, a Division of the BORDEN COMPANY,	X	AT LAW NO. 5794
Defendant.	χ	
	χ	

MOTION

Comes the Defendant in the above styled cause and moves the Court to strike the Replication to Defendant's Pleas 3 and 4 and each paragraph, separately and severally, and as grounds for its said Motion says:

- 1. That said Replication presents no defense to Defendant's Pleas 3 and 4.
 - 2. That said Replication is frivolous.
 - 3. That said Replication is vague and indefinite.
- 4. That the allegation in such Replication that the agreement, which is attached as Exhibit A, was for the benefit of the Alabama Producers, of which your Plaintiff was one, is but a conclusion of the Pleader.
- 5. That said Replication fails to allege that the Plaintiff was an Alabama Producer who was licensed by the Alabama Milk Control Board.
- 6. The allegation in Exhibit A, which is attached to the Replication, that such agreement was in force and effect on August 21, 1963, is contrary to such agreement which states that it expired August 1, 1963, except upon mutual consent of the parties, and there is no allegation that such agreement was extended by mutual consent of the parties.

- 7. That the agreement attached as Exhibit A to the Replication is not properly executed by the proper authorities.
- 8. It is not shown by such Replication when or where the Defendant denied the right of the Plaintiff to sell or dispose of a milk base.

Actorneys for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

pleading has been served upon counsel for all parties to this proceeding, by neiling the same to each by First Class Lited States Mall, properly addressed a postage prepaid on this day

of Ully, 1966

AUC 8 1986

ALLE & MOK, GLEGGIGE

JOHN DUBROCK, Plaintiff,

VS.

BORDEN'S DAIRY, a Division of the BORDEN COMPANY,

Defendant.

MOTION

AUG 3 1966

JOHN DUBROCK,	χ		
Plaintiff,	χ	IN THE CIRC	UIT COURT OF
vs.	χ	BALDWIN COU	NTY, ALABAMA
BORDEN'S DAIRY, A Division of the BORDEN COMPANY,	X	AT LAW	NO. 5794
Defendant.	X X		

MOTION TO STRIKE

Comes the Defendant in the above styled cause and moves to strike the Replication of the Plaintiff and Exhibits "A" and "B" attached thereto to Defendant's Pleas 3 and 4, as amended, and as grounds for its said motion says:

- 1. That Replication 1 neither admits nor denies the allegation of Defendant's Pleas 3 and 4 as amended.
- 2. That the Agreement attached as Exhibit "A" to the Plaintiff's Replication does not show that such Agreement was ever formally adopted by the Florida Milk Commission and by the Alabama Milk Control Board.
- 3. The Agreement attached as Exhibit "A" to Plaintiff's Replication does not allege that such Agreement was for the benefit of Alabama producers who are not licensed by the Alabama Milk Control Board at that time.
- 4. That nothing appears in Exhibit "A", which is attached to the Replication of the Plaintiff to show that it was for the specific benefit of the Plaintiff.
- 5. That Exhibit "B", which is attached to the Replication of the Plaintiff, is a copy of a list of names and base percentages without anything listed thereon to show that they are producers licensed by the State of Alabama and entitled to the

benefit of any agreement.

- 6. That Exhibit "A", which is attached to Plaintiff's Replication, is only an agreement that a plan be adopted by the Florida Milk Commission and Alabama Milk Control Board without any formal adoption of either Board appearing thereon.
- 7. That it affirmatively appears by paragraph (8) of Exhibit "A" to Plaintiff's Replication that such agreement shall be in force and effect only from May 1, 1963, to August 1, 1963, and thereafter upon mutual consent of the parties and no proof is offered that such agreement was extended beyond August 1, 1963, by mutual consent of the parties.
- 8. That the Complaint filed by the Plaintiff claims damages for a conversion which is supposed to have occurred on August 21, 1963, and for aught appearing from Plaintiff's Replication any so-called agreement which was entered into by the Florida Milk Commission and the Alabama Milk Control Board on May 8, 1963, had expired by its terms on August 1, 1963.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsel for all parties to this proceeding, by mailing the same to each by First Class United States Mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid on this 2 day Mug

19/00

4110

JOHN DUBROCK, Plaintiff,

vs.

BORDEN'S DAIRY, A Division of the BORDEN COMPANY, Defendant.

MOTION TO STRIKE

John France, von der Geberten von der Ge

Annual States

CLESK GLESK

JOHN DUBROCK,	X	
Plaintiff,	X IN THE CIRC	UIT COURT OF
vs.	X BALDWIN COU	NTY, ALABAMA
BORDEN'S DAIRY, A Division of the BORDEN COMPANY,	X AT LAW	NO. 5794
Defendant.	X	
	χ	

Comes the Defendant in the above styled cause and amends pleas "3" and "4" heretofore filed in said cause so that the same shall read as follows:

- 3. The complaint claims damages for the conversion of a milk base on August 21, 1963. The Defendant, on that date, and at the present time, is a milk distributor with one of its plants located in Pensacola, Florida, at which plant the so called conversion of milk base occurred. On October 25, 1962, prior to the date of the alleged conversion, the Florida Milk Commission adopted a base fixing order for Borden's Pensacola Plant, which order allocated all bases in Borden's Pensacola Plant to Florida producers serving such plant, which said order the Defendant was required to obey under penalty of having its license as a distributor suspended or revoked. Such order had not been modified or rescinded on August 21, 1963, hence the Plaintiff had no milk base on that date which was the subject of a conversion and he cannot recover in this suit.
- 4. The complaint claims damages for the conversion of a milk base on August 21, 1963. Such Plaintiff continued to deliver milk to the Defendant until August 30, 1963, with no change in the base arrangements between the parties up to August 30, 1963, at which time the Plaintiff voluntarily ceased selling milk to the Defendant and thereby voluntarily abandoned any milk base

which he may have had with the Defendant prior to such date, hence he should not recover in this suit.

Attorneys for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsel for all parties to this proceeding, by mailing the same to each by First Class United States Mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid on this day

of US GESEL

FILED

TANK S 1938

MIRE I WAY CLEAK

JOHN DUBROCK, Plaintiff,

vs.

BORDEN'S DAIRY, A Division of the BORDEN COMPANY, Defendant.

AMENDED PLEAS

Commence of the commence of th

AUC 3 1986

AUG I NOW CLERK

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

JOHN DUBROCK,)	
Plaintiff,	Ĵ	
-vs-	Ĵ	
BORDEN'S DAIRY, a Division	Ď	No. 5794
of The Borden Company,	ĵ	
Defendant.	ĵ	

ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

Comes now LAMAR GARRETT, Branch Manager of the Pensacola plant of Borden's Dairy, a Division of The Borden Company, Defendant in the above-styled cause, and files his Answers to the Interrogatories propounded by the Plaintiff herein and says:

l) Yes.

I DUM CLERK

- 2) Yes. Borden's Dairy, Pensacola plant.
- 3) As Manager since April 1959.
- 4) Yes.
- 5) I have known him about 4 years.
- 6) Yes, a voluntary, mutually agreed upon base, not handled by any official authority of Alabama or Florida.
 - 7) Yes.
- 8) The record of this base was kept at Borden's Pensacola plant until April 1960, and thereafter records were transferred to Borden's District Office, Tampa, Florida, although monthly settlement checks distributed by the Pensacola plant still show the bases of Alabama producers.
- 9) In December 1960, Plaintiff's milk base was .5818; July 1961, same; July 1962, same; July 1963, 1.1516.
- 10) This milk base percentile was on the producer's settlement sheet mailed to the Plaintiff for the month of August, 1963.
- 11) See answer to Interrogatory No. 9 above. Form attached hereto.

- 12) Unknown.
- 13) Unknown what so-called compact means.
- 14) Yes.
- 15) See Attachments Numbered 3 and 4.
- 16) As of September 1962, .5818. As of September 1963, 1.1516.
 - 17) None.
 - 18) None.
 - 19) Myself.
- 20) There has been no change, except by orders of the Florida Milk Commission, which purport to pre-empt all bases at Borden's Pensacola plant solely for Florida producers. These orders are now being litigated in the Florida courts. The Florida Milk Commission has purported to adopt a so-called Florida-Alabama compact under which Borden's prior system of voluntary milk bases was eliminated. The legality of this compact has been questioned and probably will be litigated.
 - 21) None.
 - 22) Yes.
- 23) President, Borden's Dairy, a Division of The Borden Company.
 - 24) Yes.
- 25) Baldwin, Escambia, Covington, Monroe & Butler Counties, Alabama.
- 26) Claude T. Creighton, John Dubrock, W. J. Campbell, A. V. Campbell, R. A. Flowers and Thomas I. Gray.
 - 27) See Attachments Numbered 1 and 2.
 - 28) Yes.
 - 29) Yes.
 - 30) See Attachments Numbered 1 and 2.
- 31) Unable to determine information desired from question.
 - 32) No.
 - 33) See answer to Interrogatory No. 27 above.

- 34) Mr. Barritt advised that due to the uncertain legal situation and likelihood that a transferee would obtain nothing, all efforts to transfer herd and base should be discouraged, and the prospective buyer advised that it would be doubtful that he would acquire anything of value.
 - 35) See answer to Interrogatory No. 34 above.

Lamar Garrett

CHASON, STONE & CHASON P. O. Box 120

Bay Minette, Alabama

John Chason

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared LAMAR GARRETT, Branch Manager of the Pensacola plant of Borden's Dairy, a Division of The Borden Company, who, upon being first duly sworn, states that the foregoing answers to Interrogatories are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Lamar Garrett

Notary Public

My Commission expires: My Commission Expires Jan. 23, 1968

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Answers to Interrogatories has been furnished to C. L. Thompson, of Thompson & White, Attorneys for Plaintiff, 124 Court House Square, Bay Minette, Alabama, by mail, this may of February, 1964.



JOHN DUBROCK ROUTE 1 ROBERTSDALE ALA



MILK SETTLEMENT FLORIDA DISTRICT

BRANCH PEMSACOLA FL300

BLEND PRICE 554/

CLASS CODE CLASS CODE
1 - LASS +
2 CLASS +
4 CLASS +
4 CLASS +
5 A.A + LASS +
6 BUCK SALES
7 BUCK TRANSHER
8 VHITARY SALES

					BLEND PRICE	6 PERMINAL TWILE				
1919 1903 1941 - 194		CODE SEE UPPER RIGHT	EASE PPICE CENTS	BASE	Buttement 1861	HER HALL TN				-
831	63	1	5650	1-1516	400	565	1382	7	808	3 3
8 3 1	163	2	3440		400	3 4 4	72	!	24	77
2 2 3 1 1 1								! !		
	•				÷		:	: !		
								; ; ;	:	
					:		: : :	\$:		
				:	• ••					
		TO STREET WINDOWS								
had a 1gr to seeding				* % * th	70	TAL	1 45 4	8	056	50
			DEDUCTIO	ONS (CR.)		TELLINE TO THE TOTAL CONTROL OF THE TOTAL CONTROL O	The second secon			
		M A		CHGS					43.4	52
		J.	S DAI	RY ASA	* 1				1.3	38
		A O	VANCE	•				: @	\$ 5 C	0

38686 CHICK NUMBER

4 6 5 6 0

Class I Class II Class VIII	Abrams, J.W. 3,261	JUNE Adkinson, E.W. 3,258	Campbell,A.V. 2,859	Campbell, W.J. 1,497	Coley, H.S. 2,417 223	Dubrock 1,323 84	Ellison 2,786 993	Emmons 1,712	Farrar 3,983 1,097 1,541
		JULY							
Class I Class II	3 , 249	3 , 350 382 665	2,940 550	1,983	2 , 485	1,361	2,866	2,514	4,096
Class VIII		665	550 583	116	370	11	83 568	91 499	2,223 813
		AUGUST			·				
Class I Class II	3 , 726	3,401	2,984	1,652	2 , 523	1,382	2,909	2,552	4,158
Class VIII	257	1,090	698		629	72	26	298	4,158 1,065 1,567

ATTACHMENT 1

JUNE

:	Flowers	Gray	Huggins	H.A. Lee	O.F. Lee	Long	T.O. Owens	Smith	Stone	Wilson	Creighton	B.B. Dairies	Totals
Class I Class II Class VIII	1,256 158	1,058	4,776 970	1,832 1 850	1 , 259	4,310 336	4,598 1,097	6 , 653 673	2 , 724 650	2,916 333	1,275	55,476 14,664	111,229 18,473 5,535
Class I Class II Class VIII	1,292 654	<u>J</u> 1,525	<u>ULY</u> 4,911 970	1,884 1 779	1,563	4,432 451 879	4,729 12 938	6,842 204 1,357	2,801 1,095	2 , 993	1,248	57,050 16,424	116,114 23,929 6,788
		<u>.</u>	UGUST		•	- -						•	
Class I Class II Class VIII	1,311 851	1,437	4,986 1,666	1,913 5 591	2,428 169	4,500 1,637	4,801 381	6 , 946 694	2,844 1,088	3,045 101	777	57,919 18,565	118,194 24,067 7,378

	makang ti					
1962 Sept.		Greighton	Debrook	Cempbell, A.V.	Novers	Carobell. V.J.
Class Class		1,607	1,219	3,362 1,334	2,477	2,268 238
Oct. Class Class		1,658		3,440	1,512	2,321
Nov. Class Class		1,787 193 383	1,242	3,226 185 929	2,346	2,176 125 102
Dec. Class Class	entropies and but	1,880 115 95	2,457	3,393 208 313	1,491	2,289
Jeda Jan. Class Class	L	1,803	2,438	3,268 333 31	2,436 35	2,205 225 275
Feb. Class Class	Aller Aller	1,338 001 M11k)	1,371 166 91	2,953 426 197	1,073	1,998 61 133
Merch Class Class	X	2,456	2,458	3,164	1,300	2,136
April Cless Cless Cless		1,340 Lltery Mlk)	1,427	3,358 1,572 99	1,476	2,265 34

1963 May Class I Class II Class III	Creighton 1,282	Dubrock 1,411	Campbell, A.V. 3,161 1,003	Flowers 1,389 5	Campbell. W.J.
June Class I Class I Class VIII	1,275 (Military Mi	1,323 84 1k)	2,859 140	1,256 158	1,497
July Class I Class II Class VIII	1,248 (Military Mi	1,361 11 1k)	2,940 550 583	1,292 654	1,983 116
August Class I Class II Class VIII	777 (Military Mi	1,382 72 11k)	2,984 698	1,311 851	1,652
Totals Class II Class III Class III Class VIII Class IX (School	17,451 218 459	16,273 909 91	38,118 8,600 1,273 1,520 197	16,449 2,195 5	24,654 1,550 1,004 150 133
Milk)	18,128	17,273	49,708	18,649	27,491

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA. AT LAW.

JOHN DUBROCK,)	
Plaintiff,	Ĵ	
-vs-	Ĵ	
BORDEN'S DAIRY, a Division of The Borden Company,	ĵ ŝ	No. 57941
Defendant.	,	

ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

Comes now W. J. BARRITT, JR., President of Borden's Dairy, Division of The Borden Company, Defendant in the above-styled cause and files his Answers to the Interrogatories propounded by the Plaintiff herein and says:

- 1) The correct name of the Defendant is Borden's Dairy, a Division of The Borden Company.
- 2) The Borden Company was incorporated in the State of New Jersey.
- 3) The Borden Company is qualified under the laws of Alabama to conduct business within the State of Alabama.
- 4) The statutory agent in Alabama designated for service of process upon Defendant is the Corporation Trust Company, First National Bank Building, Montgomery, Alabama.
 - 5) Yes.
 - 6) Yes.
- 7) The only knowledge I personally had of a producer wanting to transfer a base was through my first conversation with Mr. Thompson on August 14, at which time he advised me that Mr. Creighton wanted to transfer his base.
- 8) I did not, at any time, authorize the sale or transfer of any of the milk bases alleged to belong to the five producers as listed by Mr. Thompson.
 - 9) No.
- 10) Copies of all correspondence with Mr. C. L. Thompson, Attorney, are attached.

- I was advised by Mr. Jay Struble that Mr. C. L. Thompson and five producers, namely: A. V. Campbell, W. J. Campbell, Claude Creighton, John Dubrock and R. A. Flowers, appeared at Borden's office in Pensacola on August 30, 1963, and requested that effective immediately Borden was not to pick up the milk of these producers. Also, a copy of Mr. Thompson's letter of September 13, 1963, addressed to Borden's Dairy at Pensacola was forwarded to me for reply.
- 12) Our local Pensacola operators, Jay Struble and Lamar Garrett, were well aware that a base was allocated to regular producers supplying the Pensacola plant for the purpose of allocating fairly the utilization of the milk, and that the transfer of a base had to be approved by Borden, and usually was acceptable only if the transferee was satisfactory to Borden and purchased the farm and cattle of the transferor. Also, Messrs. Struble and Garrett were aware of the legal complications pertaining to the new Order of the Florida Milk Commission and the litigation pending regarding bases.
 - 13) No written instructions.
- 14) Copies of letters written by Mr. C. L. Thompson and myself are attached.

W. J. Barritt, Jr. (President, Borden's Dairy, Divison of The Borden Company)

CHASON, STONE & CHASON P. O. Box 120 Bay Minette, Alabama

Attorney's for Defendant

John Chason

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared W. J. BARRITT, JR., President of Borden's Dairy, Division of The Borden Company, who, upon being first duly sworn, states that the foregoing Answers to Interrogatories are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

W. J. Barritt, Jr.

Publi Notary

My Commission expires: 12-27-6 V

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Answers to Interrogatories has been furnished to C. L. Thompson of Thompson & White, Attorneys for Plaintiff, 124 Court House Square, Bay Minette, Alabama, by mail, this 5 day of February, 1964.

FEB 5 1984

A491420002 20, 1963

Ar. C. Labour Thurson Thompson & White Attorneys at Las Eng Missing, Missing

Your Letter of September 12, 1963, addressed to Mr. Jay Struble. Series's Peassiols Flant, has been referred to as for reply.

You were advised by me in my lotter to you duted August 21, 1863, in response to your latter of August 19, 1963, that Bordon's could not and would not resognize any purported transfer at alleged or ac-called bases with respect to its remainded Flori.

De Aurust 30, 1960, you accompanied by the Clioving Alabama proclusers who had previously delivered pilk to Borden's Flore at Panasocia, and R. V. Campbell, J. J. Campbell, Clause Company, John DeBrock, and R. A. Flores, and with Mr. Jay Scrubia and Dorson's file Hanger at Language Language and Company, file Hanger at Campbell, Clause Company, Society Was not to pick the initial of Chase Productor. Company, Joseph a cid not pick to pick the initial of Chase Productors after August 30, we were last increase that the many is the city of Chase Products and Chase Products and Chase Products.

The part of the property of th

" uzu wazy czuly,

Constant Control Days

Control Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

Control

The second secon

boc: J. A. McClain John Fappenastos THOMPSON & WHITE

BAY MINISTE, ALABAMA

c. verar Thompson Mary Thurston White

September 13, 1963

907-3921 Phares: 907-7467 937-3355

porden's, Inc. Pensadola, Plocida

ATTR: Mr. Jay Strubel

The state of the s

The confirm our conversation on the afternoon of August 100 1963, when I advised you as attorney for the live producers in Daldwin County that we had arranged the sale of their milk bases and with your approval would obtain the approval of the Alakawa Milk Board and the Florida Milk Commission so that the transfers.

Hould be effected immediately and you would be able to begin picking up mile from the holders of these bases the following

you sivised service you would contact your Tamps office and vould for me thow on Tuesday, September 3, 1963, the decision of Torden's.

I would respectfully suggest that you have not advised me and the purchasers are awaiting action from you so that I may furnish to you the names and locations for picking up this 375 gallons faily milk base earmed and/or purchased by the five Alabama producers.

Your kindness in advising me the form in which to present the transfer to your company as I requested in that conversation entry be appropriated as I do not wish any misurderstanding about the processor of the

The second secon

misir Awa

Act Pisbers Milk Control Board
Morrogonary, Alabara

glorida Milly Tombasion. Tallahasse, Plorida Amgust 21, 1961

Mt. C. LeBolr Thompson Thompson & White, Attorneys-Al-Lan Bay Minesto, Alabama

John M. Thompson:

This will deknowledge your letter of August 19, 1962.

Transfer of alleged or so-tailed bases with respect to its Pensacola plant and does not accept the validity of the purported Florida-Alabama po-called compact between the Florida Milk Compacts and the Alabama Milk Compacts

Serious legal problems have arisen out of action of the Florida and Alabama sutherities concerning the Fenercela Milk Marketing Area and can only be settled, in all likelihood, by litigation. In these circumstances, it would be highly inadvisable for any Alabama producer to attempt to transfer any alleged or so-called hase or quota with respect to Borden's Pensacola plant.

Yours very truly,

17.17% / 6.5

cat J. A. Madiala, Jr.

Trailor of Divides

THOMPSON & WHITE A STOPPING A SHILLAR BAY MINETTY ALABAMA C LeNor Trompson

Mary Thomason While

Process : 937-7487

STATE OF A SECTION

nda de un resta aur pesaguado combousablos melos pasos o pesaguas se and a second of the control of the c TANDARA BARAN JAMAN WALLANDARA DA SA CEREBAN COLL SA

ente ball em page i dave are bases for the designed galan galan kan kan kan libu inga berbahasa pilang at Persocaka Her NAME O CARACTER DE CARACTER DE LA CARACTER DE LA CARACTER DE COMPONIO. CARACTER LA CARACTER DE CARACTE and the first care and the solution and and allegate the brack operations of the n mininga kan din pakan yakang asah san nagasi penjang asah selih kusah alian kan baran kalendari yan galaban en Konda da <mark>ƙ</mark>walet ƙasar ƙasar ƙasar ƙasar ƙasar ƙasar ƙasar ƙasar ƙasar

y myyddiol dail y sawy yn chichwyddion cyfae goll baae yn o oed fellolaeth gellin bellin bellin bellin belling and the control of th manda min ijaka ton se elifeta din perunasa ut tana 1999-

. Proposition (1985) de la proposition de 2000 un replicario lito e aktoras lacer savinscia di cuno propossi ana litam

TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL CONTROL ON GARGEOUS CONTROL ON CONTRO para la su a la pietri sulla la sulla sulla de la consensa de la consensa de la consensa de la consensa de la c sprepaga readilitare par sul lucer e se paga e se apaga e compaga e compaga e compaga e compaga e compaga e com parti la cara la peri de la cesta de la comparte d

n yallı se hirisifelli. Appreciative az yon tolla cikas'nde progrista praticipa e como esta di Milandia prope lo compa cultura do la la

ing display and the property of the property o